

1 **Anxiety and depressive effects of antiepileptics in animal models**

2 **Abstract**

3 **Aim:** Cognitive impairment is frequently observed in epileptic patients. It has been seen that
4 not only epilepsy but antiepileptic drugs also impair cognitive functions. The present study
5 was undertaken to assess the effect of three anticonvulsants Levetiracetam (60 mg/kg, p.o.),
6 Vigabatrin (100 mg/kg, p.o.) and Sodyum Valproat (50 mg/kg, p.o.) on anxiety and
7 depression on animal models of rats.

8 **Materials and methods:** Elevated plus maze (EPM) and Forced swimming test- Porsolt tests
9 (FST) were carried out after 12th weeks of the lives of rats those that took the three
10 anticonvulsion therapy administration.

11 **Results:** The results of the present study indicate that none of the three antikonvulsan drugs
12 taken in childhood period impairs anxiety and depression in adult hood.

13 **Conclusion:** To conclude, long term administration of Levetiracetam, Vigabatrin and
14 Sodyum Valproat have no effect on the anxiety and depression at adulthood time if epilepsy
15 does not exist.

16 **Key Words:** Antiepileptic drugs, childhood, anxiety, depression, animal study.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **1.Introduction**

27 Epilepsy and antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) therapy have deleterious effects on cognition
28 in patients receiving them who suffer from memory deficits, learning disabilities and
29 behavioural problems [1, 2].

30 Individuals without epilepsy depressive and anxiety disorders tend to occur together
31 with a high frequency, and anxiety is often comorbid with depression in epilepsy [3]. It has
32 been noticed that AEDs can also bring about untoward effect on cognition and behaviour [4].
33 The neurocognitive burden of epilepsy may even start before birth through in utero exposure
34 to AEDs [5,6]. At therapeutic doses AED treatment disturbs memory formation, retention
35 and orientation in patients receiving polytherapy [4].

36 Among the established antiepileptic drugs barbiturates and benzodiazepines have
37 demonstrated detrimental effects on cognition leading to decreased arousal and deficits in
38 cognitive performance [7-8]. Phenobarbital has more cognitive and behavioural toxicity as
39 compared to other antiepileptics. However, some of the newer antiepileptic drugs have been
40 reported to have favourable cognitive and behavioural profile such as vigabatrin, gabapentin,
41 levetiracetam, tiagabine [9].

42 When tasked with addressing comorbid depression and/or anxiety in patients with
43 epilepsy, neurologists may attempt to rely on potential mood stabilization properties of AEDs
44 such as carbamazepine, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine and valproate[10]. Conversely,
45 neurologists must be mindful of not exacerbating underlying psychiatric disorders with AEDs.
46 These include levetiracetam and perampanel, both of which may contribute to increased
47 anger, irritability, anxiety and depression in some patients [11, 12].

48 Accordingly, we sought to evaluate changes in depression and anxiety symptoms
49 following the initiation of Levetiracetam, Sodium Valproate and Vigabatrin in animal models.

50

51 **2. Study and design**

52 This study is conducted by the departments of Pediatrics and Pharmacology, and is
53 performed at Behaviour Pharmacology Laboratory of The Pharmacology Department in
54 Medical Faculty of XXXXXX University. All experimental procedures were conducted in
55 accordance with national legislation and associated guidelines of care and use of laboratory
56 animals in research and approved by the Local Ethical Committee (approval number:
57 2016/04/29).

