

1 **Title: COVID-19 Risk with Electrophysiology Procedures During the Pandemic**

2
3
4
5 Raman Mitra, MD, PhD¹, Eric Pagan, MD¹, David Chang, MD¹, James Gabriels MD¹, Amtul
6 Mansoor, MD¹, Beom Soo Kim, MD¹, Nicholas Skiptaris MD², Bruce Goldner, MD³, Marcin
7 Kowalski MD⁴, Laurence M Epstein, MD¹ and other members of the Northwell Health
8 Electrophysiology Study Group/Consortium¹⁻⁷
9

10
11 1. Northwell Health, North Shore University Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Division of
12 Electrophysiology, Manhasset, New York, USA
13

14 2. Northwell Health, Lenox Hill Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Division of
15 Electrophysiology, New York, New York, USA
16

17 3. Northwell Health, Long Island Jewish Medical Center, Department of Cardiology, Division of
18 Electrophysiology, New Hyde Park, New York, USA
19

20 4. Northwell Health, Staten Island University Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Division of
21 Electrophysiology, Staten Island, New York, USA
22

23 5. Northwell Health, Southside Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Division of
24 Electrophysiology, Bayshore, New York, USA
25

26 6. Northwell Health, Huntington Hospital. Department of Cardiology, Division of
27 Electrophysiology, Huntington, New York, USA
28

29 7. Northwell Health, Peconic Bay Medical Center, Department of Cardiology, Division of
30 Electrophysiology, Riverhead, New York, US
31

32
33 Conflicts of Interest/Financial Disclosures: None
34 Funding: None
35

36
37 Corresponding Author:
38 Raman Mitra, MD, PhD
39 300 Community Drive
40 Manhasset, NY 11030
41 Email: rmitra1@northwell.edu
42
43
44
45
46

47

48 **Abstract**

49

50 Background: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has overwhelmed healthcare systems worldwide
51 often at the cost of patients with serious non-COVID-19 conditions. Outcomes and risks of
52 contracting COVID-19 in patients hospitalized during the pandemic are unknown.

53 Objective: To report our experience in safely performing electrophysiology procedures during
54 the COVID-19 pandemic.

55 Methods: We examined non-COVID-19 patients who underwent electrophysiology procedures
56 during the peak of the pandemic between March 16, 2020 and May 11, 2020 at seven Northwell
57 Health hospitals. We developed a priority algorithm to stratify inpatients and outpatients
58 requiring electrophysiology procedures and instituted a protocol to minimize hospital length of
59 stay (LOS). All patients underwent post discharge 30-day telehealth follow-up and chart review
60 up to 150 days.

61 Results: A total of 217 patients underwent electrophysiology procedures, of which 86 (39%)
62 patients were outpatients. A total of 108 (49.8%) patients had a LOS less than 24 hours,
63 including 74 device implantations and generator changes, 24 cardioversions, five ablations, and
64 one electrophysiology study. There were eleven (5.1%) procedure or arrhythmia related re-
65 admissions and two (0.9%) minor procedural complications. Overall average hospital LOS was
66 83.4 ± 165.1 hours and a median of 24.0 hours. For outpatient procedures, average hospital LOS
67 was 9.4 ± 13.4 hours and a median of 4.3 hours. Overall follow-up time was 83.9 ± 42 days and a
68 median of 84 days. During follow-up, two (0.9%) patients tested positive for COVID-19 and
69 recovered uneventfully. No deaths occurred.

70 Conclusion: During the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic, patients safely underwent essential
71 electrophysiological procedures without increased incidence of acquiring COVID-19.

