

# The Artin Hasse Exponential and the p-adics

Niyathi Kukkapalli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Charter School of Wilmington, Delaware, USA

August 15th, 2023

## Abstract

In 1928, the Artin-Hasse Exponential  $E(x)$  was created and it's considered an analogue of the exponential function that comes from infinite products. It also has applications in formal group schemes and is studied in the p-adic number system. In this paper, fundamental results about the field of the p-adic rationals,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , like completion, are proven while smaller propositions are left to the reader. The integrality of  $E(x)$  is shown using Dwork's Lemma and extensions of the Artin Hasse exponential are further discussed. This paper is part of a bigger project on The Artin Hasse Exponential.

## 1 Introduction

$$E(x) = \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{p^n}}{p^n} \right) = \exp \left( x + \frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{x^{p^2}}{p^2} + \dots \right)$$

The exponential above is the Artin-Hasse Exponential discovered by Artin and Hasse in 1928. It's a function that is a composition of two functions with p-adically large coefficients, where those coefficients are bounded. We define  $\exp(x)$  as the formal power series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n}{n!}$  in the ring,  $\mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$ . There are many interesting results regarding this exponential. For example, despite all the fractions in the  $\exp(x)$  function, we can prove the integrality of the coefficients of  $E(x)$ . We build up to Dwork's Lemma is proven using induction from which various corollaries arise. In this brief article, essential knowledge about the p-adics are assumed.

## 2 Integrality of $E(x)$

Contrary to the form of  $E(x)$ , the coefficients of the polynomial are integers and we can prove this fact with a powerful lemma that can be proved using induction.

**Dwork's Lemma:** Let  $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$  be a power series with p-adic rational coefficients. Then  $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]] \iff \frac{f(x^p)}{f(x)^p} \in 1 + p x \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ .

**Exercise 1:** Prove the forward direction of this statement. (Hint: Utilize the generalization of Freshman's Dream)

*Proof.* For the other direction, we proceed by induction. Suppose for some  $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$ , we have that  $\frac{f(x^p)}{f(x)^p} \in 1 + x\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ , and thus there exists  $g(x) \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$  such that  $f(x^p) = f(x)^p \cdot g(x)$ .

**Base Case:** we note that the constant term of our polynomial must be 1 by the assumption that  $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{Q}_p[[x]]$ . Note that  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Inductive Step:** Suppose for some  $N > 1$ , we have that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < N$ , the  $x^n$  coefficient of  $f(x)$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Firstly, we claim that the  $N$ th coefficient of  $f(x)^p \cdot g(x)$  is congruent to the  $N$ th coefficient of  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)^p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We note that as  $f(x)$  has no coefficients of negative  $x$  powers, we can truncate  $f(x)$  up to the  $N$ th term when we are considering just the coefficient of  $x^N$ . So the  $N$ th coefficient of  $f(x)^p \cdot g(x)$  is congruent to that of  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)^p \cdot g(x)$ . As  $g(x) \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ , it follows that the  $N$ th coefficient of  $f(x)^p \cdot g(x)$  is congruent to that of  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)^p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , as desired.

Now we show that  $a_N$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , considering two cases:

**Case 1:**  $p \nmid N$

Recall  $f(x^p) = f(x)^p \cdot g(x)$ . Note that if  $p \nmid N$ , the coefficient of  $x^N$  on the LHS is 0. Thus we have that 0 is equivalent to the  $x^N$  coefficient of  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)^p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . To form a term of  $x^N$  from  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)^p$ , we can combine the  $a_N x^N$  term in  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)$  with  $p-1$  other constant terms  $a_0 = 1$ , in  $p$  ways.

All other ways to combine terms of  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)^p$  to yield an  $x^N$  coefficient do not involve a term of  $a_N x^N$ , and by our inductive hypothesis are comprised only of a product of coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . By the multinomial theorem, each of these terms occurs with a coefficient divisible by  $p$ , and thus we may equate coefficients on the left and right hand sides to write that  $0 = pa_N + c$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , for some  $c \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus it must be that  $a_N \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , completing our inductive hypothesis in this case.

**Case 2:**  $p \mid N$

Once again, consider  $f(x^p) = f(x)^p \cdot g(x)$ . Note that the  $x^N$  coefficient on the LHS is  $a_{\frac{N}{p}}$ . On the right hand side, the  $x^N$  coefficient is equivalent to that of  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)^p$  in

$\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We note that we can form an  $x^N$  term by combining  $n$  terms of  $a_{\frac{N}{p}} x^{\frac{N}{p}}$ .

