

1 3D Genital Shape Complexity in Female Marine Mammals

2

3 Dara N. Orbach^{1,2}, Charlotte A. Brassey³, James D. Gardiner⁴, Patricia L.R. Brennan²

4

5 ¹Department of Life Sciences, Texas A&M University- Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, Texas,
6 78412, USA

7 ²Department of Biological Sciences, Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, Massachusetts
8 01075, USA

9 ³School of Science and the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1
10 5GD, UK

11 ⁴Department of Musculoskeletal and Ageing Science, Institute of Lifecourse and Medical
12 Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L7 8TX, UK

13

14

15 *Corresponding author: Dara N. Orbach
16 Texas A&M University- Corpus Christi
17 Department of Life Sciences
18 6300 Ocean Drive
19 Corpus Christi, TX, 78412
20 USA
21 (409)599-0379

22 dnorbach@gmail.com

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Comparisons of 3D shapes have recently been applied to diverse anatomical structures using
26 landmarking techniques. However discerning evolutionary patterns can be challenging for
27 structures lacking homologous landmarks. We used alpha shape analyses to quantify vaginal
28 shape complexity in 40 marine mammal specimens including cetaceans, pinnipeds, and sirenians.
29 We explored phylogenetic signal and the potential roles of natural and sexual selection on
30 vaginal shape evolution. Complexity scores were consistent with qualitative observations.
31 Cetaceans had a broad range of alpha complexities, while pinnipeds were comparatively simple
32 and sirenians were complex. Intraspecific variation was found. Three-dimensional surface heat
33 maps revealed that shape complexity was driven by invaginations and protrusions of the vaginal
34 wall. Phylogenetic signal was weak and metrics of natural selection (relative neonate size) and
35 sexual selection (relative testes size, sexual size dimorphism, and penis morphology) did not
36 explain vaginal complexity patterns. Additional metrics, such as penile shape complexity, may
37 yield interesting insights into marine mammal genital coevolution. We advocate for the use of
38 alpha shapes to discern patterns of evolution that would otherwise not be possible in 3D
39 anatomical structures lacking homologous landmarks.

40

41 **Keywords:** alpha shape, vaginal lumen, genital, marine mammal, sexual selection

42

43 **Introduction**

44 Sexual selection, and natural selection to a lesser extent, can influence genital shape [1-2]. The
45 diversity, complexity, and rapid evolution of male genitalia has been well documented in many
46 taxa [1, 3]. Female genital evolution, in contrast, has historically received limited scientific

47 investigation, partly because female reproductive organs were thought to show low patterns of
48 variation [4]. This oversight has hindered explorations of the intricate dynamics between the
49 form and function of genitalia and thereby constrained some advancements in sexual and natural
50 selection theory. Over the past decade, research on the morphological diversity of female
51 genitalia has been revitalized using rigorous quantitative approaches that focus on overall shape
52 rather than traditional linear measurements. Measures of shape tend to provide more information
53 and demonstrate increased patterns of divergence compared to size metrics of genital traits [5-7].
54 Among vertebrates, divergent female reproductive tract shapes have been found in snakes [8],
55 waterfowl [9], sharks [10], and cetaceans [11]. Such shape analyses have used 2D geometric
56 morphometric (GM) approaches, where homologous morphological landmarks were applied
57 across photographs of specimens and subjected to Procrustes superimposition to remove the
58 effects of translation, rotation, and scale. Comparison of 2D and 3D GM of female genitalia in
59 spiny dogfish sharks (*Squalus acanthias*) found high congruence between data derived using the
60 2D and 3D approaches, although only 3D revealed significant patterns of asymmetry that may
61 have biological relevance during pregnancy [10]. While 2D GM can capture some aspects of
62 shape, particularly in plate-like structures, complex 3D structures require a different approach.
63 With advances in bioimaging technologies, the application of 3D shape analyses to investigate
64 relationships between form and function is rapidly growing [12]. Yet landmark-based 2D and 3D
65 GM remain limited in their application to morphologically disparate structure with irregular
66 shapes or lacking homologous landmarks, such as complex genital structures.

67 Geometric shape complexity analyses offer alternative metrics to GM for quantitative
68 shape examination and can be thought of as the number of simple shape primitives required to
69 adequately represent a given structure. Although morphological complexity can be difficult to

70 assess and quantify, several continuous metrics exist [13]. Alpha shapes, in which a family of
71 shapes are fitted to a set of underlying points, has been tested on genital shape complexity; 3D
72 shape complexity has been quantified without using landmarks in mammalian bacula, produced
73 congruent results with other metrics of complexity [14], and revealed patterns of variation related
74 to mating system [15]. Alpha shapes range from a very coarse convex-hull fit to tightly-fitting
75 ‘shrink-wraps’. The tightness of the fit is determined by a refinement coefficient, with small
76 coefficient values reflecting tightly fitted shapes. Complex structures are defined as requiring a
77 tight fit to match the original volume of the underlying mesh [14]. Alpha shape analyses can be
78 used to consistently and objectively quantify variation in shape complexity in irregular shapes
79 lacking homologous landmarks [14], and can therefore be applied to assess the diversity and
80 complexity of biological structures that are challenging to quantify but offer important insights
81 into evolution.

82 The reproductive tract shapes of female cetaceans (whales, dolphins, and porpoises)
83 represent an unparalleled level of diversity in female genital morphology within a vertebrate
84 clade [11, 16]. Cetaceans possess vaginal folds, protrusions of the vaginal wall into the vaginal
85 lumen, that vary in number, shape, size, and positioning across species [11, 16]. These vaginal
86 folds are stiffer than other reproductive tract tissues [17] and can physically occlude the penis
87 during copulation, potentially providing females with a mechanism to control paternity [18].
88 Two-dimensional GM indicated that vaginal and cervical shape diversity was influenced by
89 ontogenetic and allometric factors in cetaceans, but not by neonate size or residual testes size
90 [16]. However, qualitative assessments of the 3D vaginal lumen shape and penis shape of post-
91 mortem specimens in a few species of marine mammals have suggested close shape
92 correspondence, coevolution, and varying complexity across species [18-19]. Therefore, 3D

93 shape analysis may elucidate the selection force(s) that acts on genital morphology and is not
94 detectable in 2D. The complex shapes of cetacean vaginal lumens and lack of homologous
95 landmarks in 3D models have hindered the use of landmark-based methods to quantify variation
96 across the clade. We use alpha shape analyses to quantify complexity in 3D vaginal shape across
97 cetaceans and other marine mammals. Convergent evolution in body form and function is
98 prevalent across marine mammals. Therefore, we also explored vaginal shape morphology in
99 non-cetacean marine mammals to assess the potential role of aquatic living as an evolutionary
100 driver of shape complexity. As pinnipeds and sirenians also mate in the marine environment but
101 do not have vaginal folds, we predict that non-cetacean marine mammals will have less complex
102 vaginal morphologies than cetaceans and that phylogenetic signal will be strong among marine
103 mammals. We also predict that vaginal shape complexity will correlate with metrics of
104 precopulatory sexual selection (sexual size dimorphism), copulatory sexual selection (penile
105 morphology), and postcopulatory sexual selection (relative testes size), but not natural selection
106 (relative neonate size at birth).

107

108 **Material and Methods**

109 *Data Collection*

110 The intact reproductive tracts (from the ovaries to the external urogenital slit) of naturally
111 deceased female marine mammals were collected opportunistically by marine mammal stranding
112 networks and research institutions in the United States and New Zealand. Sexually immature
113 (juvenile) and mature specimens were frozen immediately and transferred to necropsy facilities
114 located at Mount Holyoke College. Information on the total body length of the animals and
115 sexual maturity state (based on regional asymptotic body lengths or presence of *corpora*

116 *albicantia* / *lutea* on the ovaries; [20]) were provided by the contributing institutions (Appendix
117 1).

