

1

1 **Scouts vs Usurpers: Alternative foraging strategies facilitate coexistence** 2 **between Neotropical Cathartid Vultures**

3

4 **Key words:**

5 Movement ecology, obligate scavengers, traits, home range, flight, carcass, niche

6

7 **Abstract**

8 **1.** Understanding how diverse assemblages of scavengers can coexist on shared ecological
9 resources is a fundamental challenge in community ecology. However, current approaches
10 typically focus on behaviour at carcass provisioning sites, missing how important differences
11 in movement behaviour and foraging strategies can facilitate sympatric species coexistence.
12 Such information is particularly important for vultures - obligate scavengers representing the
13 most endangered avian foraging guild. Their loss from ecosystems can trigger trophic
14 cascades, mesopredator release, and disease outbreaks.

15

16 **2.** We use a combination of morphometric measurements and movement data from wild King
17 (*Sarcoramphus papa*) and Greater yellow-headed (*Cathartes melambrotus*) vultures, coupled
18 with carcass visitation data from animal carcass provisioning experiments to characterise
19 scavenger community structure and strategies in the Peruvian Amazon.

20

21 **3.** King vulture body mass and tarsi length were larger (43% and 45% respectively), and tail
22 shorter (22%) than the Greater-yellow headed vulture. King vultures also had substantially
23 larger home ranges (~500%), flew higher than Greater yellow headed vultures (695m vs. 360
24 m), and despite flying similar distances each day, were active later in the day. At carcasses,

25 Greater-yellow headed vultures typically arrived first, but were rapidly outnumbered by both
26 King and Black vultures (*Coragyps atratus*).

27

28 **4.** We find that the movement behaviour of obligate apex scavengers in the western Amazon
29 is linked to their ability to coexist - the Greater -yellow headed vultures, a smaller stature
30 ‘scouting’ species adapted to fly low and forage early, arrive first, but are ultimately
31 displaced by larger-bodied king vultures at large ephemeral carrion resources. Expansion of
32 future GPS tracking initiatives should facilitate the exploration of direct facultative
33 interactions from animal movement data and give further insight into how diverse
34 communities assemble and interact.

35 **Introduction**

36 Diverse scavenger communities are essential for the maintenance of healthy ecosystems by
37 rapid locating and removal of carcasses, thereby reducing the potential for the spread of
38 diseases, stabilising food-webs and assisting in nutrient cycling (Gangoso et al., 2013; Craig
39 et al., 2018; Carucci et al., 2022). Given the importance of scavenger communities,
40 understanding the processes which allow for multiple species to coexist around carrion sites
41 is paramount (Selva and Fortuna, 2007). Where species compete for high-value ephemeral
42 resources, such as carrion, it may be expected that marked niche overlap drives high intra-
43 species competition and low coexistence, ultimately resulting in low community diversity.
44 However, a recent review highlights that carrion supports diverse scavenger assemblages
45 worldwide (Sebastián-González et al., 2019), suggesting that mechanisms facilitating
46 coexistence exist..

47

48 Several important mechanisms influencing scavenger community composition have been
49 described. First, niche differentiation can occur in three principal ways: temporal, whereby
50 species visit at different times in the diel cycle to reduce direct competition (Olea et al.,
51 2022); spatial, whereby different sympatric species select habitats to minimise competitive
52 interactions (Holland et al., 2019); or consumptive, whereby sympatric species consume
53 different size classes of animal (Kendall, 2014). Second, facilitation related to how species
54 locate carcasses can occur (e.g. through information transfer - Jackson et al., 2008; Williams
55 and Safi, 2021), and according to how the resource is accessed (e.g. one species may open a
56 carcass that other species can then exploit). Finally, competitive exclusion can also occur,
57 which would result in negative co-occurrence of competing species at carcass sites (Carrete et
58 al., 2010). Thus far,, the majority of research into processes which allow coexistence at
59 carrion sites has focussed direct observations at carcass sites (e.g. using camera traps).

However such approaches alone cannot directly measureon how inter-species variation in movement behaviours promote sympatric species coexistence. Integrating carcass experiments within a movement ecology framework and connecting this with how their physiological traits shape such movements, will increase our understanding of sympatric species co-existence (Jeltsch et al., 2013).

Obligate vertebrate scavengers (vultures) are particularly important within terrestrial systems due to their capacity to rapidly remove large volumes of carrion. They possess unique adaptations (broad wings to efficiently glide, sharp vision, and, in some species, heightened smell) that enable them to quickly identify and consume carcasses (Ogada et al., 2012; Van den Heever et al., 2021). Vulture assemblages represent a valuable opportunity to understand mechanisms facillitating coexistence. In intact tropical ecosystems multiple sympatric species typically coexist within the same landscape and, as they are exclusively diurnal, diel separation in carrion visitation is not possible. Other mechanisms must predominate. It is thought that morphological characteristics (beak size, wing-span, talon length, etc), sensory capacities (e.g vision, smell (Grigg et al., 2017; Holland et al., 2017), movement strategies (Rabenold, 1987; Buckley, 1997), and feeding behaviour at carcasses, can facilitate coexistence (Hertel, 1994; Holland et al., 2019). However, coexistence is typically studied using static survey methods (such as camera traps) at carcass locations, making direct assessments of the role of movement strategies and traits difficult.

Alarmingly, vultures are facing major population declines globally - with 16 of the world's 23 vulture species threatened with extinction (Ogada et al., 2012; McClure et al., 2018). African, European, and North American vulture species have recently seen a rapid increase in research attention (Santangeli et al., 2022), the most dramatic declines to date have been detected in

the Old World vultures, with some species declining up to 99% (Pain et al., 2008). In contrast, few studies have been conducted on vulture species within the Neotropics where deforestation, mining, and conversion of land for agriculture in the Amazon continue to increase. The Amazon forest is generally considered by many scientists to be approaching a “tipping point” of regional climate collapse leading to widespread biodiversity loss (Lovejoy and Nobre, 2019). The paucity of Neotropical data raises concern that without basic ecological information, the window for proactive cost-effective conservation strategies to avoid a collapse in Neotropical vertebrate scavenger communities will soon close (Santangeli et al., 2022). Understanding the ecology of these communities, how they coexist, interact with, and impact their surrounding habitat, is therefore critical.

