



# Trigonal bipyramidal or square pyramidal: Another ten minute exploration.

HENRY RZEPA

READ REVIEWS

WRITE A REVIEW

CORRESPONDENCE:

[h.rzepa@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:h.rzepa@imperial.ac.uk)

DATE RECEIVED:

June 10, 2015

DOI:

10.15200/winn.143118.81176

ARCHIVED:

May 09, 2015


CITATION:

Henry Rzepa, Trigonal bipyramidal or square pyramidal: Another ten minute exploration., *The Winnower* 2:e143118.81176, 2015, DOI: [10.15200/winn.143118.81176](https://doi.org/10.15200/winn.143118.81176)


© Rzepa This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and redistribution in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.




This is rather cranking the handle, but taking my [previous post](#) and altering the search definition of the crystal structure database from 4- to 5-coordinate metals, one gets the following.




Fe ...



Co ...



Ni ...



Cu ...

Trigonal bipyramidal coordination has angles of 90, 120 and 180°. Square pyramidal has no 120° angles, and the 180° angles might be somewhat reduced. Thus the Fe and Co series have plenty of 120, whereas the Ni and Cu series hardly any. The Ni series has many 160° values. It is clearly a serious issue that attempting any correlation with the spin states is going to be a lot of really hard work (I might next do another simple search where bond lengths can be shown to very closely correlate with low/medium/high spin states). I will not be trying a more finely grained analysis of the above plots; I just wanted to point out how very simple and quick they are to generate.