118 Reproductive tracts were thawed and suspended with the uterine horns down and a
119 ligature around the cervix to separate the vagina. Vaginal lumens were filled with Mold Star® 16
120 FAST or Elite HD™ light body dental silicone to make endocasts. The silicone endocasts were
121 carefully extracted to prevent artifacts or tears and to identify the ventral plane of orientation.
122 Duplicate vaginal endocasts were made of some specimens, and as shapes were consistent, the
123 original endocast was used to generate a 3D model using photogrammetry. A Canon EOS Rebel
124 T5i camera with 100 mm lens and a set of four LED lights were used to take overlapping
125 photographs of each endocast and capture the entire surface. Models were reconstructed in 3DF
126 Zephyr lite (3Dflow SRL, Verona, Italy) and scaled.

127

128 *Alpha Shape Analyses*

129 The original application of alpha shapes to quantify 3D shape complexity used a volumetric
130 computed tomography (CT) dataset, in which biological structures were represented by both
131 external and internal data points [14]. As photogrammetry meshes are composed solely of
132 surface vertices, they were imported into MATLAB (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA) and
133 internally filled with a random distribution of points. Points were generated at random within the
134 mesh's bounding box, and checked using 'in_polyhedron' script of Jaroslaw Tuszynski
135 (www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/48041-in_polyhedron) to confirm that each
136 point was located inside the model's volume as defined by the surface mesh, until the point cloud
137 contained a minimum of 250,000 points.

161 principle components analyses (PCA) using the ‘prcomp’ function of R [21]. PCAs were
162 conducted on both the ‘all-individuals’ dataset and on species means. Variables were scaled to
163 have a zero mean and unit variance prior to analyses.

164 We calculated the optimal refinement coefficient as the value of k producing an alpha
165 shape volume equal to the volume of the photogrammetry mesh (Appendix 2). Optimal k was
166 identified by an optimisation approach using the ‘fminsearch’ function of MATLAB’s
167 optimisation toolbox. We define “alpha complexity” as $1/\text{optimal } k$ (such that lower alpha
168 complexity values reflect ‘simpler’ shapes) and use this metric in all subsequent statistical
169 analyses.

170 We also produce 3D heatmap meshes for the first time to further assist in the
171 interpretation of alpha complexity scores. Each vertex of the mesh is assigned a value equal to
172 the refinement coefficient of the coarsest alpha shape fit to which it contributes. Mesh faces are
173 colored according to an average of their neighbouring vertex values. Low complexity regions
174 resolved by coarse alpha shapes are represented by cool colors while high complexity regions
175 resolved only in tight alpha shape fits are represented by warm colors. These heatmaps are an
176 advance from a single ‘optimal’ complexity value for a whole structure and highlight specific
177 anatomical regions of complexity that further facilitates evolutionarily meaningful
178 morphological comparisons across taxa.

179

180 *Phylogenetic Considerations*

181 To account for phylogenetic relationships within the dataset, statistical analyses were conducted
182 within a phylogenetic comparative framework. A time-calibrated phylogenetic tree was compiled
183 from the literature with order-level topology based on Foley et al. [22], cetacean relationships

184 derived from Zurano et al. [23], and pinnipeds pruned from the carnivoran 10kTrees consensus
185 tree (<https://10ktrees.nunn-lab.org/Carnivora/>). The degree of phylogenetic signal present in the
186 data was quantified as Pagel's lambda, estimated using the 'phylosig' function of the R package
187 'phytools' [24]. Ancestral states were reconstructed using the 'fastAnc' of the same package.

188

189 *Alternative Selection Pressures*

190 We compiled the reported average lengths of neonates and their mothers at parturition from the
191 literature (Appendix 3). These variables provide a proxy of a potential role of natural selection
192 on vaginal shape complexity through parturition. Phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS)
193 regressions were conducted using the 'gls' function of the 'nlme' R package [25], using a
194 'corPagel' correlation structure (fixed =F) from the 'ape' package [26]. A multiple regression
195 was conducted with neonate length and mother body length as independent variables, thus
196 providing a metric for residual neonate length.

197 The possible influences of sexual selection on vaginal shape complexity were tested by
198 independently exploring residual testes size as a proxy for sperm competition risk, sexual size
199 dimorphism, and a qualitative score of penile tip morphology. We compiled the largest reported
200 testes mass (combined left and right) and maximum male body mass for all species in our study
201 from published literature (Appendix 3). Phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS)
202 regressions and multiple regression were conducted using the same approach as for neonate and
203 mother body lengths, thus providing a metric of residual testes mass as a proxy for post-
204 copulatory sexual selection [27]. Data on maximum male body lengths were compared to the
205 body lengths of the females in our study to explore the possible role of sexual size dimorphism
206 (male body length/female body length) driving vaginal complexity (Appendix 3). All data were

207 \log_{10} transformed prior to analyses. We excluded *Mesoplodon densirostris* from the analysis
208 because no testes mass or mother length at parturition data were available. To categorize the
209 shape of the penis tip, we used data from our physical collection or from published photographs
210 (Appendix 4). Penises were categorized as filiform (slender and filament-shaped), tapered (distal
211 tip flattened and gradually reduced in thickness), or blunt-end (rounded tip with similar thickness
212 to the shaft; Appendix 4). A phylogenetic ANOVA was conducted using the ‘phylANOVA’
213 function of ‘phytools’ to test for differences in mean alpha complexity based on penile
214 morphological traits. The mean alpha shape volume per species was calculated at 6 values of
215 refinement coefficient (as above) and subjected to a phylogenetic principal component analysis
216 (pPCA) using the ‘phyl.PCA’ function of phytools (mode=‘cor’) using a lambda correlation
217 structure. To test for statistical differences in alpha complexity morphospace occupation as a
218 function of penis morphology, principle component scores were also input into a
219 phylogenetically corrected MANOVA using the ‘aov.phylo’ function of the package ‘geiger’
220 [28]. All analyses were re-tested excluding the pinnipeds and sirenian to explore the relationship
221 between vaginal shape complexity and selective pressures within the phylogenetically
222 constrained group of cetaceans.

223

224 **Results**

225 A total of 40 specimens were included in our study, represented by 14 species of cetaceans (n =
226 32 specimens), 4 species of pinnipeds (n = 7 specimens), and 1 species of sirenian (n = 1
227 specimen; Appendix 1).

228 Cetaceans had a range of endocast shapes and alpha complexities, including simple
229 structures with only one indentation denoting a subtle vaginal fold, through to complicated

230 shapes with spirals and many indentations of varying depths and sizes (Figure 1; Appendix 5).
231 The kogiid (*Kogia breviceps*) vagina was the most complex, with multiple deep protrusions of
232 the vaginal wall. The three species of the *Lagenorhynchus* genus were comparatively complex,
233 although this genus is no longer considered monophyletic (Figure 1). The orca (*Orcinus orca*),
234 beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*), and two species of common dolphin (*Delphinus*
235 *capensis* and *D. delphis*) had comparatively simple vaginal lumens. Overall, pinnipeds had
236 comparatively simple vaginal lumen shapes, with no spirals and few indentations. Otariids (fur
237 seals and sea lions) were characterised by very low values of alpha complexity, as predicted
238 (Figure 1; Appendix 5). Phocids (seals) also possess low 3D shape complexity despite some
239 overlap with cetacean species. Contrary to predictions, the vaginal tract of the sirenian was found
240 to be complex with an intermediate alpha complexity (Figure 1; Appendix 5). The manatee
241 (*Trichechus manatus*) vaginal lumen was an intricate structure with extensive changes in
242 diameter, a cup-shape near the distal connection with the cervix, and a pronounced indentation
243 midway through the vaginal canal made by a protruding structure akin to a vaginal fold. No
244 significant phylogenetic signal in alpha complexity was detected across the phylogeny when
245 calculated for all marine mammal taxa in our study, or within the cetacean subset ($\lambda = < 0.001$,
246 $p = 1$).

247 Three-dimensional surface heatmaps indicate a heterogeneous distribution of shape
248 complexity across the endocasts, corresponding to discrete anatomical features within the vaginal
249 lumen (Figure 2). High alpha complexities correspond to deep invaginations in the lumen (hot
250 colors; protrusions of the vaginal wall or os cervix), whereas low alpha complexity correspond to
251 non-tapering regions with few invaginations (cold colors; Figure 2).