58 The number of 80 Healthy Wistar albino, those were acquired from the Central
59 Animal House, University College of Medical Sciences, University of XXXXXX, XXXXXX;
60 were separated from their mothers at the 24th day of birth and were included into the study.
61 Animals were kept under standard laboratory conditions (12: 12 light–dark cycle; temperature
62 at 22°C; 50–60% relative humidity; ad libitum access to food and water). These animals were
63 housed in groups of 5 rats per cage (43cm×28.6cm×15.5 cm) each of which were numbered,
64 weighed and marked with a nontoxic different color marker that was designated before and
65 weekly after animals were allowed food and water until the time of the 12th week postnatally.
66 Final solutions of all drugs used were obtained by adding the desired dose of the drug to 120
67 mL of drinking water, as previously reported [13]. The dose was calculated on the evidence
68 that rats drink on average 12 ml per 100 g in a day; this was further confirmed by checking
69 the volume drunk by the rats, as previously described [14]. Water bottles were wrapped in
70 silver foil to protect from light and solutions were freshly prepared and replaced daily.

71 ***2.1. Drugs and dosing schedule***

72 Levetiracetam (Keppra 100mg/ml oral solution-UCB Pharma), Vigabatrin (Sabril 500
73 mg tablet-Sanofi Aventis) and Sodium Valproate (Depakin oral solution 200mg/ml-Sanofi
74 Aventis) were purchased from market. Tablets (after crushing) and oral solutions were
75 dissolved in water. Drug treatment was given till the end of 12th weeks. Levetiracetam given

76 60mg/kg/day, Sodyum Valproat given 50mg/kg/day and Vigabatrin 100mg/kg/day show
77 highest anticonvulsant effect in clinical practice. The doses of drugs were standardized on the
78 basis of the previous publications [15].

79 Control and drug groups included 10 male 10 female rats. Hence, the following groups
80 of rats were formed:

- 81 1. Control group: (no drug use)10 female (CF) 10 male (CM)
- 82 2. Levetirasetam group: 10 female (LF), 10 male (LM)
- 83 3. Vigabatrin groups:10 female (VF) 10 male (VM)
- 84 4. Sodyum Valproat group. 10 female (NF) animals, 10 male (NM)

85 The animals were brought to the Farmacology animal laboratory at 13.th week of live
86 for the experiments. The animals were were housed in cages coated with polivinil chloride
87 (PVC) and ad libitum access to food and water daily. The bottom of the cage was covered
88 with 3 cm of corncob bedding, which was manually pressed to ensure even distribution.
89 Experimental sessions were recorded using a video camera, the recordings were evaluated
90 using a software (Ethovision XT 11.0, Noldus, Netherlands). All groups were tested in the
91 following order: The Elevated plus maze test (EPM test) and Forced swimming test- Porsolt
92 test (FST).

93 The intensity of light was 50 lux for EPM and 300 lux for FST, respectively. For all
94 behavioral studies, mice were transported into the testing room from the housing facility at
95 least 2 hr before testing. All tests were performed between 12: 30 and 16:30 p.m. to avoid
96 circadian variation.

97

98

99

100

101 **2.2. *Elevated plus maze test***

102 The apparatus was elevated 80 cm above the floor. It consisted of two opposite open arms
103 (110 cm × 12 cm) and two opposite closed arms of the same size with walls 30 cm high. The
104 arms were connected by a central square (12 cm × 12 cm). An animal was placed in the center
105 of the maze facing an open arm. The number of entries into and the time spent in closed arm
106 were scored for 5 minutes. The recordings were evaluated using a software (Ethovision XT
107 11.0, Noldus, Netherlands).The maze was thoroughly cleaned after each mouse was tested
108 [16].

109 **2.3. *Forced swimming test (Porsolt forced swimming test)***

110 Forced swimming test (FST) was performed as described originally by Porsolt [17].
111 The external testing area is a rectangular shaped area made of a glass with the dimentions of
112 70cm-30cm-30cm that contained 2 plexiglass cylinders (height 45 cm, diameter 25 cm) in it.

113 a) Pretest: 24 h prior to the experiments, the rats were individually placed in plexiglass
114 cylinders containing water (22°C) up to 25 cm of the cylinder's height. Fifteen minutes later,
115 the rats were removed to a 30°C drying room for 30 min.