We can also form such a term by taking the  $a_N x^N$  term in  $(\sum_{n \leq N} a_n x^n)$  with  $p-1$  other constant terms  $a_0 = 1$ , in  $p$  ways. By our inductive hypothesis, we note that all other terms of  $x^N$  are comprised only of a product of coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . By the multinomial theorem, each of these terms occurs with a coefficient divisible by  $p$ . Equating coefficients on the left and right, we have  $a_{\frac{N}{p}} = a_{\frac{N}{p}}^p + pa_N + c$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , for some  $c \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

By our inductive hypothesis we have that  $a_{\frac{N}{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and thus  $a_{\frac{N}{p}}^p = a_{\frac{N}{p}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  by Fermat's Little Theorem in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . So we have that  $a_{\frac{N}{p}} = a_{\frac{N}{p}} + pa_N + c$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and thus  $0 = pa_N + c$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which implies  $a_N \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , as  $c \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . This completes our inductive hypothesis in this case.

Combining cases 1 and 2, we have completed our inductive step, and thus we have that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . As  $a_0 = 1$ , it follows that  $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ , completing our backwards direction.  $\square$

**Proposition 1:**  $\exp(-px) \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$

*Proof.* We have that  $\exp(-px) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-px)^n}{n!} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-px)^n}{n!}$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , by Legendre's Theorem recall that  $v_p(n!) = \left(\frac{n-s_p(n)}{p-1}\right)$ , where  $s_p(n)$  is the sum of the digits of  $n$  in base  $p$ . Thus,  $v_p\left(\frac{(-p)^n}{n!}\right) = n - \left(\frac{n-s_p(n)}{p-1}\right) > n - \left(\frac{n}{p-1}\right) = \frac{n(p-2)}{(p-1)} \geq 0$ , and so  $v_p\left(\frac{(-p)^n}{n!}\right) \geq 1$ , from which we obtain  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-px)^n}{n!} \in px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ . Thus  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-px)^n}{n!} \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]] \implies \exp(-px) \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2:**  $\frac{E(x^p)}{E(x)^p} = \exp(-px)$ .

*Proof.* We will need to utilize some of the exponential properties listed in Proposition 3.

$$\begin{aligned} E(x)^p &= \left( \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{p^n}}{p^n} \right) \right)^p = \exp \left( p \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{p^n}}{p^n} \right) = \exp \left( px + p \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^{p^n}}{p^n} \right) = \exp(px) \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^{p^n}}{p^{(n-1)}} \right) \\ &= \exp(px) \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{p^{(n+1)}}}{p^n} \right) = \exp(px) \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(x^p)^{p^n}}{p^n} \right) = \exp(px) \cdot E(x^p) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\frac{E(x^p)}{E(x)^p} = \frac{1}{\exp(px)} = \exp(-px)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 1:**  $E(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$

As we have shown that  $\exp(-px) \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ , it follows that:

$$\frac{E(x^p)}{E(x)^p} = \exp(-px) \implies \frac{E(x^p)}{E(x)^p} \in 1 + px\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$$

. By Dwork's Lemma we have that  $E(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ , and thus  $E(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ .  $\square$

## 2.1 Radius of Convergence of $E(x)$

We found that the radius of convergence of  $\exp(x)$  is  $p^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}$ , but we can come up with a stricter radius for  $E(x)$ . To do this, we will utilize a different definition of  $\exp(x)$ .

**Definition 1:**  $\exp(x) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)^{\frac{-\mu(n)}{n}}$  then we get  $E(x) = \prod_{(p,n)=1} (1 - x^n)^{\frac{-\mu(n)}{n}}$

Thus, the radius of convergence of  $E(x)$  is 1 from above. We can see the above definition is true from taking the log of both sides of  $\exp(x)$ . From the formal power series of  $\exp(x)$  we have that the radius of convergence is 1 for  $E(x)$ , which is more tightly bounded then  $p^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}$  (Proposition 3). To note, there are many more properties of the Artin Hasse Exponential, but many require advanced p-adic analysis to dig deeper into.

## 3 References

- [1] "p-adic Numbers: An Introduction." Fernando Q Gouvea (1991).
- [2] "p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta Functions." Second Edition. Neal Koblitz (1991).
- [3] "A Course in p-adic analysis." Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Alain M Robert (2000).