To address the sparsity of integration between movement ecology and carcass provisioning experiments to date, and the general lack of information on neotropical vulture ecology, we adopt a dual vulture tracking and carcass provisioning approach to understanding scavengers community coexistence and structure within the Peruvian Amazon. For the movement component we focus on two poorly studied, sympatric, apex obligate vertebrate scavengers (Santangeli et al., 2022), the King vulture (*Sarcorampus papa* - KV) and the Greater yellow-headed vulture (*Cathartes melambrotus* - GYV). Both are currently listed as ‘least concern’ on the IUCN Red List. However, King vulture populations are known to be declining generally and locally extinct at their most northerly range in Mexico and listed in CITES appendix III in Honduras (IUCN, 2016; CITES, 2022). To characterise the broader vulture community composition and interactions (King and Greater yellow-headed vultures are sympatric with black and turkey vultures within this landscape) we deployed large bodied (~50 kg) carcasses within the focal landscape and monitored species arrival and activity patterns with camera traps.

110

111 Specifically we: (1) Compare primary biometric traits between field-captured King and
112 Greater yellow-headed vultures. (2) Using the latest solar GPS telemetry tags, analyse the
113 movement characteristics of King and Greater yellow-headed vultures (average flight height,
114 daily distance travelled, home range size, and time spent flying vs. non-flying). And (3),
115 using camera trap monitored carcass drops to assess arrival timings of four sympatric
116 Amazonian vultures (King and Greater yellow-headed, Black and Turkey vulture). We
117 predict that: (1) King and Greater yellow-headed vultures will have different morphological
118 traits. (2) That these traits will underpin different movement behaviours associated with
119 differing foraging strategies. (3) that differences in traits and movement behaviours will be
120 reflected in arrival times and activity patterns at provisioned carcasses.


121

122 Methods

123 Study area

124 Data collection was conducted between July 2021 and October 2022 in and around Los
 125 Amigos Biological Station, Peru (Figure 1). Los Amigos is located in Madre de Dios, Peru
 126 (12.56858° S, 70.09903° W) at an elevation of 200–300 m above sea level. It was established
 127 in 2000 and is protected by the Peruvian NGO Conservación Amazónica (ACCA). The mean
 128 annual precipitation is 2800–3000 mm, with a dry season from May to September. The study
 129 area contains two major forest types: *terra firme* terrace hardwood and floodplain
 130 successional forest and other shorter-lived vegetation associations, as well as *Mauritia* palm
 131 swamps and stands of *Guadua* bamboo. Hunting, gold mining, wood exploitation, road
 132 development, and slash-and-burn agriculture pose threats to the forest.

133



134 **Figure 1:** A map of the study site in the Peruvian Amazon with auto-correlated kernel
 135 density home-range estimators: Red dot = Los Amigos Biological Station; coloured lines =
 136 individual 95% kernel density home range estimates; **A** = Greater yellow-headed vulture; **B**
 137 = King vulture.

139 **Capture and Tracking of Free-Ranging Vultures**

140 Between July and August 2021 traps to capture vultures deployed and monitored. During the
 141 capture efforts, two walk-in, box-type traps (herein ‘traps’) were deployed side by side. These
 142 were built of steel tubes painted green for camouflage and closed with fishing net. The
 143 dimensions of the first trap were 2 m high x 2 m wide x 2 m deep, and of the door 2 m high x
 144 1 m wide following the recommendations of (Barber and Bildstein, 2011), and the second
 145 trap had dimensions of 2 m high x 3 m wide x 3 m deep, and a door of 2 m high x 1.3 m
 146 wide. The swing-doors were activated by a rope leading to a hide 30 metres away to
 147 minimise disturbance. We used cow carcasses from the local slaughterhouse as bait.

148
 149 We captured 10 individual vultures (King vultures [*Sarcoramphus papa*] = 5; Greater yellow-
 150 headed vultures [*Cathartes melambrotus*] = 5). We fitted eight individuals with e-obs
 151 (www.e-obs.de) light-weight, solar-panelled, GPS tags, which included a tri-axial
 152 accelerometer and altimeter (King vulture tag size 42g , n = 3; Greater yellow-headed vulture
 153 tag size 27g, n = 5); and two King vulture individuals were fitted with Northstar
 154 (<https://www.northstarst.com>) Phoenix solar-panelled, 40 g GPS satellite tags. These tags did
 155 not include altimeter and accelerometer. Tags were attached with a teflon harness and did not
 156 exceed 4% of the vulture’s body weight. The e-obs tags are fitted with GSM receivers, and
 157 when passing cellphone towers, data is downloaded to Movebank. e-obs tags were set to
 158 acquire locations every hour when battery levels were low and every 10 minutes when battery
 159 levels were high, from 5:00 a.m. to 19:00 p.m. local time. Phoenix tags collected hourly
 160 locations 24 hours a day with uplink via the Globalstar Satellite System.