252 Alpha shape volumes (calculated as a percentage of the original mesh volume) were
253 extracted for 6 equally spaced refinement coefficients for individual specimens and subjected to
254 a phylogenetically uncorrected principal component analysis. PC1 accounted for 79% of total
255 variation and was negatively and heavily loaded with metrics of gross complexity extracted at
256 coarse refinement coefficients (Figure 3). PC2 accounted for 18% of total variation and was
257 negatively loaded with fine scale surface textural complexity (Figure 3). When repeated on
258 species mean data, the resulting PCA was extremely similar in cumulative variations and
259 variable loadings (Appendix 6). A phylogenetically corrected PCA conducted on species means
260 dataset was characterised by extremely low phylogenetic signal ($\lambda = < 0.001$) and therefore
261 illustrated an identical distribution, with PC1 and PC2 negatively loaded with gross and fine-
262 scale complexity, respectively.

263 Cetaceans were widely distributed across the morphospace. Spanning PC1 (negatively
264 associated with gross-scale complexity), *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*, *Stenella attenuata* and
265 both species of *Delphinus* were characterised by high PC1 scores (low gross complexity), while
266 *Lagenorhynchus obscurus* and *Phocoena phocoena* were characterised by low PC1 scores (high
267 gross complexity; Figure 3; Appendix 6). PC2 was negatively correlated with fine-scale surface
268 complexity, with *Mesoplodon densirostris* characterised by high PC2 scores (low surface
269 complexity) and *Kogia breviceps* possessing low PC2 scores (high surface complexity).

270 Pinnipeds broadly clustered in shape complexity morphospace, scoring high in both PC1
271 and PC2 (*i.e.*, low macro- and fine-scale complexity; Figure 3; Appendix 6). The sirenian was a
272 discrete outlier at the negative extreme of PC1, possessing a high degree of gross complexity
273 driven by the presence of a large invagination of the vaginal wall and tapering of the cranial
274 vagina prior to widening at the *os cervix* (Figure 3; Appendix 6). Overall, when species were

275 represented by multiple individuals, some degree of species-level grouping in complexity
276 morphospace is apparent, yet considerable intraspecific variation exists (Figure 3, Appendix 7).

277 Using PGLS multiple regressions, we found that alpha complexity does not correlate to
278 relative neonate length (a proxy for the possible action of natural selection on parturition), in
279 accordance with our prediction (Table 1; Appendix 8). Contrary to our prediction that sexual
280 selection would explain shape complexity, we found that alpha complexity did not correlate with
281 relative testes mass (a proxy for the strength of postcopulatory sexual selection) or sexual size
282 dimorphism (a proxy for precopulatory sexual selection; Table 1; Appendix 8). When analyses
283 were conducted on a subset of the data including only cetaceans, the above relationships
284 remained non-significant.

285 We also did not find a significant difference in mean alpha complexity of the vaginal
286 tract among penile tip qualitative categories in our ANOVA (ANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.53$;
287 pANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.82$; Figure 4). While species characterised by blunt-ended and tapered
288 penis tips typically appear to possess vaginal lumens with comparatively lower complexity than
289 those with filiform penises, these differences are not statistically significant. Similarly, a
290 MANOVA incorporating all PC scores did not find a significant difference in the occupancy of
291 complexity morphospace by vaginal endocasts in relation to penile tip morphology (all
292 specimens MANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.76$; phyMANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.99$; cetaceans MANOVA:
293 $F=0.43$, $p=0.84$; phyMANOVA: $F=0.43$, $p=0.94$).

294

295 **Discussion:**

296 We describe and quantify 3D shape complexity in the female reproductive tract of mammals for
297 the first time and assess patterns of variation within and across three marine clades. Quantitative

298 alpha shape scores are consistent with qualitative patterns of shape complexity, which are
299 reinforced by our novel application of 3D surface heatmaps. Marine mammals have a broad
300 range of vaginal shape complexities that cannot easily be attributed to phylogeny, natural
301 selection, or sexual selection, raising additional questions about the function of this diversity.

302 Vaginal lumen shape complexity is variable both within and among species of marine
303 mammals. Cetaceans spanned the morphospace in both fine-scale and gross complexity,
304 although multiple representatives from a given species generally clustered. All 14 cetacean
305 species had at least one vaginal fold that varied in number and size and contributed to alpha
306 complexity, as demonstrated by the surface heatmaps. The phylogenetic signal of alpha
307 complexity was weak, consistent with 2D vaginal shape analysis using a landmark-based
308 geometric morphometric approach [11]. As predicted, pinnipeds have simple vaginas with low
309 vaginal complexity in terms of macro-scale morphology and fine-scale surface texture (Figure
310 3), regardless of whether they breed on land (grey seals, California sea lions, and Steller sea
311 lions) or in the water (harbor seals). This suggests that mating environment may not influence
312 vaginal shape complexity in pinnipeds. The one sirenian specimen, the West Indian manatee,
313 lacks vaginal folds like pinnipeds, but has a surprisingly complex vaginal shape driven primarily
314 by gross complexity. This complexity reflects the unusual morphology of the manatee vagina,
315 with changes in diameter [29], a protrusive hymen [29], and a cup-shaped distal tip that
316 collectively correspond tightly with penis gross morphological shape (unpublished data). The 3D
317 surface heatmaps indicate that invaginations in the manatee vagina are influential in driving high
318 alpha shape complexity (Figure 2).

319 We report extensive intraspecific variation in alpha complexity of 3D vaginas that is not
320 accounted for by phylogenetic relationships. Contrary to our prediction, 3D vaginal shape

321 complexity did not correlate with our metrics of natural selection (relative neonate size at birth),
322 pre-copulatory sexual selection (sexual size dimorphism), or post-copulatory sexual selection
323 (relative testes size). These findings are similar to a previous study on 2D vaginal shape in
324 cetaceans, except that allometry does not correlate with vaginal shape in the present study [11].
325 While the filiform penis tips of marine mammals may be associated with complex vaginal shapes
326 to facilitate navigation through the narrow vaginal lumen created by vaginal folds [18-19], we
327 found no supporting evidence in the present study. However, our qualitative metric of penis tip
328 shape may not adequately capture penis diversity. Future research that quantifies penis
329 morphology may provide a better proxy to test the hypothesis that copulatory sexual selection
330 drives vaginal shape diversity and support growing evidence of genital coevolution in marine
331 mammals [18-19]. As cetaceans are likely ubiquitously polygynandrous [30], mating system
332 does not explain the extensive diversity observed in vaginal shape complexity within the clade.
333 Similarly, the strength of polygynous mating systems, territory defence, or lek mating tactics do
334 not appear to explain the patterns of pinniped vaginal complexity [31-34].

335 The lack of support for our tests of variables to explain shape complexity may reflect our
336 relatively small sample size. Caution is warranted as the addition or removal of a single
337 specimen can alter our results (Appendix 8). While an increased sample size would be ideal, the
338 opportunistic nature of collecting fresh, post-mortem, sexually mature, female, marine mammal
339 reproductive tracts imposes inherent limits [35]. Sample sizes are further curtailed as not all
340 excised reproductive tracts are of suitable quality to generate an endocast and 3D model.
341 Additionally, high intraspecific variation can increase the difficulty of detecting patterns.

342 Previous applications of alpha shape analyses to quantify genital shape complexity have
343 typically relied exclusively on single metrics of ‘optimal complexity’ [14], thereby overlooking

344 potential spatial variation in complexity *within* a single structure and variation in complexity
345 recorded at contrasting *scales*. Although our single metric of ‘optimal’ alpha complexity does not
346 correlate to proxies of natural or sexual selection, valuable details of vaginal shape complexity
347 are elucidated by implementing new functionality into the alpha shape protocol. Additionally, the
348 generation of 3D heatmap meshes now allows for an improved understanding of the spatial
349 distribution of shape complexity and facilitates interpretation in the context of underlying
350 anatomical features. Future iterations of alpha shape and other complexity metric protocols will
351 benefit from improving qualitative heatmaps to facilitate quantitative comparisons of regional
352 complexity that allow for systematic subdivisions of a single structure into discrete anatomical
353 regions for further analysis [15]. The extraction of alpha shape volumes at *multiple values of*
354 *refinement coefficient* now allows for the generation of a PCA complexity ‘morphospace’ and
355 highlights the varying scales at which shape complexity may be present. Future research that
356 analyses whole alpha shape curves (of refinement coefficient against alpha shape volume) may
357 be possible using statistical techniques such as ‘spm1d’ [36] and could provide novel insights
358 into the complexity of a structure across *a range of scales*.