72 **Key Words:** COVID-19, pandemic, electrophysiology procedures, device implantation,
73 ablation, complications
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112

113 **Introduction**

114

115 The rapid spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)
116 causing corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) required health care systems around the world to
117 rapidly divert their resources, personnel, and bed capacity to accommodate the large number of
118 COVID-19 patients at the expense of those with non-COVID-19-related illnesses. This allocation
119 led to an international suspension of most outpatient office visits as well as elective and even
120 semi-urgent procedures. On March 7, 2020, the governor of New York issued an executive order
121 declaring a statewide disaster emergency, which temporarily suspended all elective surgeries and
122 procedures. On March 15, 2020, the mayor of New York City subsequently signed an executive
123 order requiring all hospitals in the city to cancel elective procedures and outpatient office visits.
124 The justification for this approach was two-folds: 1) expansion of emergency department and in-
125 patient capacity to care for COVID-19 patients and 2) prevention of nosocomial spread of
126 COVID-19 to those without the virus.

127 The unintended negative consequence of these orders was the delay in delivering
128 necessary care to patients with potentially life-threatening or symptomatic conditions,
129 particularly in the field of cardiology. Due to fear of acquiring nosocomial COVID-19, many
130 patients deferred seeking necessary medical care, which may have further delayed medical
131 attention and led to more deaths at home from myocardial infarctions and other cardiac
132 conditions.¹⁻⁵

133 As the number of COVID-19 inpatients declined, health systems sought to prioritize the
134 backlog of elective procedures based on clinical severity while minimizing the risk of COVID-
135 19 exposure to the patients and healthcare workers. Elective and urgent clinical cardiac
136 electrophysiology (EP) cases represent a mixed severity of illnesses and serve as a good model

137 on which to base a system for resuming elective and urgent cases. The Heart Rhythm Society
138 COVID-19 task force provided guidelines on how to prioritize EP patient procedures during the
139 pandemic.⁶ Despite the new guidelines, the fear of acquiring COVID-19 in the hospital remains
140 high among the general public, healthcare workers, and public health officials due to a lack of
141 data on the safety outcomes.

142 In hopes of providing patients and providers with real-world data on nosocomial COVID-
143 19 risks, we share our experience of performing EP cases for non-COVID-19 patients in
144 accordance with the New York statewide restrictions when the prevalence of hospitalized
145 COVID-19 patients was high.

146 **Methods**

147 The study was exempted by the Institutional Review Board of Northwell Health. We
148 examined non-COVID-19 patients, who underwent electrophysiology procedures during the
149 peak of the pandemic between March 16, 2020 and May 11, 2020 at seven Northwell Health
150 hospitals.

151 On March 15, 2020, Northwell Health implemented a 5-tier system for case prioritization
152 across its 23 hospitals to perform outpatient and inpatient non-elective electrophysiology
153 procedures (Figure 1). There are no current guidelines on the patient discharge timeline process
154 after a cardiac implantable electronic device (CIED) implantation or catheter ablation, and the
155 patients are often hospitalized overnight for 24-hour monitoring. In order to minimize the
156 potential COVID-19 exposure, we established a same day discharge protocol. In addition, the
157 hospital quarantined all COVID-19 positive patients and created “clean” areas within the hospital
158 to minimize cross contamination. A single EP/Cath lab was kept as the “COVID” lab to
159 accommodate COVID-19 positive patients who required procedures.

160 The exclusion criteria for same day discharge were as follows: late starting cases that
161 precluded adequate post-procedure recovery time, anticoagulation issues that required an
162 overnight stay, social situations that did not allow for same day discharge, and physician's and/or
163 patient's decision that precluded same day discharge including but not limited to procedure-
164 related complications, uncontrolled co-morbidities, unfavorable travel arrangements, multiple
165 attempts at vascular access and/or difficult vascular access. For those who met the criteria for
166 same day discharge, our protocol was initiated with pre-procedural planning as outlined in
167 Figures 2 and 3. The patients admitted from the emergency department were sent to the non-
168 COVID-19 telemetry units while the majority of the hospital units had been converted to
169 COVID-19 units.