116 b) Test: 24 h after the pretest the rats were placed in the cylinders and immobility was
117 measured for 5 min. A rat was judged to be immobile when it remained floating in the water
118 in an upright position and only made very small movements necessary to keep its head above
119 water. Experimental sessions were recorded using a video camera for 5-min period and than
120 the recordings were evaluated using a software (Ethovision XT 11.0, Noldus, Netherlands)
121 with respect to the time spent as immobile.

122 The water of the steup was replaced between every two to four subjects.

123

124

125

126 3. Statistical analysis

127 All statistical procedures were performed using the Graphpad Prism 6.0vfor Mac OS
128 X software (Graphpad Software, USA). Animal behavior was analyzed by one-way ANOVA
129 followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test . All tests used were two-sided, and $P \leq 0.05$ was
130 considered significant.

131 4. Results

132 4.1. Elevated plus maze test

133 During this test, time spent in the closed arms were scored for 5 minutes and the
134 percentage of it was compared within all groups. The percentage of the time spent in closed
135 arms in all groups were; C=88.48±3.500, CF=90.22±3.255, CM=86.74±6.361;
136 L=89.08±2.953, LF=90.88±3.339, LM=87.27±4.994; V=87.00±3.495, VF=85.59±6.130,
137 VM=88.42±3.682; N=91.96±2.207, NF=92.75±2.538, NM= 91.17±3.740. Values are means
138 ± Std. error of means. The time spent in closed arms in drug groups had a similar number with
139 the control group.

140 There were no significant difference between control and drug groups, and also female
141 and male groups ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 1, 2).

142 4.2. Forced swimming test

143 The percentage of immobility times for each experimental groups were;
144 C= 41.17±3.567, CF=36.54±4.385, CM=45.80±5.453; L=51.17±3.109, LF=47.92±3.599,
145 LM=54.41±5.051; V=46.73±3.701, VF=44.75±2.651, VM=48.70±7.068; N=40.64±4.783,
146 NF=37.12±4.871, NM= 44.17±8.374. Values are means ± Std.error of means. The percentage
147 of the time spent as immobile in drug groups did not differ from the control group. There
148 were no significant difference between control and drug groups and also female and male
149 groups ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 3, 4).

150

151 **5. Discussion**

152 Psychiatric disorders are frequently encountered in patients with epilepsy and are
153 generally considered as a consequence of the disease and/or its treatment; furthermore, some
154 common neurobiological basis has been proposed [18-20]. Moreover, psychiatric comorbidity
155 might influence the choice of antiepileptic drug therapy, the effectiveness of the treatment,
156 and the patients' quality of life [21, 22].

157 Both clinical and animal studies have been performed in this field in order to define or
158 find a correlation between these pathologies [23, 24]. In our study we aimed to question the
159 effects of the 3 commonly used antiepileptics (Levetirasetam, Vigabatrin, Sodyum Valproat)
160 on the most commonly seen psychiatric disorders depression and anxiety without the presence
161 of epilepsy.

162 The presence of depressive symptoms has been found to have a negative effect on the
163 severity of adverse events related to antiepileptic drugs in persons with epilepsy. For
164 example, in a population-based study, persons with epilepsy and depressive symptoms
165 compared with asymptomatic persons with epilepsy were found to endorse adverse events
166 related to antiepileptic drugs of greater severity [25]. To date there are no data on whether
167 depressive and anxiety disorders have a different effect on adverse events, whether a worse
168 effect may result from the joint occurrence of depressive and anxiety disorders, or whether
169 subsyndromic forms of depressive episodes have a lesser negative effect than clear-cut
170 depressive or anxiety disorders. Kanner et al. [26] performed a study and the findings of this
171 study demonstrated that patients with SSDE (subsyndromic depressive Episodes), MDE
172 (major depressive episodes), anxiety disorders, or mixed MDE/anxiety disorders endorse
173 worse antiepileptic drugs -related adverse events than asymptomatic patients. Exclusion from
174 the antiepileptic drugs of the four psychiatric items that can be as well the expression of a
175 mood and anxiety disorder did not change the findings obtained with the original instrument,

176 which demonstrates that the higher antiepileptic drugs scores in symptomatic patients were
177 not a function of circular reasoning. According to them the severity of adverse events did not
178 differ between patients with anxiety disorders and MDE. However, the adverse events
179 worsened when the two disorders occur together in patients with more than one type of anxiety
180 disorder. The data from this study suggest that the presence of comorbid mood and anxiety
181 disorders should be investigated in patients reporting frequent antiepileptic drugs-related
182 adverse events.