359

360 **Ethics:** Specimens in the United States of America were collected under National Marine
361 Fisheries Service (NMFS) salvage permit letters to DNO. Specimens from New Zealand were
362 imported to the United States of America under an institutional Convention on International
363 Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora permit (CITES; 14US690343/9).

364 **Data Accessibility:** Upon acceptance, data will be archived in Texas A&M University- Corpus
365 Christi’s repository (<https://tamucc-ir.tdl.org/handle/1969.6/13>)

366 **Author contributions:** D.N.O. and P.L.R.B conceived the project idea, collected the
367 reproductive tracts, and made digital 3D models. C.A.B. and J.D.G. analyzed the data, made the
368 figures, and wrote the statistical code. D.N.O. and C.A.B. wrote the manuscript with input from
369 all co-authors.

370 **Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to Pearl Schleinig and Louise Tanner for creating 3D
371 models of the reproductive tracts. Dr. Teri Orr trained D.N.O. in endocast preparation. We thank
372 Alaska Veterinary Pathology Services (J. P. Prescott grants: NA15NMF4390053,
373 NA17NMF4390097. NOAA MMHSRP permit 18786), Cascadia Research, Florida Fish &
374 Wildlife Conservation Commission, International Fund for Animal Welfare, Long Marine Lab
375 Stranding Network, New Zealand Common Dolphin Project, National Oceanic and Atmospheric
376 Administration's National Marine Fisheries Service and Southwest Fisheries Science Center,
377 North Carolina State University CMAST, Oregon State University, [Nantucket](#) Marine Mammal
378 Conservation SeaWorld, The Marine Mammal Center, The Whale Museum, University of
379 Florida, and Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response Program for providing specimens.

380

381 Citations

- 382 1. Hosken, D. J., and P. Stockley. 2004. Sexual selection and genital evolution. *Trends Ecol.*
383 *Evol.* 19:87–93.
- 384 2. Langerhans, R. B., C. A. Layman, and T. J. DeWitt. 2005. Male genital size reflects a tradeoff
385 between attracting mates and avoiding predators in two live-bearing fish species. *Proc. Nat.*
386 *Acad. Sci. USA.* 102:7618–7623.
- 387 3. Eberhard, W. G. 1985. *Sexual selection and animal genitalia.* Harvard University Press,
388 Cambridge, MA.

- 389 4. Ah-King, M., A. B. Barron, and M. E. Herberstein. 2014. Genital evolution: why are females
390 still understudied? *PLoS Biol.* 12:e1001851.
- 391 5. Rohlf, F. J., and L. F. Marcus. 1993. A revolution in morphometrics. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*
392 8:129–132.
- 393 6. Slice, D. E. 2007. Geometric morphometrics. *Ann. Rev. Anthropol.* 36:261–281.
- 394 7. McPeck, M. A., L. Shen, J. Z. Torrey, and H. Farid. 2008. The tempo and mode of 3-
395 dimensional morphological evolution in male reproductive structures. *Am. Nat.* 171:E158–
396 E178.
- 397 8. Showalter, I., B. Todd, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2014. Intraspecific and interspecific variation of
398 the vagina in two species of water snakes. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond.* 111:183–191.
- 399 9. Brennan, P. L. R. and R. O. Prum. 2012. The limits of sexual conflict in the narrow sense: new
400 insights from waterfowl biology. *Phil. Trans. Biol. Sci.* 367:2324–2338.
- 401 10. Hedrick, B. P., P. Antalek-Schrag, A. J. Conith, L. J. Natanson, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2019.
402 Variability and asymmetry in the shape of the spiny dogfish vagina revealed by 2D and 3D
403 geometric morphometrics. *J. Zool.* 308:16–27.
- 404 11. Orbach, D. N., B. P. Hedrick, B. Würsig, S. L. Mesnick, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2018. The
405 evolution of genital shape variation in female cetaceans. *Evolution* 72:261–273.
- 406 12. O’Higgins, P., S. N. Cobb, L. C. Fitton, F. Gröning, R. Phillips, J. Liu, and M. J. Fagan.
407 2011. Combining geometric morphometrics and functional simulation: an emerging toolkit
408 for virtual functional analyses. *J Anat.* 218:3–15.
- 409 13. McLellan, T., and J. A. Endler. 1998. The relative success of some methods for measuring
410 and describing the shape of complex objects. *Syst. Biol.* 47:264–281.

- 411 14. Gardiner, J. D., J. Behnsen, and C. A. Brassey. 2018. Alpha shapes: Determining 3D shape
412 complexity across morphologically diverse structures. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 18(1):184.
- 413 15. Brassey, C.A., J. Behnsen, and J. D. Gardiner. 2020. Post-copulatory sexual selection and the
414 evolution of shape complexity in the carnivoran baculum. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 287:20201883.
- 415 16. Orbach, D. N., C. D. Marshall, S. L. Mesnick, and B. Würsig. 2017. Patterns of cetacean
416 vaginal folds yield insights into functionality. *PLoS ONE* 12:e0175037.
- 417 17. Orbach, D. N., S. Rattan, M. Hogan, A. J. Crosby, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2019.
418 Biomechanical properties of female dolphin reproductive tissue. *Acta Biomater.* 86(1):117–
419 124.
- 420 18. Orbach, D. N., D. Kelly, M. Solano, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2017. Genital interactions during
421 simulated copulation amongst marine mammals. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 284:20171265.
- 422 19. Orbach, D. N., P. L. R. Brennan, B. P. Hedrick, W. Keener, M. Webber, and S. L. Mesnick.
423 2020. Asymmetric and spiraled genitalia coevolve with unique lateralized mating behavior.
424 *Sci. Rep.* 10(1):1-8.
- 425 20. Perrin, W. F., and G. P. Donovan. 1984. Report of the workshop. Pp. 97–134 *in* W. F. Perrin,
426 R. L. Brownell, and D. P. De Master, eds. *Reproduction in whales, dolphin and porpoises.*
427 Special issue report 6. Rep. International Whaling Commission, Cambridge, UK.
- 428 21. R Core Development Team. 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.
429 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 430 22. Foley, N. M., M. S. Springer, and E. C. Teeling. 2016. Mammal madness: is the mammal
431 tree of life not yet resolved? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 371:20150140.

- 432 23. Zurano, J. P., F. M. Magalhães, A. E. Asato, G. Silva, C. J. Bidau, D. O. Mesquita, and G. C.
433 Costa. 2019. Cetartiodactyla: updating a time-calibrated molecular phylogeny. *Mol.*
434 *Phylogen. Evol.* 133:256–262.
- 435 24. Revell, L.J. 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
436 things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 3:217–223.
- 437 25. Pinheiro, J., D. Bates, S. DebRoy, and D. Sarkar. 2020. *nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed*
438 *effects models*. R package version 3.1–147, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>.
- 439 26. Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and
440 Evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20(2):289–290.
- 441 27. Gage, M. J. G. and R. P. Freckleton. 2003. Relative testis size and sperm morphometry
442 across mammals: no evidence for an association between sperm competition and sperm
443 length. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 270:625–632.
- 444 28. Pennell M. W., J. Eastman, G. J. Slater, J. W. Brown, J. C. Uyeda, R. G. FitzJohn, M. E.
445 Alfaro, and L. Harmon. 2014. Geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting
446 macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 30: 2216–2218.
- 447 29. Rodrigues, F. R., V. M. F. Da Silva, J. F. M. Barcellos, and S. M. Lazzarini. (2008.
448 Reproductive anatomy of the female Amazonian manatee *Trichechus inunguis* Natterer, 1883
449 (Mammalia: Sirenia). *Anat. Rec.* 291(5):557-564.
- 450 30. Orbach, D.N. 2019. Sexual strategies- male and female mating tactics. Pp. 75-93 in B.
451 Würsig, ed. *Ethology and Behavioral Ecology of Odontocetes*. Springer, Cham. doi-org-
452 443.webvpn.fjmu.edu.cn/10.1007/978-3-030-16663-2_4