170 Following the hospital discharge, all patients had a 30-day outpatient follow-up via
171 telemedicine during which they were screened for re-hospitalizations and new onset of
172 symptoms suspicious for COVID-19. In addition, the patient charts were reviewed for up to 150
173 days after the procedure.

174 **Results**

175 From March 16, 2020 to May 11, 2020, 224 electrophysiology cases were performed at
176 seven hospitals within our network, on a total of 217 patients. All patients were screened for
177 symptoms, recent travels, and other potential exposures to COVID-19 patients. A negative
178 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) result from a nasopharyngeal swab test was an inclusion
179 criterion. The average age of the cohort was 70.8 ± 12.9 and 83 (38%) patients were female. The
180 demographics are shown in Table 1. Eighty-six (39%) cases were outpatient procedures. The
181 types of procedures and procedural indications are listed in Table 2. They entailed 78 new
182 transvenous pacemakers/ICD's, 45 generator changes, 33 cardioversions, 21 ablations, 16 loop

183 recorders, 10 leadless pacemakers, 8 lead extractions (without laser or mechanical techniques), 7
184 laser lead extractions, 6 diagnostic EP studies, and 4 subcutaneous ICD's. All cases were either
185 Priority 3 or 4, based on our classification system (Figure 1). The majority of new transvenous
186 pacemakers were for symptomatic complete heart block (31) or sinus node dysfunction (19).
187 Ablations were performed for symptomatic drug refractory atrial fibrillation (8), atrial flutter (3),
188 or ventricular tachycardia (7). The VT ablations were for patients experiencing drug refractory
189 shocks from their ICDs (6) and symptomatic ventricular bigeminy (1). Of the laser lead
190 extractions, the indications were active infections (6) and lead malfunction (1).

191 Local anesthesia without conscious sedation was performed in 28 (12.9%) cases to
192 minimize the post-procedure recovery time and facilitate earlier discharge. There were two
193 (0.9%) minor procedural complications due to a groin hematoma that did not require blood
194 transfusion or vascular intervention. 108 (49.8%) cases were hospitalized for less than 24 hours,
195 which included 74 device implantations and generator changes, 24 cardioversions, five ablations,
196 and one electrophysiology study.

197 The overall average hospital length of stay was 83.4 ± 165.1 hours and a median of 24
198 hours. The average hospital length of stay for outpatient procedures was 9.4 ± 13.4 hours and a
199 median of 4.3 hours. All outpatient electrophysiology procedures had a scheduled 30-day clinic
200 follow-up, with retrospective chart review extending the average follow-up time to 83.9 ± 31 days
201 and a median of 84 days. Seven (3.2%) patients endorsed new non-cardiac symptoms and 28
202 (11.1%) patients had re-hospitalizations, of which nine (4.1%) were arrhythmia-related and two
203 (0.9%) were procedure-related. Of the nine patients re-hospitalized due to arrhythmia, five
204 patients required a repeat cardioversion for symptomatic recurrent atrial arrhythmia, two patients
205 required a repeat atrial flutter ablation, one patient required an atrial flutter ablation after

206 reversion post-cardioversion, and one patient require a repeat ventricular tachycardia ablation. Of
207 the two patients re-hospitalized for procedure-related presentations, the first patient had a pocket
208 hematoma following a generator change that required a drainage but no blood transfusion. The
209 second patient had significant pain at the groin access site following a leadless pacemaker
210 implantation but was not found to have pseudoaneurysm and monitored with no further
211 intervention. The following were the indications for the re-hospitalization not related to the
212 procedures for the remaining 17 (7.8%) patients: congestive heart failure (6), urinary tract
213 infection (3), altered mental status (2), non-cardiac surgery (2), COVID infection (2), acute
214 coronary syndrome (1), and new diagnosis of atrial fibrillation with rapid ventricular rates found
215 on ILR (1). Two (0.9%) patients had a positive COVID PCR 26 and 28 days after the procedure,
216 thus making it unlikely that the positive test was related to the hospitalization during procedure.
217 Both patients were managed conservatively as inpatients and recovered uneventfully. There
218 were zero deaths in the cohort.