183 Russo et al. [27] presented a possible new experimental protocol for the study of drug
184 effects on the development of psychiatric comorbidity in epileptic animals, more specifically
185 chemically kindled animals (pentylenetetrazol kindling), subjected to the chronic mild stress
186 (CMS) model procedure. They also tested the effects of chronic lamotrigine (LTG) treatment,
187 started before CMS and after kindling, on the development of psychiatric comorbidity in
188 epileptic and nonepileptic control animals. Increased anxiety was observed in the elevated
189 plus maze test, openfield arena test, cat-odor exposure test, and resident intruder test.
190 Depression-like behavior was measured in the forced swimming test (FST), and an increased
191 immobility time was found. Their data for the PTZ-kindled group are in line with increased
192 anxiety. Our research conducted on non epileptic animals showed the 3 anticonvulsant drugs
193 Levetiracetam, Vigabatrin, Sodium Valproate had no effect on anxiety development.

194 Moseley et al. [28] had a clinical trial about lacosamide and their results suggest
195 lacosamide was not associated with significant changes in depression and generalized anxiety
196 when patients were examined aggregately. Based on their findings, lacosamide appears safe to
197 use in patients with these mood disorders as in our animal study. Their results suggest that
198 lacosamide does not cause clinically significant changes in depression or anxiety symptoms in
199 patients without prior histories suggestive of depression or generalized anxiety.

200 Children with epilepsy have been reported to be at high risk for behavioural and
201 psychiatric disorders in population based studies [29]. Elevated rates of depression, anxiety
202 and suicidal attempts have been reported in adults with epilepsy and it is increasingly being
203 realised that both depression and anxiety in youth with epilepsy are common but often
204 unrecognized disorders [29, 30]. The mostly used anticonvulsants such as Levetiracetam,
205 Vigabatrin and Sodium Valproate had no effect on depression and anxiety seen in epileptic
206 patients. Thereafter these psychiatric comorbidities may be assignable to epileptic seizures not
207 antiepileptics. Perhaps some antiepileptic drugs have detrimental effects on cognition but not
208 all [7, 8]. Like previous studies [27, 28] we demonstrated that some antiepileptic drugs
209 (Levetiracetam, Vigabatrin, Sodium Valproate) had no worse effect on depression and anxiety
210 disorders.

211 The early identification and treatment of both conditions is crucial to minimize the risk
212 for suicide and negative impact on quality of life. The reported frequency and severity of
213 emotional and behavioural problems in children with epilepsy would suggest that a
214 comprehensive epilepsy service should provide assessment and treatment of psychiatric
215 problems and there should be regular monitoring of psychological adjustment of children with
216 epilepsy.

217 **6. Conclusion**

218 Most antiepileptic drugs can be used safely in epileptic patients. Epilepsy itself is the
219 major factor of behavioural and psychiatric disorders in epileptic patients more than
220 antiepileptics.