161

162 As King and Greater yellow-headed vultures are sexually monomorphic, it was not possible
 163 to stratify tracking device deployment by sex. However, feather and blood samples were

collected from captured individuals to perform sex determination via molecular methods *post-hoc*. Sex was determined via sex-specific DNA markers amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Using the ZymoBIOMICS DNA kit (Zymo Research), genomic DNA was extracted from blood preserved in FTA, filter paper, ethanol, and RNA later. Samples containing ethanol were heated at 45 °C for 10 minutes to evaporate the ethanol. After confirming the quality and amount of the genetic material, the DNA-binding chromo helicase gene associated to chromosomes Z and W (CHD-Z and CHD-W) was amplified using the GoTaq® Flexi DNA Polymerase Kit to amplify the DNA from each sample (Promega). In a T100 thermal cycler, PCR reactions were conducted with enzyme GoTaq® DNA Polymerase (5 U/L) from Promega (Biorad). For a final volume of 20 L, the following reagents were used: 1X buffer, MgCl₂ 1.5 mM, dNTPs 0.2 mM, primers forward (Fw) Yreverse (Rv) at 0.25 M, and GoTaq 1.25 U/rx. Initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 25 seconds, with a final extension. 72 degrees Celsius for 5 minutes. The PCR products of the CHD gene were separated according to their molecular weight using 3% agarose gel electrophoresis and 15% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The number of observed bands allows for the determination of the gender of the birds under investigation. The 50bp DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher) used as a molecular weight marker has bands ranging from 50bp to 1000bp. After loading the samples onto the gel, they were run for 1 hour at 75V in the agarose gel and 2 hours at 110V in the polyacrylamide gel. To establish the gender of the birds, the CHD gene was amplified using the NP and 1272H primers, which produced a 258bp fragment for males and two 258 and 285-288 bp fragments for females in GYH. In contrast, for King vulture, the presence of two bands were not seen in any of the samples. Because of the different running patterns of the samples, it was determined to do the

188 amplification using the pair of primers NP and P2, which amplified a 194bp fragment for
189 males and two fragments of 194 and 215-224 bp for females.

190

191 All precautions and necessary care were taken to reduce potential discomfort or harm to the
192 birds. The handling time was kept to a minimum to reduce stress. The weight of the
193 transmitters and the method of fitting the device were carried out according to standard
194 protocols and recommendations. A permit for equipping the vulture with the GPS transmitter,
195 marking and collecting DNA samples was provided by Autorización del Servicio Nacional
196 Forestal y de Fauna Silvestre (SERFOR), No AUT-IFS-2021-039, 18 June 2021.

197 **Trait measurements**

198 We measured morphometric data using standard measurements as described for vultures in
199 (Mundy et al., 1992): (1) wing chord, from the carpal joint of the bent wing to the tip of the
200 longest straightened primary; (2) bill length, from the tip of the culmen to the distal dorsal
201 border of the cere; (3) bill width, at the level of the nostrils; (4) tarsus length, the distance
202 from the tarso-metatarsal joint to the articulation of the middle toe; (5) tail length, from the
203 insertion of the central rectrices to their tips; and (6) body mass. Callipers and a tape measure
204 were used to take measurements to the nearest 0.1 mm. Digital precision scales were used to
205 measure body mass to the nearest 0.01 g.

206 **Carcass arrival monitoring**

207 To explore the temporal dynamics of carcass discovery by different vulture species and wider
208 scavenger community composition, we deployed six 50kg pig carcasses in the area
209 surrounding Los Amigos Biological Station between the 7th of September 2021 and the 15th
210 of March 2022. Carcass locations were selected based on accessible forest within 50m of the
211 river banks. The area surrounding the carcass was cleared of small vegetation in a five metre

radius from the centre point. Carcasses were monitored by two camera traps, one placed two metres from the carcass and one five metres from the carcass. Cameras were set to record a single image per motion trigger, with a quiet period of 10 minutes between successive triggers. Images were identified to species level manually in the Wildlife Insights camera trap management platform. We derived three metrics from the sequences of images for each of the four vulture species present in the region (King, Greater yellow-headed, black and turkey vultures): i) arrival order - defined as the order in which each vulture species was first detected at a given carcass; ii) arrival time in daylight hours - defined as the number of daylight hours (0600-1800 as vultures do not typically fly at night) which elapse between carcass deployment and their first detection on a camera trap; and iii) the proportion of images which contain each given species each hour.

Data analysis

i) Biometric comparison

As we have a single measure from each individual, we used linear models with the trait of interest as the response terms (weight, wing span, tail length, beak width, beak length and tarsus length) and species is the explanatory variable. Significant differences between the species were assessed using ANOVA using a 0.05 threshold.

ii) Movement characteristics

The movement data analysed here were collected between the 10th of July 2021 and the 31st of October 2022, which represented 156,704 locations. Six of the trackers functioned for the full survey duration, four failed beforehand, giving a mean number of collection days per individual = 357 (range = 40 - 488). Because of small sample sizes, data for males and females were combined for all analyses. We visually inspected tracks to check for any

implausible locations arising through location error (we found none). Then the data were filtered to remove segments with implausible speeds (>100 kmph - the top reported speed for similar vulture species) between successive fixes, resulting in the removal of a single data point. For movement characteristics which required regularised data to avoid bias arising from sampling frequency (daily distance travelled and behavioural state models), we accounted for missing and irregularly timed location data by fitting a continuous time-correlated random walk (CRAWL) model (Johnson et al., 2008, 2022), to predict locations at 1-hour intervals. This resulted in a dataset containing 74,992 locations. As the CRAWL models do not function well where location data are sparse, we further filtered the regularised data to censor any days where we had location information for fewer than 10 of 12 daylight hours, resulting in a final dataset size of 67,451 locations.

