

The Climatic Significance of Biogenic Aerosols in the Boreal Region Now and in the Future



Special Issue "Aerosol Radiative Effects"

Guest Editors:
Dr. Tero Mielonen and
Prof. Antti Arola
Deadline for manuscript
submissions: **15 July 2019**

T. Mielonen¹, A. Hienola¹, T. Kühn^{1,2}, A. Laakso¹, J. Merikanto¹, A. Lipponen¹, T. Bergman^{1,3}, H. Korhonen¹,
P. Kolmonen¹, L. Sogacheva¹, D. Ghent⁴, M.R.A. Pitkänen¹, A., Arola¹, G. de Leeuw^{1,5}, H. Kokkola¹

Tero.mielonen@fmi.fi @tmielone

¹Finnish Meteorological Institute, Kuopio/Helsinki, Finland

²University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

³Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, The Netherlands

⁴University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

⁵University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland



1. INTRODUCTION

We have quantified the radiative effects of temperature dependent aerosol optical depth (AOD) over the southeastern USA (Mielonen et al. 2018).

- the temperature-dependent AOD component was linked to biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs)

Boreal forests also emit significant amounts of BVOCs during summers (JJA) so we used climate modeling and satellite remote sensing to see if we could quantify the aerosol radiative effects of these BVOC emissions.

2. METHODS

Climate model: **ECHAM6.1-HAM2.2-SALSA** (Kokkola et al., 2018)

- T63L31-resolution ($1.9^\circ \times 1.9^\circ$, 31 vertical levels)
- 11-year simulations (CTRL + noBioSOA) for present day (**PRES**: 2006-2016), future (**FUT**: 2045-2055), and future with current emissions (**FUT_{emi12}**)
- free runs with SST and SIC (CMIP5) averaged over 11 years
- ACCMIP emissions for 2012 and 2050 (RCP8.5)


Satellite data (2005-2011, Level 3)

- AATSR**: Land surface temperature (LST), Aerosol optical depth (AOD)
- AIRS**: Carbon Monoxide (CO)
- OMI**: Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)
- MODIS**: Thermal Anomalies (FRP), Land cover types (IGBP)
- Products mainly collocated to a daily, $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid
- To avoid smoke contribution we only analyzed pixels with
 - air masses coming from the north (based on ERA-Interim data)
 - no thermal anomalies in the surrounding pixels or north of the pixel
 - no anomalously high CO/AOD concentrations


Comparisons were done using pixel-wise anomalies together with regional anomalies

3. RESULTS

The boreal forests (**mixed forests** and **evergreen needleleaf forests**) were analyzed as a whole and subregional analyses were done for **Canada**, **Siberia** and **Western Russia**.



The relationship between summertime (JJA) AOD and LST over boreal regions based on simulations and satellite observations. The dots represent pixel-wise anomalies whereas the pentagons are regional anomalies. The simulated "biogenic AOD" is calculated as the difference between the CTRL and noBioSOA simulations. "Pixel R" is the correlation coefficient between the pixel-wise AOD and LST values and the "slope" is the slope of the corresponding linear fit.



The table below lists the simulated climatic effects of biogenic aerosols over the whole boreal forest region. *AOD* is the total AOD, *bioAOD* is the biogenic part of AOD, *bioFORcl* is the clear-sky forcing of biogenic aerosols, *bioFORall* is the the all-sky forcing of biogenic aerosols and accordingly, *FORcl* and *FORall* are the clear-sky and all-sky forcings of the total aerosol load. *PRES* is the present day simulation whereas ΔFUT and ΔFUT_{emi12} are the changes between the present day simulation and the future simulations. Positive changes indicate larger values in the future. The average summertime temperature in the studied region is ~ 2 K warmer in the future simulations.

SUMMER (JJA)	bioAOD	AOD	bioFORcl [W/m ²]	FORcl [W/m ²]	bioFORall [W/m ²]	FORall [W/m ²]	Cloud cover [%]
PRES (2012)	0.015	0.083	-0.63		-0.27		72
$\Delta T \sim 2$ K	<i>Change from present day</i>						
ΔFUT (2050)	0.004	-0.023	-0.26	0.62	-0.12	-0.27	-4
ΔFUT_{emi12}	0.006	0.008	-0.21	-0.27	-0.12	-0.35	-4

4. CONCLUSIONS AND TAKE-AWAY MESSAGE

- No clear relationship between AOD and LST was found over the whole boreal region
- One region exhibited a clearer dependence probably due to higher anthropogenic emissions
- Simulations were in good agreement with satellite observations

In the future, clear-sky aerosol forcing is expected to decrease if anthropogenic emissions decrease even though biogenic emissions increase

- All-sky forcing will increase in a warmer future and biogenic emissions contribute approximately one third to it

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the ESA Living Planet Fellowship (ESA contract No. 4000112802/14/I-SBo), the Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence in Atmospheric Science (272041) and Academy project RECON (308292).

REFERENCES

- Kokkola et al., SALSA2.0: The sectional aerosol module of the aerosol–chemistry–climate model ECHAM6.3.0-HAM2.3-MOZ1.0, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **2018**, 11, 3833–3863.
- Mielonen, et al., Summertime Aerosol Radiative Effects and Their Dependence on Temperature over the Southeastern USA, *Atmosphere*, **2018**, 9, 180.