221

222

223

224

225 **References**

- 226 [1] Jambaque I, Dellatolas G, Dulac D, Ponsot G, Signoret JL. Verbal and visual memory
227 impairment in children with epilepsy. *Neuropsychologia* 1993;31: 1321-37.
- 228 [2] Thompson PJ, Trimble MR. Anticonvulsant serum levels: relationship to impairments of
229 cognitive functioning. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1983; 46: 227-33.
- 230 [3] Jones JE, Hermann BP, Barry JJ, Gilliam F, Kanner AM, Andres MK et al. Clinical
231 assessment of Axis I psychiatric morbidity in chronic epilepsy: a multicenter investigation.
232 *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences* 2005; 17 (2):172-9.
- 233 [4] Blake RV, Wroe SJ, Breen EK, McCarthy RA. Accelerated forgetting in patients with
234 epilepsy/ Evidence for an impairment in memory consolidation. *Brain* 2000, 123;472-83.
- 235 [5] Motamedi G, Meador K. Epilepsy and cognition. *Epilepsy and Behav* 2003; 4: 25-38.
- 236 [6] Binnie CD. Cognitive performance subtle seizures and the EEG. *Epilepsia* 2001;42(1):16-8.
- 237 [7] Meador KJ, Loring DW, Moore EE, Thompson WO, Nichols ME, Oberzan RE et al.
238 Comparative cognitive effects of phenobarbital, phenytoin, and valproate in healthy adults.
239 *Neurology* 1995;45: 1494-9.
- 240 [8] Gholam K, Motamedi GK, Meador KJ. Antiepileptic drugs and memory. *Epilepsy Behav*
241 2004;5: 435-9.
- 242 [9] Brunbech L, Sabers A. Effect of antiepileptic drugs on cognitive function in individuals
243 with epilepsy: a comparative review of newer versus older agents. *Drugs* 2002; 62: 593-604.
- 244 [10] Eddy CM, Rickards HE, Cavanna AE. Behavioral adverse effects of antiepileptic drugs
245 in epilepsy. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 2012; 32, 362-75.
- 246 [11] Piedad J, Rickards H, Besag FM, Cavanna AE. Beneficial and adverse psychotropic
247 effects of antiepileptic drugs in patients with epilepsy: a summary of prevalence, underlying
248 mechanisms and data limitations. *CNS Drugs* 2012;26, 319-35.

- 249 [12] Rugg-Gunn, F. Adverse effects and safety profile of peram-panel: a review of pooled
250 data. *Epilepsia* 2014; 55 (Suppl. 1), 13-15.
- 251 [13] Russo E, Citraro R, Scicchitano F, De Fazio S, Perrotta I, Di Paola ED et al. Effects of
252 early long-term treatment with antiepileptic drugs on development of seizures and depressive-
253 like behavior in a rat genetic absence epilepsy model. *Epilepsia* (2011a); 52 (7): 1341-50.
- 254 [14] Russo E, Citraro R, Scicchitano F, Urzino A, Marra R, Rispoli V et al. Vigabatrin has
255 antiepileptogenic and antidepressant effects in an animal model of epilepsy and depression
256 comorbidity. *Behav Brain Res* (2011b); 225(1): 373-6.
- 257 [15] Abou-Khalil BW, *Antiepileptic Drugs. Continuum (Minneapolis Minn)* 2016;22(1):132–156.
- 258 [16] Hogg S. A review of the validity and variability of the elevated plus-maze as an animal
259 model of anxiety. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 1996; 54: 21–30.
- 260 [17] Porsolt RD. Animal models of depression: utility for transgenic research. *Rev Neurosci.*
261 2000; 11: 53-58.
- 262 [18] Canevini MP, De Sarro G, Galimberti CA, Gatti G, Licchetta L, Malerba A et al.
263 Relationship between adverse effects of antiepileptic drugs, number of coprescribed drugs,
264 and drug load in a large cohort of consecutive patients with drug refractory epilepsy. *Epilepsia*
265 2010; 51:797-804.
- 266 [19] Kanner AM. Depression and epilepsy: a review of multiple facets of their close relation.
267 *Neurol Clin* 2009; 27: 865-80.
- 268 [20] Kanner AM. Anxiety disorders in epilepsy: the forgotten psychiatric comorbidity.
269 *Epilepsy Curr* 2011;11: 90-1.
- 270 [21] Kanner AM, Schachter SC, Barry JJ, Hersdorffer DC, Mula M, Trimble M et al.
271 Depression and epilepsy: epidemiologic and neurobiologic perspectives that may explain their
272 high comorbid occurrence. *Epilepsy Behav* 2012; 24: 156-68.