For all individuals with > 200 days of raw tracking data ($n = 8$), we calculated home-ranges using Auto-correlated kernel density estimate (AKDE) function with the default parameters of the 'ctmm' package (Calabrese et al., 2016; Fleming and Calabrese, 2017). The AKDE estimator takes auto-correlated data and limited sample sizes into consideration. Variograms were constructed for each individual to determine if home-range behaviour was shown. Variograms depict the average square distance travelled by an individual over specified time intervals (termed semi-variance). Using the variograms, we visually verified if an individual's motions indicated range residence (a flattening of the semi-variance values), a necessary assumption for calculating home ranges with AKDEs (i.e., vultures remain within their home range areas, and do not exhibit nomadic or migratory behaviours). Then, various movement models (continuous-space and continuous-time stochastic movement models) contained in this package (Calabrese et al., 2016) were separately fitted to each individual. According to Akaike's information criterion (AIC), the best models were chosen and used to fit the 95%

AKDE. Differences between home-range sizes were analysed using quasi-poisson generalised linear mixed effect models.

The daily movement (km) was calculated by summing the straight-line distances between successive locations on the same day using the regularised vulture movement dataset described above (hourly intervals). Differences in distance travelled were analysed with generalised linear models using the ‘lme4’ package in R (Bates et al., 2015).

For all the individuals with tags which collected height information ($n = 8$), flight height was calculated following (Poessel et al., 2018). Geoid undulation values were calculated for each GPS point using the Earth Gravitational Model 2008 (Pavlis et al., 2012), downloaded from: <https://www.unavco.org>. We then subtracted the geoid undulation values from the height above ellipsoid (recorded by the e-obs tags) to obtain Altitude above sea level (ASL). Finally, the ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model (sourced from www.geogpsperu.com) for our study area was imported into QGIS, and ground elevation was extracted for each GPS point and subtracted from the altitude ASL to estimate Altitude above ground level (AGL). After a visual inspection of the Altitude AGL, we retained altitude values from 0 m - 2000 m AGL which resulted in the removal of four values which likely arose due to errors (Appendix A). To ensure locations collected were only from flying vultures, we removed any locations with altitudes lower than 30 m. To compare average daily maximum flight heights between species, we used a linear fixed effect model with daily maximum flight height as the response term and individual ID as a random intercept term to account for repeated measurements.

iii) Path segmentation analysis

To classify differing movement behaviours (flying vs. non-flying), we implemented Hidden Markov Models (HMM) using the ‘moveHMM’ R package (Michelot et al., 2016) on the regularised (hourly) vulture movement dataset. HMMs use movement elements (step-lengths and turning angles) to predict behaviour from known GPS points, and the probability of behavioural state change when reaching the next location. These methods can distinguish resting/feeding vs active phases (such as travelling and foraging). We fitted a 2-state no covariate model to both vulture species. HMMs require starting values for step lengths and turning angles, for our analyses we used a function in R which uses a suite of starting values and returns the best fitted model. These were checked and the lowest AIC model was used. Last, we fitted our model and assigned behavioural states using the Viterbi algorithm, which formulates the most likely state sequences (Zucchini et al., 2016), then the proportion of time spent resting/feeding vs. travelling/foraging were compared between the species using linear mixed effects models.

iv) Carcass arrival patterns

To explore temporal patterns in carcass visitation we created single species binomial mixed effects models with the proportion of images of each given species detected per hour as the response term, and daylight hours since carcass deployment as linear and quadratic terms and carcasses deployment code as a random intercept term (to account for repeat measures from the same carcass). We compared the linear and quadratic time models with the null model based on AICc, and only present the results from the best supported model.


306 **Results**

307 *i) Biometric comparisons*

308 There were significant differences between King vultures and Greater Yellow-headed
309 vultures for three of the six traits assessed (Figure 2). King vultures were, on average, 2 kg
310 heavier (43%; Figure 2A), had tarsi ~30 mm larger (45%; Figure 2F), and a tail that was 4 cm
311 shorter (22%; Figure 2C) than the Greater yellow-headed vultures. We found no significant
312 differences between wing-span (Figure 2B), beak length (Figure 2E), or beak width (Figure
313 2D).

314

315



316

Figure 2: Error-bar plot of King vulture (KV) and Greater Yellow-headed vulture (GYV) morphometric measurements (A = weight (g); B = wing span (cm); C = Tail length (cm); D = beak width (mm); E = beak length (mm); F = Tarsus length (mm). Where: points = species-specific mean values; vertical lines = 95% confidence intervals; * = significantly different between species; n.s. = not significantly different between species. Raw morphometric data are shown in Appendix B.

ii) Movement characteristics

The home range of the King vulture was estimated to be five times larger than that of the Greater yellow-headed vulture (Figure 3A; 2750 km² vs. 13,000 km²). Despite these differences there was no statistically significant difference in the average daily distance travelled by each species, although King vultures travel an average of 10 km less than Greater yellow-headed vultures each day (Figure 3B; 28 km vs. 40 km) - suggesting daily travel distance is highly variable. The average daily maximum flight height was significantly higher for King vultures than Greater yellow-headed vultures (Figure 3C; 695 m and 360 m respectively), the differences were not driven by physiological maxima as the maximum recorded heights of each species were similar (King vulture = 1983 m; Greater yellow-headed vulture = 1729 m). There was also support for species-specific diel flight height patterns (Figure 3D), where King vulture average flight heights peaked at around midday (~592 m), whereas Greater yellow-headed vulture flight height increased steadily until the midafternoon (~160 m).





Figure 3: A = Estimated home range (km²); B = average daily distance travelled (km); C = estimate daily maximum height (m); D = Estimated hourly height (m). Where: points = species-specific mean values; vertical lines = 95% confidence intervals.; * = significantly different between species; n.s. = not significantly different between species. Raw movement characteristics data are shown in Appendix C.

iii) Path segmentation analyses

The behavioural model (flying vs. non-flying) demonstrated that although King vultures and Greater yellow-headed vultures spend a similar proportion of each 24-hour period flying (~25%; Figure 4A), when these flight times occur differ during the day, the probability that Greater yellow-headed vultures are flying is significantly higher than King vultures in the late

352 morning (9 am and 10 am) whereas King vultures remain in flight later into the evening (6
353 pm and 7 pm).