- 453 31. Twiss, S. D., S. S. Anderson, and P. Monaghan. 1998. Limited intra-specific variation in
454 male grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) dominance relationships in relation to variation in male
455 mating success and female availability. *J. Zool.* 246(3):259-267.
- 456 32. Flatz, R., M. González-Suárez, J. K. Young, C. J. Hernández-Camacho, A. J. Immel, and L.
457 R. Gerber. 2012. Weak polygyny in California sea lions and the potential for alternative
458 mating tactics. *PLoS One* 7(3):e33654.
- 459 33. Parker, P., and J. M. Maniscalco. 2014. A long-term study reveals multiple reproductive
460 behavior strategies among territorial adult male Steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*). *Can.*
461 *J. Zool.* 92(5):405-415.
- 462 34. Boness, D. J., W. D. Bowen, B. M. Buhleier, and G. J. Marshall. 2006. Mating tactics and
463 mating system of an aquatic-mating pinniped: the harbor seal, *Phoca vitulina*. *Behav. Ecol.*
464 *Sociobiol.* 61(1): 119-130.
- 465 35. Orbach, D. N., C. D. Marshall, B. Würsig, and S. L. Mesnick. 2016. Variation in female
466 reproductive tract morphology of the common bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Anat.*
467 *Rec.* 299:520-537.
- 468 36. Pataky, T.C. 2010. Generalized n-dimensional biomechanical field analysis using statistical
469 parametric mapping. *J. Biomech.* 43:1976-1982.
- 470

Trait (α shape complexity)	N	Predictor	Slope \pm SE	T	P
All taxa	18	Neonate length	0.51 \pm 0.68	0.74	0.47
		Mother length	-0.33 \pm 0.56	-0.58	0.57
All taxa	18	Testes mass	0.03 \pm 0.03	0.95	0.36
		Body mass	-0.00 \pm 0.21	-0.09	0.93
All taxa	18	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.36 \pm 0.27	-1.36	0.19
Cetaceans only	12	Neonate length	0.67 \pm 0.88	0.76	0.47
		Mother length	-0.51 \pm 0.74	-0.70	0.51
Cetaceans only	12	Testes mass	-0.05 \pm 0.07	-0.71	0.49
		Body mass	0.03 \pm 0.04	0.70	0.51
Cetaceans only	12	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.38 \pm 0.38	-0.98	0.35

472 **Table 1.** Results of regression models of alpha complexity in relation to various predictor

473 variables using standard generalised least squares (GLS). All analyses were conducted on log10
474 transformed data.

475

476 **Figure Legend**

477

478 **Figure 1.** Ancestral state condition of 3D alpha complexity, reconstructed on a time-calibrated
479 composite phylogeny of marine mammals. Branch lengths in millions of years. Hot colours
480 indicate higher vaginal shape complexity. Ancestral states were reconstructed using the ‘fastAnc’
481 package of ‘phytools’. Scale bar length represents 25 million years.

482

483 **Figure 2.** Three-dimensional surface heatmaps of marine mammal vaginal endocasts of A)
484 Harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*), B) California sea lion (*Zalophus californianus*), and C)
485 West Indian manatee (*Trichechus manatus*). Endocasts are positioned cranial (cervix) up. The
486 left image in each panel shows a ventral view while the right image shows a dorsal view. Cool
487 colors represent anatomical regions that are resolved by comparatively coarse alpha fits, whereas
488 areas contributing only to highly refined fits are represented by hot colors. Hot colors therefore

489 illustrate the regions that are most influential in driving high alpha complexity. The resulting
490 heatmaps are plotted onto the optimal refinement alpha shape fit for a given model.

491

492 **Figure 3.** Uncorrected PCA conducted on raw alpha complexity dataset (including multiple
493 individuals per taxa), with representative individuals displayed as 3D surface renderings.
494 Pinnipeds are in green, cetaceans are in red, and the sirenian is in blue.

495

496 **Figure 4.** A boxplot illustrating the distribution of alpha complexity values of the vaginal tract in
497 relation to the corresponding penile morphology.

498

499 **Appendix 1.** Biological data on the specimens used in the study.

Specimen ID	Species	Age Class	Body Length (cm)	Stranding Location	Stranding Network
18Er03AprWI-01	<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	Subadult	910	Washington	Cascadia Research
C-364	<i>Megaptera novaenglia</i>	Subadult	944.9	California	The Marine Mammal Center
CRC 1573	<i>Mesoplodon densirostris</i>	Adult	431	Washington	Cascadia Research
Calo 15-10	<i>Kogia breviceps</i>	Adult	286	North Carolina	North Carolina State University
HMSC14-04-05-Sa	<i>Stenella attenuata</i>	Subadult	199	Oregon	CMAST Oregon State University
ScNEFL1722	<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Adult	200	Florida	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission
KXD0306	<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Adult	201.8	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
DSJ2385	<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Adult	216	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
VAQS20171042Dd	<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Adult	207.8	Virginia	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
LMLDD2015OCT16	<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Adult	182	California	Long Marine Lab Stranding Network
HMSC18-04-19Dd	<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Subadult	176	Oregon	Oregon State University
IFAW14-144Lalb	<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	Adult	242	Massachusetts	International Federation of Animal Welfare
KS14-40Lo	<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>	Adult	175.5	New Zealand	New Zealand Common Dolphin Project
HMSC15-08-18-Lo	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	201.5	Oregon	Oregon State University
RL160515.01	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	184.3	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
RL160717.006	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	180	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
RL160717.002	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	196.2	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
SWC170242	<i>Orcinus orca</i>	Adult	548	California	Seaworld

TtNEFL1517	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	263	Florida	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission
TtNEFL1801	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Subadult	214	Florida	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission
VAQS20151079	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Subadult	226.6	Virginia	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
VAQS20151095	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	224.6	Virginia	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
VAQS20161054	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	245.4	Virginia	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
CALO 15-06	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Subadult	194	North Carolina	North Carolina State University CMAST
CALO18-01	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	264	North Carolina	North Carolina State University CMAST
HMSC15-03-12-Pp	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Subadult	112	Oregon	Oregon State University
WDFW2018-036	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	165	Washington	Cascadia Research
HMSC16-08-27Pp	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	160.5	Oregon	Oregon State University
LMLPP2014SEPT17	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	171	California	Long Marine Lab Stranding Network
IFAW15-035Pp	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Subadult	130	Massachusetts	International Federation of Animal Welfare
SSW051617	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	166	Washington	Cascadia Research
C434	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	174	California	The Marine Mammal Center
NanHg040318	<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	Adult	180	Massachusetts	Nantucket Marine Mammal Conservation
HMSC18-04-22Ej	<i>Eumetopias jubatus</i>	Adult	232	Oregon	Oregon State University
HMSC18-03-11-Pv	<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Adult	143	Oregon	Oregon State University
2015-SJ042	<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Adult	135	Washington	The Whale Museum
2015-SJ052	<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Adult	150	Washington	The Whale Museum
TMMC-CSL-13501	<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	Adult	165	California	The Marine Mammal Center
TMMC-CSL-13502	<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	Adult	135	California	The Marine Mammal Center
SWFTm1836b	<i>Trichechus manatus</i>	Adult	326	Florida	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission

500 **Appendix 2.** The process of calculating optimal refinement coefficient. A) Example set of alpha
501 shapes fitted to three representative taxa. Fits increase in refinement from right and left,
502 beginning with a convex hull. At highly refined fits, the volume of the alpha shape approaches
503 that of the original surface mesh. B) Curves describing the decrease in alpha shape volume with
504 decreasing refinement coefficient. Optimal fit (star symbol) is defined as the alpha shape
505 possessing a volume equal to the original mesh (ratio = 1). Beyond this fit, alpha shapes begin to
506 break down into an increasing number of separate elements until no fit can be achieved (sharp
507 decline in volume ratios). Specimens possessing relatively high values of alpha shape volume
508 extracted at low refinement coefficients (left side) are characterised by a ‘finer scale’ complexity
509 (likely related to surface texture, pitting, etc.), while specimens at high (coarse) refinement
510 coefficients (right side) are characterised by comparatively ‘coarse’ complexity (large ridges,
511 grooves, invaginations, etc.). Open circles mark locations of sampling for PCA analysis. C)
512 Magnified view of grey region in B, illustrating optimal refinement coefficient decreases and
513 therefore “alpha complexity” ($1/\text{optimal } k$) increases from the comparatively simple *Z.*
514 *californianus* to the complex *T. manatus*.