- 273 [22] Luoni C, Bisulli F, Canevini MP, De Sarro G, Fattore C, Galimberti CA et al.
274 Determinants of health-related quality of life in pharmaco-resistant epilepsy: results from a
275 large multicenter study of consecutively enrolled patients using validated quantitative
276 assessments. *Epilepsia* 2011;52: 2181-91.
- 277 [23] Mazarati AM, Pineda E, Shin D, Tio D, Taylor AN, Sankar R. Comorbidity between
278 epilepsy and depression: role of hippocampal interleukin-1beta. *Neurobiol Dis* 2010;37: 461-7.
- 279 [24] Pineda E, Shin D, Sankar R, Mazarati AM. Comorbidity between epilepsy and
280 depression: experimental evidence for the involvement of serotonergic, glucocorticoid, and
281 neuroinflammatory mechanisms. *Epilepsia* 2010;51 (3):110-4.
- 282 [25] Ettinger AB, Reed M, Cramer J. Depression and co-morbidity in community based
283 patients with epilepsy or asthma. *Neurology* (2004); 63:1008-14.
- 284 [26] Kanner AM, Barry JJ, Gilliam F, Hermann B, Meador KJ. Depressive and anxiety
285 disorders in epilepsy: Do they differ in their potential to worsen common antiepileptic drug-
286 related adverse events? *Epilepsia* (2012); 53 (6):1104-8.
- 287 [27] Russo E, Chimirri S, Aiello R, De Fazio S, Leo A, Rispoli V et al. Lamotrigine
288 positively affects the development of psychiatric comorbidity in epileptic animals, while
289 psychiatric comorbidity aggravates seizures/ *Epilepsy Behavior* 28 (2013) 232-40.
- 290 [28] Moseley BD, Coleb D, Iwuoraa O, Strawnc JR, Privitera M. The effects of lacosamide
291 on depression and anxiety in patients with epilepsy. Short communication. *Epilepsy Research*
292 (2015);110:115-8.
- 293 [29] Davies S, Heyman I, Goodman R. A population survey of mental health problems in
294 children with epilepsy. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 2003;45:292-5.
- 295 [30] Caplan R, Siddarth P, Gurbani S, Hanson R, Sankar R et al. Depression and anxiety
296 disorders in pediatric epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2005; 46:720-30.

297

298 **Figure 1. Elevated plus maze test results for anxiety measures.**

299 Time spent in closed arms %. C= Control group, L= Levetiracetam group, V= Vigabatrine
300 group, N= Sodyum Valproat group.

301 One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test ; $p>0.05$ (non-significant)

302

303 **Figure 2. Elevated plus maze test results**

304 CF= Control Female group, CF= Control Male group; LF= Levetiracetam Female group,

305 LM= Levetiracetam Male group; VF= Vigabatrine Female group, VM= Vigabatrine Male

306 group; NF= Sodyum Valproat Female group, NM= Sodyum Valproat Male group. One-

307 way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test ; $p>0.05$ (non-significant)

308

309 **Figure 3. The percentage of the time spent as immobile the forced swimming test (FST)**

310 Immobility Time arms %. C= Control group, L= Levetiracetam group, V= Vigabatrine

311 group, N= Sodyum Valproat group.

312 One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test ; $p>0.05$ (non-significant)

313

314 **Figure 4. The percentage of the time spent as immobile the forced swimming test (FST)**

315 Immobility Time arms %. CF= Control Female group, CF= Control Male group; LF=

316 Levetiracetam Female group, LM= Levetiracetam Male group; VF= Vigabatrine Female

317 group, VM= Vigabatrine Male group; NF= Sodyum Valproat Female group, NM= Sodyum

318 Valproat Male group.

319 One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test ; $p>0.05$ (non-significant).

320

321