354



355


356 **Figure 4:** The estimated proportion of hourly observations where the individual is flying
357 across all time steps (A) and through different hours of the day (B). Where: points = species
358 average from a linear mixed effects model; vertical lines = 95% confidence intervals.

359

360 *iv) Carcass arrival times*

361 Camera trap monitoring of provisioned carcasses revealed that of the four vulture species
362 which arrived to feed, three visited all carcasses (King, Greater yellow-headed, and black
363 vultures) and Turkey vultures were detected at two of the six (33%). Greater yellow-headed
364 vultures were the first species to be detected at the animal carcass in 3 out of 6 occasions
365 (50%), and second in the remaining 50% of cases (Figure 5A) with the shortest average time
366 until detection (5.3 daylight hours). Black vultures were first to be detected at 2 of 6 carcasses
367 (33%) with the second shortest time until detection (6.6 daylight hours). King vultures were
368 typically detected after Greater yellow-headed and black vultures, third at 5 of 6 carcasses
369 (83%), and first on one occasion. They also had the second longest average time until
370 detection (12.8 daylight hours). Turkey vultures, if detected, were the last to arrive (4th), and

371 had the longest average time until detection (17.5 daylight hours). The proportion of images
372 containing a given species showed marked interspecies variation: Greater yellow-headed
373 vultures were, on average, the dominant species in the first 10 daylight hours from carcass
374 deployment, then replaced by king and black vultures as time increased. As the relative
375 number of detections of king and black vultures began to fall again (~40 hours), the relative
376 abundance of Greater yellow-headed vultures again began to rise. Turkey vultures were
377 infrequently detected across all times.



378
379
380 **Figure 5 Summary of arrival order (A) and the predicted proportion of detections**
381 **through time (B) for each of the vulture species detected.** Where: “Arrival order”
382 represents the order at which species were detected at provisioned carcasses; ‘proportions of
383 detections’ represents the mean estimated proportion of detections of a given species from
384 single-species mixed-effects model; and ‘daylight hours’ represents the number of daylight
385 hours since carcass deployment.

387

388 **Discussion**

389 Here we use a complementary set of approaches and technologies, including morphological
390 measurements, data from on-bird sensors, and camera trap-gathered carcass interaction data,
391 to differentiate the foraging strategies of King and Greater yellow-headed vultures (Naves-
392 Alegre et al., 2022). We find several clear differences in physical traits associated with flight
393 behaviour (weight, wingspan, and tail length) and feeding (tarsus size), marked differences in
394 space use and flight behaviours related to foraging, and corresponding differences in carcass
395 arrival time and temporal feeding patterns. Below, we discuss how these findings provide
396 insight into how multiple obligate scavengers can coexist and compete for high-value
397 ephemeral carrion resources, and discuss the implications for the future protection of diverse
398 scavenger networks.

399

400 Elucidating the mechanisms by which diverse assemblages of competing species can persist
401 is a fundamental challenge in community ecology. In the case of obligate scavengers, the
402 spatio-temporal unpredictability of high-value ephemeral resources such as carrion, allows
403 species with alternative foraging and feeding strategies to coexist (Cortés-Avizanda et al.,
404 2012). Interestingly, the sympatric King and Greater yellow-headed vultures show no overt
405 differences in beak morphology, which suggests they have the potential to consume similar
406 food items, perhaps indicating that the type of carrion resource utilised plays a relatively
407 minor role in niche differentiation of these species. Rather, these species adopt differing
408 search strategies. The combination of traits and movement behaviour of Greater yellow-
409 headed vulture is consistent with foraging scouts - they are relatively light-weight, start flying
410 in the early morning, low over the canopy and across relatively small home ranges. These

traits, in combination with Greater yellow-headed vulture's well developed sense of smell (Luis G. Gomez et al., 1994) means that they are typically the first vulture species to 'smell-out' potential food sources and arrive at a carcass. The King vulture, in contrast, adopts a different approach - weighing over double that of a Greater yellow-headed vulture, they start flying later in the morning, fly higher and across huge home ranges. Given King vulture's relatively poor sense of smell (Houston, 1984), these physical and movement traits reflect visual searching for food within the landscape. While it has been suggested that King vultures specifically look for and follow jaguar (*Panthera onca*, Schlee, 2007), in closed canopy forest such as the lowland amazon direct visual sightings of predators or carrion are likely rare. Indeed, other studies outside of the Neotropics have found that vultures tend to prefer carcasses not killed by predators (Kendall et al., 2012). The visual cues they are using are more likely to be signs of other birds, like the Greater yellow-headed and Turkey vultures, but most likely by the mass flocks of Black vultures that begin to swarm any carcasses initially discovered by the Greater yellow-headed vulture. Relative to the Greater yellow-headed vulture, there is less of an advantage for King vultures to find carcasses first as they are able to use their large size to competitively displace smaller species from carrion when they arrive (Naves-Alegre et al., 2022).

Consistent with the morphological and movement trait data, we find no evidence of spatial niche separation between King, Greater yellow-headed and Black vulture at provisioned carcasses - they were detected at all provisioned carcasses. Rather, species differed in their temporal patterns of carcass visitation. King and Black vultures tend to get longer feeding-times as the dominant species at carcasses (~50 daylight hours), whereas Greater yellow-headed vultures accessed the food resource earlier, and for a shorter time period (typically within the first 10 daylight hours). Greater yellow-headed vulture did often remain in the

vicinity of the carcass, and after 50 hours, would become the dominant species at carcasses once again - suggesting that they can utilise older carrion, or that they specialise in cleaning up remaining sinew and cartilages left by the King and Black vultures. Interestingly the Turkey vulture was only detected at one of the six carcasses, suggesting either some element of spatial niche separation, or perhaps a preference for very recently deceased carrion. Previous work has shown that Turkey vultures were efficient at detecting day-old carcasses, and would reject extremely rotten meat (Houston, 1986).