515 **Appendix 3.** Data on body lengths and weights used for regression analyses.

Spe	A	A	M	M	M	S
cies	v	v	a	a	a	o
	e	e	x	x	l	u
	r	r	i	i	e	r
	a	a	m	m	M	c
	g	g	u	u	a	e
	e	e	m	m	x	
	N	M			i	
	e	o	C	M	m	
	o	t	o	a	u	
	n	h	m	l	m	
	a	e	b	e		
	te	r	i	B	B	
	B	B	n	o	o	
	o	o	e	d	d	
	d	d	d	y	y	
	y	y		M	L	
	L	L	T	a	e	
	e	e	e	s	n	
	n	n	s	s	g	
	g	g	t	(t	
	t	t	e	g	h	
	h	h	s)		
	a	a	M	(
	t	t	a	c		
	P	P	s	m		
	a	a	s)		
	rt	rt	(
	u	u	g			
	ri	ri)			

	ti	ti			
	o	o			
	n	n			
	(c	(c			
	m	m			
))			
			4		
			0		
			6	,	
			7	8	1,
			,	2	2,
<i>Esc</i>			5	3	1
<i>hriet</i>	1,		0	,	4
<i>ius</i>	4	3	0	0	4
<i>robu</i>	5	4		0	6
<i>stus</i>	5	5		0	0
			5		
			6		
			2	,	
			5	3	
<i>Meg</i>			,	4	5,
<i>apte</i>			0	0	1
<i>ra</i>	1,		0	,	7
<i>nov</i>	4	3	0	0	7
<i>aen</i>	2	7		0	6
<i>glia</i>	6	1		0	8
<i>Mes</i>	2	D	D	D	5
<i>oplo</i>	3	at	a	a	8
<i>don</i>	0	a	t	t	0
<i>dens</i>		u	a	a	

			u	u		
			n	n		
		n	a	a		
		a	v	v		
		v	a	a		
		ai	i	i		
		la	l	l		
		bl	a	a		
		e	b	b		
<i>irost</i>			l	l		
<i>ris</i>			e	e		
				4		
<i>Kog</i>			1	5		
<i>ia</i>			0	3		
<i>brev</i>			,	,		8,
<i>icep</i>	1	3	4	5	3	9,
<i>s</i>	2	1	9	9	3	1
	0	5	0	0	6	0
				1		
				1		1
<i>Sten</i>			2	9		1,
<i>ella</i>			,	,		1
<i>atte</i>	1	2	8	0	2	2,
<i>nuat</i>	0	0	9	0	5	1
<i>e</i>	5	1	6	0	7	3
<i>Sten</i>	9	2	4	1	2	1
<i>ella</i>	6	2	5	5	5	4,
<i>coer</i>		1	0	6	6	1
<i>uleo</i>				,		5
<i>alba</i>				0		

			0		
			0		
			1		
<i>Del</i>			2		
<i>phin</i>		6	7		
<i>us</i>		,	,		1
<i>cape</i>	2	4	0	2	6,
<i>nsis</i>	8	0	1	0	5 1
	9	4	4	0	5 7
			1		
<i>Del</i>			6		
<i>phin</i>		7	3		4,
<i>us</i>		,	,		1
<i>delp</i>	1	9	0	2	8,
<i>his</i>	7	8	4	0	6 1
	2	3	0	0	0 9
			3		
<i>Lag</i>			4		
<i>enor</i>			2	5	4,
<i>hync</i>			,	,	2
<i>hus</i>	1	2	8	2	3 0,
<i>albi</i>	1	4	1	0	1 2
<i>rost</i>	5	5	0	0	5 1
<i>ris</i>					
<i>Lag</i>	8	1	9	8	2 2
<i>enor</i>	2	6	,	5	1 2,
<i>hync</i>		9	7	,	1 2
<i>hus</i>			3	0	3,
<i>obsc</i>			0	0	2
<i>urus</i>			0		4,

						2
						5
						4,
<i>Lag</i>						2
<i>enor</i>			1			6,
<i>hync</i>			9			2
<i>hus</i>			1	8		7,
<i>obli</i>			,	,		2
<i>quid</i>		1	1	0	2	8,
<i>ens</i>	9	8	1	0	0	2
	4	0	8	0	5	9
			1			
			0			
			,			
			4			4,
			4	8		2
			6	8		2,
			,	,		3
<i>Orci</i>	2	5	2	0	9	0,
<i>ncus</i>	3	4	0	0	8	3
<i>orca</i>	3	8	0	0	0	1
			2			
<i>Turs</i>			4			
<i>iops</i>			1	7		4,
<i>trun</i>			,	,		2
<i>catu</i>	1	2	2	2	3	2,
<i>s</i>	1	6	3	0	8	3
	1	2	0	0	1	2
<i>Pho</i>	7	1	3	6	1	4,

<i>coen</i>				1		
<i>a</i>			,	,		3
<i>pho</i>			8	2		3,
<i>coen</i>		4	1	0	7	3
<i>a</i>	5	6	4	0	8	4
	D					
	at					
	a					
	u					3
	n			2		5,
	a			0		3
<i>Hali</i>	v			4		6,
<i>choe</i>	ai			,		3
<i>rus</i>	la	1	1	0	2	7,
<i>gryp</i>	bl	6	2	0	6	3
<i>us</i>	e	9	0	0	5	8
				9		
				3		3
<i>Eum</i>				8		5,
<i>etop</i>				,		3
<i>ias</i>		2	1	0	3	9,
<i>juba</i>	9	2	7	0	3	4
<i>tus</i>	6	6	7	0	0	0
<i>Pho</i>	8	1	9	1	1	3
<i>ca</i>	3	4	5	0	9	5,
<i>vitul</i>		8		4	0	4
<i>ina</i>				,		1,
				0		4
				0		2,
				0		4

						3
					2	
					4	4
<i>Zalo</i>					4	3,
<i>phus</i>	1	1	,			4
<i>calif</i>	6	1	5	2	4,	
<i>orni</i>	7	0	3	0	4	4
<i>anus</i>	4	^	^	0	0	5
						4
					7	6,
					0	4
<i>Tric</i>		2	6			7,
<i>hecu</i>		,	,			4
<i>s</i>	1	3	3	0	2	8,
<i>man</i>	2	1	5	0	5	4
<i>atus</i>	5	5	0	0	3	9

^unpublished data

- 516 Many references obtained from Dines et al. (2015) and Trites and Pauly (1998)
- 517 Dines, J. P., S. L. Mesnick, K. Ralls, L. May-Collado, I. Agnarsson, and M. D. Dean. 2015. A trade-off between precopulatory and
- 518 postcopulatory trait investment in male cetaceans. *Evolution* 69(6):1560-1572.
- 519 Trites, A. W., and D. Pauly. 1998. Estimating mean body masses of marine mammals from maximum body lengths. *Can. J.*
- 520 *Zool.* 76(5):886-896.
- 521
- 522 1. Wolman A. A. 1985. Gray whale *Eschrichtius robustus* (Lilljeborg, 1861). Pp. 67-90 in S. H. Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds.
- 523 Handbook of marine animals, Vol. 3. Academic Press, London, UK.
- 524 2. Robeck, T.R., K. J. Steinman, M. Yoshioka, E. Jensen, J. K. O'Brien, E. Katsumata, C. Gili, J. F. McBain, J. Sweeney, and S.
- 525 L. Monfort. 2005. Estrous cycle characterisation and artificial insemination using frozen-thawed spermatozoa in the bottlenose
- 526 dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Reproduction* 129:659-674.
- 527 3. Rice D. W., A. A. Wolman, and H. W. Braham. 1984 The gray whale, *Eschrichtius robustus*. *Mar. Fish. Rev.* 46(4):7-14.