219

220 **Discussion**

221 We describe our experience of managing non-COVID-19 patients requiring inpatient and
222 outpatient electrophysiology procedures in the Northwell Health system during the COVID-19
223 pandemic. This is the first study to our knowledge to report the outcomes in this cohort. The
224 patient demographics in Table 1 reveal a cohort that includes patients at high risk of developing
225 serious complications from COVID-19 based on the age and the prevalence of cardio-pulmonary
226 disease. While CIED implantations and cardioversion procedures made up more than 50% of the
227 cases, there were also complex procedures including ablations and lead extractions accounting
228 for 21% of the cases (Table 2).

229 Our data support the hypothesis that even during the pandemic, electrophysiological
230 procedures in inpatients and outpatients may be performed safely without an increased incidence
231 of COVID-19 infection. This can be accomplished by properly prioritizing patients and
232 instituting measures that decrease patient exposure and hospital length of stay. Budano et al.
233 compared early (3-hour) mobilization with same day discharge versus the standard protocol of
234 24-hour monitoring after a CIED implantation and showed no difference in long-term outcomes
235 at 24-month follow-up.⁷ A similar success in adopting the early ambulation and same-day
236 discharge was also noted for atrial fibrillation ablation procedures.⁸ In order to avoid procedural
237 complication, it is important to identify patients who can be safely discharged the same day. Our
238 systematic approach to expediting the same day discharge shows that prolonged post procedural
239 monitoring in the hospital may be safely eliminated.

240 The 2019 expert consensus statement on the post-ventricular tachycardia (VT) ablation
241 disposition recommends at least one day of telemetry monitoring and a longer duration for
242 patients with structural heart disease or heart failure.⁹ The Heart Rhythm Society COVID-19
243 Task Force recently issued a statement that “extensive VT induction and activation mapping may
244 be minimized to reduce risk.”¹⁰ In our cohort, two of four VT ablation cases were outpatient
245 procedures and the hospital length of stay was 28 and 34 hours. On the 30-day follow-up, both
246 patients endorsed improvement in symptoms and a reduction in the burden of ventricular
247 arrhythmias via ambulatory telemetry monitoring.

248 As we gain more experience with the COVID-19 pandemic, more data will become available to
249 further enhance the guidance from the state health department. COVID-19 testing prior to
250 elective procedures has become more lenient and as of May 19, 2020, the New York State Health
251 Department declared that COVID-19 testing may be extended from three to five days prior to

252 any procedure.¹¹ We anticipate that the laxity in pre-procedural testing and more rapid test results
253 will ease the constraints of procedural planning. However, implementing and adhering to a
254 systematic approach in continuing elective and non-urgent procedures in the hospital setting will
255 help prevent nosocomial COVID-19 infections.

256 **Limitations:**

257 One of the limitations of this study was the lack of routine COVID-19 testing as part of
258 the 30-day telemedicine follow-up. We continued to follow these patients via chart reviews for
259 an extended period but understand the limitations of this approach. The total number of patients
260 is also relatively small since only those deemed most essential to undergo electrophysiological
261 procedures were included. 189 (87%) of 217 patients in our cohort were cared for at larger
262 tertiary care hospitals. Our results, therefore, may not be applicable to smaller community
263 hospitals.

264 Despite these limitations, we strongly believe our results are pertinent not only to
265 cardiovascular conditions but also to other specialties dealing with patients requiring prompt or
266 urgent intervention to avoid progression or complications of their diseases. This may be
267 accomplished through proper patient risk stratification and selection as well as appropriate
268 mitigation plans to minimize nosocomial exposure. Larger registry studies with longer follow-up
269 will be needed to validate our findings.