There are two key limitations to this study that would be fruitful to address in future research. The first relates to our chosen size of provisioned carcasses - we used relatively large pig carcasses (~50kg) to mimic carcasses of larger rainforest vertebrates, such as peccaries, tapir, or capybara. However, it has recently been shown in the Brazilian *cerrado* (Naves-Alegre et al., 2022) and in African regions (Kendall et al., 2012), that different sized carcasses contribute to the resource partitioning of vultures. Perhaps smaller carcasses are quickly discovered by Greater yellow-headed or Turkey vulture which have the greatest sense of smell and ‘scouting’ ability, and feed before large aggregations of King or Black vultures can form and displace them. Previous research suggests there is also potential for spatial niche separation according to habitat type (Holland et al., 2019) which may contribute to the coexistence of neotropical obligate scavengers. Whereas Greater yellow-headed vultures may typically arrive first to carrion located in dense closed-canopy forest, carrion in open habitats (e.g. riverine or cattle pasture) may be more readily accessible to the fast detection by the visual Black or King vultures. Each of our provisioned carcasses were beneath a closed forest canopy. Future work should investigate this effect of carcass size and stratifying between different habitat types to gain a more complete picture of the mechanisms promoting coexistence in neotropical vulture communities.

461

462 Our biometric and movement data is limited by a relatively small sample size of birds.
463 However, they represent the first GPS tagged individuals of either species (King and Greater
464 yellow-headed vulture) to be published. Expanding the tracking initiatives to more
465 individuals, throughout a broader set of habitats, and in locations with and without sympatric
466 species, would allow assessments of context dependent foraging behaviours. Furthermore, a
467 greater number of tracked individuals in the same focal landscape would facilitate assessment
468 of information transfer and interactions between vulture species directly from their movement
469 behaviour (e.g. Long, 2015; Williams and Safi, 2021; Nathan et al., 2022). Increasing the
470 sample sizes within and across species will also help understand how individual movement
471 and behavioural decisions scale up to influence community processes (Costa-Pereira et al.,
472 2022). Perhaps the most pressing need from a conservation perspective, is to study how
473 human modification influences the mechanisms of coexistence in the neotropical obligate
474 scavenger communities (Santangeli et al., 2022). For example, research from southern
475 Argentina (Carrete et al., 2010) suggests that the common Black vulture, a generalist and
476 disturbance tolerant species, appears to be expanding its range alongside increasing human
477 development, potentially increasing competitive and antagonistic interactions with threatened
478 Andean condor (*Vultur gryphus*). As anthropogenic disturbance expands in the Amazon,
479 negative responses of other species thought to depend on contiguous undisturbed habitats
480 (e.g. Greater yellow-headed or King vulture) could ultimately lead to decreased diversity and
481 homogenization, with potentially negative consequences for ecosystem functioning of
482 neotropical ecosystems.

483

484 **Conclusions**

485 We have shown how integrating methods and technologies can elucidate mechanisms of
486 coexistence in neotropical obligate scavenger communities in a remote, natural ecosystem.
487 Vultures adopt different foraging strategies in the absence of overt spatial and diel niche
488 separation, which influence when and how they find high value ephemeral resources. The
489 crucial next steps are to determine how expanding human modification will influence the
490 movement decisions, interactions and information transfer between different obligate
491 scavengers, and the implications of such changes on the vital ecosystem services they
492 provide.

493

494

495 **Ethics declarations**

496 This study involved the capturing and handling of wild animals. To ensure the safety and
497 welfare of both the wild animals and the field team this research study project followed
498 already established protocols for the capturing and handling of large raptors (vultures). These
499 protocols were carried out by experienced and trained wildlife veterinarians and biologists to
500 ensure the health and safety of the animals. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) was always
501 utilised by the field team as part of the biosecurity protocols to ensure there was no
502 possibility of transfer of virus or disease between wild animals and people. Finally, all GPS
503 harnesses deployed on vultures followed the established rule of not weighing more than 4%
504 of the bird's body weight to ensure the bird is healthy post-capture and there are no factors
505 reducing the survival of the bird.