- 528 4. Klinowska, M. 1991. Dolphins, porpoises and whales of the world. *In* The IUCN Red Data Book. International Union for
529 Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Gland, Switzerland, and Cambridge, U.K.
- 530 5. Chittleborough, R. G. 1958. Breeding cycle of the female humpback whale, *Megaptera nodosa* (Bonnaterre). Austral. J. Mar.
531 Fresh. Res. 1-18.
- 532 6. Chittleborough, R. G. 1965. Dynamics of two populations of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae* (Borowski). Mar.
533 Fresh. Res. 16(1):33-128.
- 534 7. Clapham, P. J., S. Leatherwood, I., Szczepaniak, and R. L. Brownell Jr. 1997. Catches of humpback and other whales from
535 shore stations at Moss Landing and Trinidad, California, 1919-1926. Mar. Mammal Sci. 13:368-394.
- 536 8. Ruiz, G. M. 1993. Male reproductive anatomy of the pygmy sperm whale, *Kogia breviceps*, and the dwarf sperm whale, *Kogia*
537 *simus*, based on gross and histological observations. MS thesis, University of South Florida, Tampa.
- 538 9. Ross, G. J. B. 1979. Records of pygmy and dwarf sperm whales, genus *Kogia*, from southern Africa, with biological notes and
539 some comparisons. Annal. Cape Prov. Mus. Nat. Hist. 11(14):259-327.
- 540 10. Berta, A. 2015. Whales, dolphins, and porpoises: A natural history and species guide. University of Chicago Press, Chicago,
541 IL.
- 542 11. Perrin, W. F., and A. A. Hohn. 1994. Pantropical spotted dolphin *Stenella attenuata*. Pp. 71-98 in S. H. Ridgway, and R.
543 Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals, Vol. 5. Academic Press, London, UK.
- 544 12. Hohn A., S. J. Chivers, and J. Barlow. 1985. Reproductive maturity and seasonality of male spotted dolphins, *Stenella*
545 *attenuata*, in the eastern tropical Pacific. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 1(4):273-293.
- 546 13. Nishiwako, M., M. Nakajima, and T. Kamiya. 1965. A rare species of dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*) from Arari, Japan. Sci. Rep.
547 Whales Res. Inst. 19:53-64.
- 548 14. Aguilar, A. 1991. Calving and early mortality in the western Mediterranean striped dolphin, *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Can. J.
549 Zool. 69(5):1408-1412.
- 550 15. Perrin, W. F., C. E. Wilson, and F. I. Archer. 1994. Striped dolphin *Stenella coeruleoalba* (Meyen, 1833). Pp. 129-159 in S. H.
551 Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals, vol. 5. Academic Press, London, UK.
- 552 16. Perrin, W. F. 2009. Common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis* and *Delphinus capensis*. Pp. 255-259 in W. F. Perrin, B. Wursig,
553 and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. Academic Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 554 17. Ngqulana, S. G., G. G. Hofmeyr, and S. Plön. 2017. Sexual dimorphism in long-beaked common dolphins (*Delphinus*
555 *capensis*) from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *J. Mamm.* 98(5):1389-1399.

- 556 18. Chivers, S. J., W. L. Perryman, M. S. Lynn, T. Gerrodette, F. I. Archer, and K. Danil. 2016. Comparison of reproductive
557 parameters for populations of eastern North Pacific common dolphins: *Delphinus capensis* and *D. delphis*. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.*
558 32(1):57–85.
- 559 19. Ross, G. J. B. 1979. The smaller cetaceans of the south east coast of Southern Africa. *Annal. Cape Prov. Mus. Nat. Hist.*
560 15(2):173-410.
- 561 20. Galatius, A., O. E. Jansen, and C. C. Kinze. 2013. Parameters of growth and reproduction of white-beaked dolphins
562 (*Lagenorhynchus albirostris*) from the North Sea. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 29(2):348–355.
- 563 21. Sigurjónsson, J., and G. A. Víkingsson. 1997. Seasonal abundance of and estimated food consumption by cetaceans in
564 Icelandic and adjacent waters. *J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci.* 22:271-287.
- 565 22. Perrin, W. F., and S. B. Reilly. 1984. Reproductive parameters of dolphins and small whales of the family Delphinidae. *Rep.*
566 *Int. Whal. Comm., Spec. Issue* 6:97-133.
- 567 23. Van Waerebeek, K., and A. J. Read. 1994. Reproduction of dusky dolphins, *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*, from coastal Peru. *J.*
568 *Mamm.* 75(4):1054-1062.
- 569 24. Brownell Jr., R. L., and F. Cipriano. 1999. Dusky dolphin *Lagenorhynchus obscurus* (Gray, 1828). Pp. 85-104 in S. H.
570 Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds. *Handbook of marine mammals*, Vol. 6. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- 571 25. Dans, S. L., E. A. Crespo, S. N. Pedraza, and M. K. Alonso. 1997. Notes on the reproductive biology of female dusky dolphins
572 (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*) off the Patagonian coast. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 13(2):303-307.
- 573 26. Walker, W. A., S. Leatherwood, K. R. Goodrich, W. F. Perrin, and R. K. Stroud. 1986. Geographical variation and biology of
574 the Pacific white-sided dolphin, *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*, in the north-eastern Pacific. Pp. 441-465 in M. M. Bryden, and
575 R. Harrison, eds. *Research on dolphins*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK.
- 576 27. Harrison, R. J., R. L. Brownell Jr., and R. C. Boice. 1972. Reproduction and gonadal appearance in some odontocetes. Pp. 361-
577 429 in R.vJ. Harrison, ed. *Functional anatomy of marine mammals*, Vol. 1. Academic Press, London, UK.
- 578 28. Brownell Jr., R. L., W. A. Walker, and K. A. Forney. 1999. Pacific white-sided dolphin, *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens* Gill,
579 1865. Pp. 57-84 in S.H. Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds. *Handbook of marine mammals*, Vol. 6. Academic Press, San Diego,
580 CA.
- 581 29. Black, N. A. 2009. Pacific white-sided dolphin *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*. Pp. 817-819 in W. F. Perrin, B. Wursig, and J. G.
582 M. Thewissen, eds. *Encyclopedia of marine mammals*. Academic Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 583 30. Mikhalev, Y. A., M. V. Ivashin, V. P. Savusin, and F. E. Zelenaya. 1981. The distribution and biology of killer whales in the
584 Southern Hemisphere. *Rep. Internat. Whal. Comm.* 31:551-566.

- 585 31. Clark, S. T., D. K. Odell, and C. T. Lacinak. 2000. Aspects of growth in captive killer whales (*Orcinus orca*). Mar. Mamm.
586 Sci. 16(1):110-123.
- 587 32. Storelli, M. M., and G. O. Marcotrigiano. 2000. Environmental contamination in bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*):
588 Relationship between levels of metals, methylmercury, and organochlorine compounds in an adult female, her neonate, and a
589 calf. Bull. Envir. Contam. Toxic. 64(3):333-340.
- 590 33. Learmonth, J. A., S. Murphy, P. I. Luque, R. J. Reid, I. A. P. Patterson, A. Brownlow, H. M. Ross, J. P. Barley, M. Begoña
591 Santos, and G. J. Pierce. 2014. Life history of harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) in Scottish (UK) waters. Mar. Mamm.
592 Sci. 30:1427–1455.
- 593 34. Ólafsdóttir, D., G. A. Víkingsson, S. D. Halldórsson, and J. Sigurjónsson. 2002. Growth and reproduction in harbour porpoises
594 (*Phocoena phocoena*) in Icelandic waters. NAMMCO Sci. Pub. 5:195-210.
- 595 35. Scheffer, V. B., and K. W. Kenyon. 1963. Baculum size in pinnipeds. Z. Säugetierk. 28:38-41.
- 596 36. Hammill, M. O., and J. F. Gosselin. 1995. Grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) from the Northwest Atlantic: Female reproductive
597 rates, age at first birth, and age of maturity in males. Can. J. Fish. Aqua. Sci. 52:2757-2761.
- 598 37. Pomeroy, P. P., M. A. Fedak, P. Rothery, and S. Anderson. 1999. Consequences of maternal size for reproductive expenditure
599 and pupping success of grey seals at North Rona, Scotland. J. Anim. Ecol. 68(2):35-253.
- 600 38. Mansfield, A. W. 1977. Growth and longevity of the grey seal *Halichoerus grypus* in eastern Canada. International Council for
601 the Exploration of the Sea, Marine Mammals Committee, C.M. 1977/ N:6.
- 602 39. Winship, A. J., A. W. Trites, and D. G. Calkins. 2001. Growth in body size of the steller sea lion (*Eumetopias jubatus*). J.
603 Mamm. 82:500-519.
- 604 40. Calkins, D., E. F. Becker, and K. W. Pitcher. 1998. Reduced body size of female Steller sea lions from a declining population
605 in the Gulf of Alaska. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 14:232–244.
- 606 41. Bigg, M. A. 1962. Age determination, reproduction, growth and population analysis of the Harbour seal, *Phoca vitulina*
607 *richardi* gray. MSc Thesis, Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia.
- 608 42. Markussen, N. H., A. Bjørge, and N. A. Øritsland. 1989. Growth in harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) on the Norwegian coast. J.
609 Zool. 219(3):433-440.
- 610 43. McLaren, I. A. 1993. Growth in pinnipeds. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 68:1–79.
- 611 44. Ferguson, S. H., and J. W. Higdon. 2006. How seals divide up the world: Environment, life history, and conservation.
612 Oecologia 150: 318-329.