270 **Conclusion:**

271 It is possible to safely perform inpatient and outpatient EP procedures with an accelerated
272 discharge protocol in non-COVID-19 patients during the pandemic. Based on our experience,
273 patients with non-COVID-19 illness should be encouraged to avoid further delay and safely
274 undergo necessary treatment in the hospital even if a second wave of COVID-19 occurs.

275 **Group Consortium Members**

276

277 Phillipe Akhrass MD⁴, Stuart Beldner, MD¹, Jason Chinitz MD⁵, Haisam Ismail, MD³, Ram
278 Jadonath MD⁷, Roy John, MD, PhD¹, Paul Maccaro MD⁶, Stavros Mountantonakis MD², Moussa
279 Saleh, MD¹, Rina Shah MD⁴, Jonathan Willner, MD¹
280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301 **References:**

302

303 1. Mountantonakis SE, Saleh M, Coleman K, et al. Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest and Acute

304 Coronary Syndrome Hospitalizations During the COVID-19 Surge. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*

305 2020;76:1271-3

306 2. Garcia S, Albaghdadi MS, Meraj PM et al. Reduction in ST-Segment Elevation Cardiac

307 Catheterization Laboratory Activations in the United States during COVID-19 Pandemic *J Am*

308 *Coll Cardiol.* 2020;75:2871-2

309 3. Tam CCF, Cheung KS, Lam S, et al. Impact of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)

310 Outbreak on ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction Care in Hong Kong, China

311 *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2020;13:e006631

312 4. Wong LE, Hawkins JE, Langness S, Murrell KL, Iris P, Sammann A. Where Are All the

313 Patients? Addressing Covid-19 Fear to Encourage Sick Patients to Seek Emergency Care *N Engl*

314 *J Med Catalyst.* 2020; doi: 10.1056/CAT.20.0193

315 5. Ro R, Khera S, Tang GHL, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of Patients Deferred for

316 Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Because of COVID-19. *JAMA Netw Open.*

317 2020;3:e2019801

318 6. Lakkireddy DR, Chung MK, Deering TF et al. Guidance for rebooting electrophysiology

319 through the COVID-19 pandemic from the Heart Rhythm Society and the American Heart

320 Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee of the Council of Clinical

321 Cardiology. *Heart Rhythm* 2020;17:e242-252

322

323 7. Budano C, Garrone P, Castagno D, et al. Same-day CIED implantation and discharge: Is it
324 possible? The E-MOTION trial (Early Mobilization after pacemaker implantation). Int J Cardiol
325 2019;288:82-86.

326 8. Deyell MW, Leather RA, Macle L, et al. Efficacy and safety of same-day discharge for atrial
327 fibrillation ablation. J Am Coll Cardiol EP. 2020;6:609-619 doi:10.1016/j.jacep.2020.02.009.

328 9. Cronin EM, Bogun FM, Maury P, et al. 2019 HRS/EHRA/APHRS/LAHRs expert consensus
329 statement on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias. Heart Rhythm 2020;17:e2-154.

330 10. Lakkireddy DR, Chung MK, Gopinathannair R, et al. Guidance for Cardiac
331 Electrophysiology During the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic from the Heart Rhythm
332 Society COVID-19 Task Force; Electrophysiology Section of the American College of
333 Cardiology; and the Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee of the Council on Clinical
334 Cardiology, American Heart Association, Heart Rhythm 2020;17:e233-241 doi:
335 10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.03.028.