506 **Consent for publication**

507 Not applicable.

508 **Competing interests**

509 The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

510

511

512 References

- 513 Barber, D. R. and Bildstein, K. L. (2011) 'A lightweight portable, walk-in trap for catching
514 vultures.' *Vulture News*, 60 pp. 22–25.
- 515
- 516 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S. (2015) 'Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects
517 Models Using lme4.' *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1).
- 518
- 519 Buckley, N. J. (1997) 'Experimental tests of the information-center hypothesis with black
520 vultures (*Coragyps atratus*) and turkey vultures (*Cathartes aura*).' *Behavioral Ecology and*
521 *Sociobiology*, 41(4) pp. 267–279.
- 522
- 523 Calabrese, J. M., Fleming, C. H. and Gurarie, E. (2016) 'ctmm: an r package for analyzing
524 animal relocation data as a continuous-time stochastic process.' *Methods in Ecology and*
525 *Evolution*, 7(9) pp. 1124–1132.
- 526
- 527 Carrete, M., Lambertucci, S. A., Speziale, K., Ceballos, O., Travaini, A., Delibes, M.,
528 Hiraldo, F. and Donazar, J. A. (2010) 'Winners and losers in human-made habitats:
529 interspecific competition outcomes in two Neotropical vultures.' *Animal Conservation*. Wiley
530 Online Library, 13(4) pp. 390–398.
- 531
- 532 Carucci, T., Whitehouse-Tedd, K., Yarnell, R. W., Collins, A., Fitzpatrick, F., Botha, A. and
533 Santangeli, A. (2022) 'Ecosystem services and disservices associated with vultures: A
534 systematic review and evidence assessment.' *Ecosystem Services*, 56, August, p. 101447.
535 *CITES* (2022).
- 536
- 537 Cortés-Avizanda, A., Jovani, R., Carrete, M. and Donazar, J. A. (2012) 'Resource
538 unpredictability promotes species diversity and coexistence in an avian scavenger guild: a
539 field experiment.' *Ecology*. Wiley Online Library, 93(12) pp. 2570–2579.
- 540
- 541 Costa-Pereira, R., Moll, R. J., Jesmer, B. R. and Jetz, W. (2022) 'Animal tracking moves
542 community ecology: Opportunities and challenges.' *Journal of Animal Ecology*. Wiley
543 Online Library, 91(7) pp. 1334–1344.
- 544
- 545 Craig, C. A., Thomson, R. L. and Santangeli, A. (2018) 'Communal farmers of Namibia
546 appreciate vultures and the ecosystem services they provide.' *Ostrich*, 89(3) pp. 211–220.
- 547 Fleming, C. H. and Calabrese, J. M. (2017) 'A new kernel density estimator for accurate
548 home-range and species-range area estimation.' *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8(5) pp.
549 571–579.
- 550
- 551 Gangoso, L., Agudo, R., Anadón, J. D., de la Riva, M., Suleyman, A. S., Porter, R. and
552 Donazar, J. A. (2013) 'Reinventing mutualism between humans and wild fauna: insights from
553 vultures as ecosystem services providers.' *Conservation Letters*, 6(3) pp. 172–179.
- 554
- 555 Grigg, N. P., Krilow, J. M., Gutierrez-Ibanez, C., Wylie, D. R., Graves, G. R. and Iwaniuk,
556 A. N. (2017) 'Anatomical evidence for scent guided foraging in the turkey vulture.' *Scientific*
557 *Reports*. Nature Publishing Group, 7(1) p. 17408.
- 558

- Hertel, F. (1994) 'Diversity in Body Size and Feeding Morphology within Past and Present Vulture Assemblages.' *Ecology*, 75(4) pp. 1074–1084.
- Holland, A. E., Byrne, M. E., Bryan, A. L., DeVault, T. L., Rhodes, O. E. and Beasley, J. C. (2017) 'Fine-scale assessment of home ranges and activity patterns for resident black vultures (*Coragyps atratus*) and turkey vultures (*Cathartes aura*).' *PLOS ONE*. Public Library of Science, 12(7) p. e0179819.
- Holland, A. E., Byrne, M. E., Hepinstall-Cymerman, J., Bryan, A. L., DeVault, T. L., Rhodes, O. E. and Beasley, J. C. (2019) 'Evidence of niche differentiation for two sympatric vulture species in the Southeastern United States.' *Movement Ecology*. Springer, 7 pp. 1–12.
- Houston, D. C. (1984) 'Does the King Vulture *Sarcorhamphus papa* use a sense of smell to locate food?' *Ibis*. Wiley Online Library, 126(1) pp. 67–69.
- Houston, D. C. (1986) 'Scavenging efficiency of turkey vultures in tropical forest.' *The Condor*. Oxford University Press, 88(3) pp. 318–323.
- IUCN (2016) 'Sarcoramphus papa: BirdLife International: The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T22697645A93627003.' International Union for Conservation of Nature.
- Jackson, A. L., Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. (2008) 'The effect of social facilitation on foraging success in vultures: a modelling study.' *Biology Letters*. Royal Society, 4(3) pp. 311–313.
- Jeltsch, F., Bonte, D., Pe'er, G., Reineking, B., Leimgruber, P., Balkenhol, N., Schröder, B., Buchmann, C. M., Mueller, T. and Blaum, N. (2013) 'Integrating movement ecology with biodiversity research-exploring new avenues to address spatiotemporal biodiversity dynamics.' *Movement Ecology*. BioMed Central, 1(1) pp. 1–13.
- Johnson, D., London, J. M. and McClintock, B. (2022) 'NMML/crawl: Last CRAN release.' Zenodo. Johnson, D. S., London, J. M., Lea, M.-A. and Durban, J. W. (2008)
- Kendall, C. J. (2014) 'The early bird gets the carcass: temporal segregation and its effects on foraging success in avian scavengers.' *The Auk: Ornithological Advances*. Oxford University Press, 131(1) pp. 12–19.
- Kendall, C., Virani, M. Z., Kirui, P., Thomsett, S. and Githiru, M. (2012) 'Mechanisms of coexistence in vultures: understanding the patterns of vulture abundance at carcasses in Masai Mara National Reserve, Kenya.' *The Condor*. Oxford University Press, 114(3) pp. 523–531.
- Long, J. A. (2015) 'Quantifying spatial-temporal interactions from wildlife tracking data: Issues of space, time, and statistical significance.' *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. Elsevier, 26 pp. 3–10.
- Lovejoy, T. E. and Nobre, C. (2019) 'Amazon tipping point: Last chance for action.' *Science Advances*. American Association for the Advancement of Science, 5(12) p. eaba2949.
- Luis G. Gomez, David C. Houston, Peter Cotton, and Alan Tye (1994) 'The role of Greater Yellow-headed Vultures *Cathartes melambrotus* as scavengers in neotropical forest.' *Ibis*,