- 613 45. Gilmartin, W. G., L. R. DeLong, A. W. Smith, J. C. Sweeney, R. W. de Lappe, R. W. Risebrough, L. A. Griner, M. D. Dailey,
614 and D. B. Peakall. 1976. Premature parturition in the California sea lion. *J. Wild. Dis.* 12:104-115.
- 615 46. Borges, J. C. G., A. C. da Bôaviagem Freire, F. L. N. Attademo, I. de Lima Serrano, D. G. Anzolin, P. S. M. de Carvalho, and
616 J. E. Vergara-Parente. 2012. Growth pattern differences of captive born Antillean manatee (*Trichechus manatus*) calves and
617 those rescued in the Brazilian northeastern coast. *J. Zoo Wild. Med.* 43(3):494-500.
- 618 47. Moore, J. C. 1957. Newborn young of a captive manatee. *J. Mamm.* 38(1):137-138.
- 619 48. Reynolds III, J. E., S. A. Rommel, and M. E. Pitchford. 2004. The likelihood of sperm competition in manatees- explaining an
620 apparent paradox. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 20(3):464-476.
- 621 49. Harshaw, L. T., I. V. Larkin, R. K. Bonde, C. J. Deutsch, and R. C. Hill. 2016. Morphometric body condition indices of wild
622 Florida manatees (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*). *Aqua. Mamm.* 42(4):428.

623 **Appendix 4.** Penis tip shape of the species included in the study.

Species	Penis Tip Shape	Age Class	Source
<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Megaptera novaenglia</i>	Tapered	Adult	1
<i>Mesoplodon densirostris</i>	Filiform	Adult	2
<i>Kogia breviceps</i>	Tapered	Juvenile	Unpublished data
<i>Stenella attenuata</i>	Data unavailable		
<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Tapered	Adult	3
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Tapered	Juvenile	4
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Tapered	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Tapered	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Eumetopias jubatus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	5
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Trichecus manatus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data

- 624 1. Pack, A., L. M. Herman, A. L. Craig, S. S. Spitz, and M. H. Deakos. 2002. Penis
625 extrusions by humpback whales (*Megaptera novaengliae*). *Aquat. Mamm.* 28(2):131-146
626 2. Saliki, J. T., E. J. Cooper, D. S. Rotstein, S. L. Caseltine, D. A. Pabst, W. A. McLellan et
627 al. 2006. A novel gammaherpesvirus associated with genital lesions in a Blainville's
628 beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*). *J. Wild. Dis.* 42(1): 142-148.
629 3. Sierra, E., J. Díaz-Delgado, M. Arbelo, M. Andrada, S. Sacchini, and A. Fernández.
630 2015. Herpesvirus-associated genital lesions in a stranded striped dolphin (*Stenella*
631 *coeruleoalba*) in the Canary Islands, Spain. *J. Wild. Dis.* 51(3):696-702.
632 4. Van Bresseem, M. F., K. Van Waerebeek, D. Montes, S. Kennedy, J. C. Reyes, I. A.
633 Garcia-Godos et al. 2006. Diseases, lesions and malformations in the long-beaked
634 common dolphin *Delphinus capensis* from the Southeast Pacific. *Dis. Aqua.*
635 *Organisms* 68(2):149-165.
636 5. Dyanna Lambourn, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, personal
637 communication.

638

639 **Appendix 5.** Data arranged in descending order of alpha complexity. High values of alpha
640 complexity indicate a comparatively 'complex' vaginal tract morphology.

Species	Individual ID	Alpha Complexity	Taxa
<i>Kogia breviceps</i>	CALO1510	1.021	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	RL16071	0.991	Cetacean
<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	18Er03AprW1-01	0.964	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	SSW051617	0.93	Sirenian
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	RL160717	0.903	Cetacean
<i>Stenella attenuate</i>	HMSC14-04-05	0.888	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	RL160515	0.887	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	IFAW14-144	0.843	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	C-434	0.826	Cetacean
<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	ScNEFL1722	0.817	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	IFAW15-035	0.79	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	TtNEFL1517	0.784	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>	KS1440	0.782	Cetacean
<i>Trichecus manatus</i>	SWFTm1836b	0.78	Sirenian
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	CALO1801	0.763	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	HMSC15-08-18	0.746	Cetacean
<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	NANHg040318	0.743	Pinniped
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	HMSC16-08-27	0.734	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	LMLPp2014Sept17	0.73	Cetacean
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	HMSC18-04-19	0.688	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	WDFW2018-036	0.684	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	VAQS20161054	0.682	Cetacean
<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>	C-364	0.674	Cetacean
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	HMSC18-03-11	0.671	Pinniped
<i>Eumetopias jubatus</i>	HMSC18-04-22	0.67	Pinniped
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	VAQS20151079	0.631	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	TtNEFL1801	0.625	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	VAQS20151095	0.61	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	HMSC15-03-12	0.56	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	CALO1506	0.576	Cetacean
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	SWC170242	0.571	Cetacean
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	LMLDd2015Oct16	0.563	Cetacean
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	2015SJ052	0.533	Pinniped

<i>Mesoplodon densirostris</i>	CRC1573	0.511	Cetacean
<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	TMMC-CSL13502	0.494	Pinniped
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	KXD0306	0.488	Cetacean
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	DSJ2385	0.488	Cetacean
<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	TMMC-CSL13501	0.468	Pinniped
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	2015-SJ042	0.428	Pinniped
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	VAQS20171042	0.427	Cetacean

641

642 **Appendix 6.** Uncorrected PCA conducted on species mean dataset. Pinnipeds are in green,
643 cetaceans are in red, and the sirenian is in blue.

644

645 **Appendix 7.** High intraspecific variation in the vaginal tract of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena*
646 *phocoena*). The figure shows the dorsal aspect of seven harbour porpoise vaginal lumens, all
647 oriented with the vaginal opening at the bottom. Calculated alpha shape complexity increases
648 from left to right (α : 0.560-0.930).

649

650 **Appendix 8.** Results of regression models of alpha complexity in relation to various predictor
651 variables when accounting for phylogenetic interdependence using PGLS. All analyses were
652 conducted on log10 transformed data.

Trait (α shape complexity)	λ	N	Predictor	Slope \pm SE	T	P
All taxa	<0.01	18	Neonate length	0.51 \pm 0.68	0.74	0.47
			Mother length	-0.33 \pm 0.56	-0.58	0.57
All taxa	-0.22	18	Testes mass	0.04 \pm 0.03	1.86	0.27
			Body mass	0.00 \pm 0.03	0.27	0.79
All taxa	0.26	18	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.40 \pm 0.28	-1.43	0.17

Cetaceans only	0.7	12	Neonate length	1.15 ± 0.84	1.37	0.2
			Mother length	-1.05 ± 0.74	-1.43	0.19
Cetaceans only	0.95	12	Testes mass	-0.10 ± 0.04	-2.74	0.02
			Body mass	0.007 ± 0.04	0.18	0.86
Cetaceans only	0.44	12	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.54 ± 0.38	-1.43	0.18

653