336 11. Dreslin S, Zucker H, Cuomo A. Updated Guidance for Resumption of Non-Essential Elective
337 Surgeries and Non-Urgent Procedures in Hospitals, Ambulatory Surgery Centers, Office Based
338 Surgery Practices and Diagnostic and Treatment Centers, New York State Department of Health,
339 June 2020,
340 [https://coronavirus.health.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2020/06/doh_covid19_electivesurgery](https://coronavirus.health.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2020/06/doh_covid19_electivesurgery_update_061420.pdf)
341 [_update_061420.pdf](https://coronavirus.health.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2020/06/doh_covid19_electivesurgery_update_061420.pdf)
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349

350 Table 1: Patient Demographics
 351

Characteristic	N = 217
Female sex	83 (38.2%)
Age	70.8 ± 12.9
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	28.4 ± 6.2
Hypertension	179 (82.5%)
Diabetes mellitus	72 (33.2%)
Atrial fibrillation / Atrial flutter	105 (48.4%)
Coronary artery disease	81 (37.3%)
Asthma / Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	29 (13.4%)
Chronic kidney disease ≥ stage III	17 (7.8%)
End stage renal disease	8 (3.7%)
Obstructive Sleep Apnea	24 (11.1%)
Ejection fraction (%)	47.8 ± 17.4
Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction	71 (32.7%)
Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction	21 (9.7%)

352
 353 Values listed are represented as means ± standard deviations for continuous variables and
 354 numbers (percentages) for categorical variables.
 355

356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368

369 Table 2: Electrophysiology Procedures Performed
 370

Category	Procedure	N = 217
Device	Single lead PPM	11 (5.1%)
	Single lead ICD	3 (1.4%)
	Dual Chamber PPM	36 (16.6%)
	Dual Chamber ICD	8 (3.7%)
	Bi-Ventricular ICD	6 (2.8%)
	Subcutaneous ICD	4 (1.8%)
	Leadless PPM	10 (4.6%)
	Temporary Pacer Wire	3 (1.4%)
	Loop Recorder	16 (7.4%)
	Generator Change	45 (20.7%)
	Device /Lead Extraction	15 (6.9%)
Ablation	Atrial Fibrillation	8 (3.7%)
	Atrial Flutter	3 (1.4%)
	Ventricular Tachycardia	7 (3.2%)
	Supraventricular Tachycardia	3 (1.4%)
Other	Cardioversion	33 (15.2%)
	Electrophysiology Study	6 (2.8%)

371
 372 Values listed are represented as numbers (percentages) for categorical variables.
 373

374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388

389 Figure 1: Electrophysiology (top) and Device (bottom) Case Prioritization
 390

Priority 4: Emergency Cases	Priority 3: Urgent Procedure	Priority 2: Semi-Urgent Procedures	Priority 1: Non-Urgent Procedures	Priority 0: Elective Procedures
VT, ablation for symptomatic recurrent VT, or medically refractory electrical storm	PVC/VT Ablation for medically refractory recurrent VT or frequent ectopy	PVC ablation in stable but symptomatic drug refractory patient	PVC ablation in stable patient	EP Testing to evaluate stable tachyarrhythmias or bradycardia
AF, AFl, or AV nodal ablation if hemodynamically significant, severely symptomatic, drug and/or cardioversion refractory	SVT, AF/AFl ablation, medically refractory or symptomatic resulting in or likely to lead to ED visits	SVT, AF/AFl ablation with mild symptoms	AF/AFl ablation in stable patient	
WPW or pre-excited AF with syncope or cardiac arrest	EP testing to risk stratify patient with premalignant events, e.g., syncope and LBBB or bifascicular block or previous MI	Asymptomatic WPW in high risk profession (pilot)	Asymptomatic WPW in non-high-risk profession	