- 609 136(2) pp. 193–196.
 610
 611 McClure, C. J. W., Westrip, J. R. S., Johnson, J. A., Schulwitz, S. E., Virani, M. Z., Davies,
 612 R., Symes, A., Wheatley, H., Thorstrom, R., Amar, A., Buij, R., Jones, V. R., Williams, N.
 613 P., Buechley, E. R. and Butchart, S. H. M. (2018) ‘State of the world’s raptors: Distributions,
 614 threats, and conservation recommendations.’ *Biological Conservation*, 227, November, pp.
 615 390–402.
 616
 617 Michelot, T., Langrock, R. and Patterson, T. A. (2016) ‘moveHMM: an R package for the
 618 statistical modelling of animal movement data using hidden Markov models.’ *Methods in*
 619 *Ecology and Evolution*. Wiley Online Library, 7(11) pp. 1308–1315.
 620
 621 Mundy, P., Butchart, D., Ledger, J. and Piper, S. (1992) *The vultures of Africa*. Academic
 622 Press London.
 623
 624 Nathan, R., Monk, C. T., Arlinghaus, R., Adam, T., Alós, J., Assaf, M., Baktoft, H.,
 625 Beardsworth, C. E., Bertram, M. G. and Bijleveld, A. I. (2022) ‘Big-data approaches lead to
 626 an increased understanding of the ecology of animal movement.’ *Science*. American
 627 Association for the Advancement of Science, 375(6582) p. eabg1780.
 628
 629 Naves-Alegre, L., Morales-Reyes, Z., Sánchez-Zapata, J. A. and Sebastián-González, E.
 630 (2022) ‘Scavenger assemblages are structured by complex competition and facilitation
 631 processes among vultures.’ *Journal of Zoology*. Wiley Online Library.
 632
 633 Naves-Alegre, L., Morales-Reyes, Z., Sánchez-Zapata, J. A., Sebastián-González, E. and
 634 Ovaskainen, O. (2022) ‘Scavenging in the realm of senses: smell and vision drive recruitment
 635 at carcasses in Neotropical ecosystems.’ *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
 636 *Sciences*. Royal Society, 289(1986) p. 20220843.
 637
 638 Ogada, D. L., Keesing, F. and Virani, M. Z. (2012) ‘Dropping dead: causes and consequences
 639 of vulture population declines worldwide: Worldwide decline of vultures.’ *Annals of the New*
 640 *York Academy of Sciences*, 1249(1) pp. 57–71.
 641
 642 Olea, P. P., Iglesias, N. and Mateo-Tomás, P. (2022) ‘Temporal resource partitioning
 643 mediates vertebrate coexistence at carcasses: the role of competitive and facilitative
 644 interactions.’ *Basic and Applied Ecology*. Elsevier, 60 pp. 63–75.
 645
 646 Pain, D. J., Bowden, C. G. R., Cunningham, A. A., Cuthbert, R., Das, D., Gilbert, M., Jakati,
 647 R. D., Jhala, Y., Khan, A. A., Naidoo, V., Oaks, J. L., Parry-Jones, J., Prakash, V., Rahmani,
 648 A., Ranade, S. P., Baral, H. S., Senacha, K. R., Saravanan, S., Shah, N., Swan, G., Swarup,
 649 D., Taggart, M. A., Watson, R. T., Virani, M. Z., Wolter, K. and Green, R. E. (2008) ‘The
 650 race to prevent the extinction of South Asian vultures.’ *Bird Conservation International*.
 651 Cambridge University Press, 18(S1) pp. S30–S48.
 652
 653 Pavlis, N. K., Holmes, S. A., Kenyon, S. C. and Factor, J. K. (2012) ‘The development and
 654 evaluation of the Earth Gravitational Model 2008 (EGM2008).’ *Journal of geophysical*
 655 *research: solid earth*. Wiley Online Library, 117(B4).
 656
 657 Poessel, S. A., Duerr, A. E., Hall, J. C., Braham, M. A. and Katzner, T. E. (2018) ‘Improving
 658 estimation of flight altitude in wildlife telemetry studies.’ Minderman, J. (ed.) *Journal of*

- 659 *Applied Ecology*, 55(4) pp. 2064–2070.
 660
 661 Rabenold, P. P. (1987) ‘Recruitment to food in black vultures: evidence for following from
 662 communal roosts.’ *Animal Behaviour*, 35(6) pp. 1775–1785.
 663
 664 Santangeli, A., Buechley, E. R., Mammola, S. and Lambertucci, S. A. (2022) ‘Priorities for
 665 research and action to prevent a New World vulture crisis.’ *Biological Conservation*, 270,
 666 June, p. 109563.
 667
 668 Schlee, M. A. (2007) ‘King Vultures (*Sarcoramphus papa*) follow jaguar in the Serranía de la
 669 Cerbatana, Venezuela.’ *Vulture News*, 57 pp. 4–16.
 670
 671 Sebastián-González, E., Barbosa, J. M., Pérez-García, J. M., Morales-Reyes, Z., Botella, F.,
 672 Olea, P. P., Mateo-Tomás, P., Moleón, M., Hiraldo, F. and Arrondo, E. (2019) ‘Scavenging
 673 in the Anthropocene: Human impact drives vertebrate scavenger species richness at a global
 674 scale.’ *Global change biology*. Wiley Online Library, 25(9) pp. 3005–3017.
 675
 676 Selva, N. and Fortuna, M. A. (2007) ‘The nested structure of a scavenger community.’
 677 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. The Royal Society London,
 678 274(1613) pp. 1101–1108.
 679
 680 Van den Heever, L., Thompson, L. J., Bowerman, W. W., Smit-Robinson, H., Shaffer, L. J.,
 681 Harrell, R. M. and Ottinger, M. A. (2021) ‘Reviewing the role of vultures at the human-
 682 wildlife-livestock disease interface.’ Raptor Research Foundation, September.
 683
 684 Williams, H. J. and Safi, K. (2021) ‘Certainty and integration of options in animal
 685 movement.’ *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. Elsevier, 36(11) pp. 990–999.
 686
 687 Zucchini, W., MacDonald, I. L. and Langrock, R. (2016) *Hidden markov models for time*
 688 *series: an introduction using R*. Second edition, Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis
 689 Group (Monographs on statistics and applied probability, 150).