391

Priority 4	Priority 3	Priority 2	Priority 1	Priority 0
Lead revision for malfunction in a PM dependent or ICD patient	Generator replacement for ERI/ EOS battery status, who require pacing for hemodynamics	Stable non-high degree AVB, or tachy-brady syndrome in mildly symptomatic patient	Primary prevention ICD without symptoms	Cardioversion of stable arrhythmias with well tolerated symptoms
Generator change in PM dependent patient at ERI or EOS; PM or ICD with minimal battery remaining				
Secondary prevention ICD or primary ICD in need of urgent pacemaker	Primary prevention ICD in patient at high risk of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmia	PM or ICD generator replacements with > 3 months of battery remaining	CRT in asymptomatic patients	TEE for routine assessment of valves or LAA closure devices and cardioversion in those who can be anticoagulated
PM for symptomatic CHB, Mobitz II AVB, high grade AVB, severely symptomatic SND with long pauses				
Lead/device extraction for infection, including bacteremia, endocarditis, or pocket infection	Replacement of generator under high risk advisory condition	LAA closure with risk of stroke and long term OAC contraindicated	Extraction of non-infected leads/device unless device function is dependent on lead extraction and re-implantation	Implantable loop recorder placement when wearable technology possible
CRT/CIED implant or upgrade for symptomatic HF/dyspnea				
Need for urgent cardioversion. TEE if CT not an option				
	ILR in unexplained syncope or cryptogenic stroke without diagnosis after MCOT			LAA closure in patients who can be on oral anticoagulation

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

Legend: Following the state and city order to cancel all hospital elective procedures, Northwell Health implemented its prioritization guideline on March 15, 2020. VT = ventricular tachycardia, AF = atrial fibrillation, AFl = atrial flutter, PVC = premature ventricular contractions, SVT = supraventricular tachycardia, WPW = Wolff-Parkinson-White, EP = electrophysiology, LBBB = left bundle branch block, MI = myocardial infarction, PM = pacemaker, ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator, ERI/EOS = elective replacement indicator/end of service, CHB = complete heart block, AVB = atrioventricular block, SND = sinus node dysfunction, CRT/CIED – cardiac resynchronization/cardiovascular implanted electrical device, TEE = transesophageal echocardiogram, CT = computerized tomography, ILR = implantable loop recorder, MCOT= mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry, LAA = left atrial appendage

402 Figure 2: Same Day Discharge Protocol
 403

Before Day of Procedure	Day of Procedure: Pre-Procedure	Day of Procedure: Procedure	Day After Procedure and Beyond
<p>Patient scheduled and consented as an outpatient device implant and / or ablation via EP office practice.</p> <p>Post-procedure Telehealth clinic appoint (2 – 4 weeks post-procedure)</p> <p>Pre-operative teaching performed, patient and/or caregiver made aware of same day discharge</p> <p>Escort identified, contact phone numbers confirmed, and indicated on booking sheet</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plan for same day discharge reviewed with patient and escort prior to patient prep. • Social services (if required) arranged before the procedure is performed • Pre-operative antibiotics administered in the holding area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific instructions for peri-procedure and post-procedure management based on procedure type. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow-up phone call on post-operative day #1 • Remote monitoring transmission assessed post-operative day #1 • Telehealth visit 2-4 weeks post-procedure

404

405 Legend: Protocol utilized for same day discharge. Patients were selected if they did not meet any
 406 exclusion criteria.

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427 Figure 3: Procedure Specific Instructions for Same Day Discharge Procedures
428

Device Implantation	Ablation	All Patients
<p>Consider recovery in chair rather than stretcher (3-6 hours for new implant, 1-2 hours for generator change)</p> <p>Post-op wound check and device interrogation within 2-4 hours</p> <p>Document and review ECG post-procedure</p> <p>Confirmation that remote monitoring is implemented/functional</p> <p>Post-operative teaching performed, confirmed follow-up call and time.</p> <p>Post-op CXR checked and documented to exclude pneumothorax and document lead position</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post-procedure groin check 4-6 hours post groin access. • Patients must ambulate 30 minutes before discharge with confirmed hemostasis. • Resume anticoagulation as appropriate, (typically between 2-4 hours post-procedure) • Remove hemostatic suture 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any respiratory or hemodynamic instability is addressed immediately, and disposition reassessed • Discharge with escort if all established criteria for safe discharge are met

429

430 Legend: Protocol utilized for immediate post-procedure management. Leadless pacemakers were

431 also included in the ablation category given the need for groin access