

3D Genital Shape Complexity in Female Marine Mammals

Dara N. Orbach^{1,2}, Charlotte A. Brassey³, James D. Gardiner⁴, Patricia L.R. Brennan²

¹Department of Life Sciences, Texas A&M University- Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, Texas, 78412, USA

²Department of Biological Sciences, Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, Massachusetts 01075, USA

³School of Science and the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, UK

⁴Department of Musculoskeletal and Ageing Science, Institute of Lifecourse and Medical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L7 8TX, UK

*Corresponding author: Dara N. Orbach
Texas A&M University- Corpus Christi
Department of Life Sciences
6300 Ocean Drive
Corpus Christi, TX, 78412
USA
(409)599-0379
dnorbach@gmail.com

24 **Abstract**

25 Comparisons of 3D shapes have recently been applied to diverse anatomical structures using
26 landmarking techniques. However discerning evolutionary patterns can be challenging for
27 structures lacking homologous landmarks. We used alpha shape analyses to quantify vaginal
28 shape complexity in 40 marine mammal specimens including cetaceans, pinnipeds, and sirenians.
29 We explored phylogenetic signal and the potential roles of natural and sexual selection on
30 vaginal shape evolution. Complexity scores were consistent with qualitative observations.
31 Cetaceans had a broad range of alpha complexities, while pinnipeds were comparatively simple
32 and sirenians were complex. Intraspecific variation was found. Three-dimensional surface heat
33 maps revealed that shape complexity was driven by invaginations and protrusions of the vaginal
34 wall. Phylogenetic signal was weak and metrics of natural selection (relative neonate size) and
35 sexual selection (relative testes size, sexual size dimorphism, and penis morphology) did not
36 explain vaginal complexity patterns. Additional metrics, such as penile shape complexity, may
37 yield interesting insights into marine mammal genital coevolution. We advocate for the use of
38 alpha shapes to discern patterns of evolution that would otherwise not be possible in 3D
39 anatomical structures lacking homologous landmarks.

40

41 **Keywords:** alpha shape, vaginal lumen, genital, marine mammal, sexual selection

42

43 **Introduction**

44 Sexual selection, and natural selection to a lesser extent, can influence genital shape [1-2]. The
45 diversity, complexity, and rapid evolution of male genitalia has been well documented in many
46 taxa [1, 3]. Female genital evolution, in contrast, has historically received limited scientific

investigation, partly because female reproductive organs were thought to show low patterns of variation [4]. This oversight has hindered explorations of the intricate dynamics between the form and function of genitalia and thereby constrained some advancements in sexual and natural selection theory. Over the past decade, research on the morphological diversity of female genitalia has been revitalized using rigorous quantitative approaches that focus on overall shape rather than traditional linear measurements. Measures of shape tend to provide more information and demonstrate increased patterns of divergence compared to size metrics of genital traits [5-7]. Among vertebrates, divergent female reproductive tract shapes have been found in snakes [8], waterfowl [9], sharks [10], and cetaceans [11]. Such shape analyses have used 2D geometric morphometric (GM) approaches, where homologous morphological landmarks were applied across photographs of specimens and subjected to Procrustes superimposition to remove the effects of translation, rotation, and scale. Comparison of 2D and 3D GM of female genitalia in spiny dogfish sharks (*Squalus acanthias*) found high congruence between data derived using the 2D and 3D approaches, although only 3D revealed significant patterns of asymmetry that may have biological relevance during pregnancy [10]. While 2D GM can capture some aspects of shape, particularly in plate-like structures, complex 3D structures require a different approach. With advances in bioimaging technologies, the application of 3D shape analyses to investigate relationships between form and function is rapidly growing [12]. Yet landmark-based 2D and 3D GM remain limited in their application to morphologically disparate structure with irregular shapes or lacking homologous landmarks, such as complex genital structures.

Geometric shape complexity analyses offer alternative metrics to GM for quantitative shape examination and can be thought of as the number of simple shape primitives required to adequately represent a given structure. Although morphological complexity can be difficult to

70 assess and quantify, several continuous metrics exist [13]. Alpha shapes, in which a family of
71 shapes are fitted to a set of underlying points, has been tested on genital shape complexity; 3D
72 shape complexity has been quantified without using landmarks in mammalian bacula, produced
73 congruent results with other metrics of complexity [14], and revealed patterns of variation related
74 to mating system [15]. Alpha shapes range from a very coarse convex-hull fit to tightly-fitting
75 ‘shrink-wraps’. The tightness of the fit is determined by a refinement coefficient, with small
76 coefficient values reflecting tightly fitted shapes. Complex structures are defined as requiring a
77 tight fit to match the original volume of the underlying mesh [14]. Alpha shape analyses can be
78 used to consistently and objectively quantify variation in shape complexity in irregular shapes
79 lacking homologous landmarks [14], and can therefore be applied to assess the diversity and
80 complexity of biological structures that are challenging to quantify but offer important insights
81 into evolution.

82 The reproductive tract shapes of female cetaceans (whales, dolphins, and porpoises)
83 represent an unparalleled level of diversity in female genital morphology within a vertebrate
84 clade [11, 16]. Cetaceans possess vaginal folds, protrusions of the vaginal wall into the vaginal
85 lumen, that vary in number, shape, size, and positioning across species [11, 16]. These vaginal
86 folds are stiffer than other reproductive tract tissues [17] and can physically occlude the penis
87 during copulation, potentially providing females with a mechanism to control paternity [18].
88 Two-dimensional GM indicated that vaginal and cervical shape diversity was influenced by
89 ontogenetic and allometric factors in cetaceans, but not by neonate size or residual testes size
90 [16]. However, qualitative assessments of the 3D vaginal lumen shape and penis shape of post-
91 mortem specimens in a few species of marine mammals have suggested close shape
92 correspondence, coevolution, and varying complexity across species [18-19]. Therefore, 3D

shape analysis may elucidate the selection force(s) that acts on genital morphology and is not detectable in 2D. The complex shapes of cetacean vaginal lumens and lack of homologous landmarks in 3D models have hindered the use of landmark-based methods to quantify variation across the clade. We use alpha shape analyses to quantify complexity in 3D vaginal shape across cetaceans and other marine mammals. Convergent evolution in body form and function is prevalent across marine mammals. Therefore, we also explored vaginal shape morphology in non-cetacean marine mammals to assess the potential role of aquatic living as an evolutionary driver of shape complexity. As pinnipeds and sirenians also mate in the marine environment but do not have vaginal folds, we predict that non-cetacean marine mammals will have less complex vaginal morphologies than cetaceans and that phylogenetic signal will be strong among marine mammals. We also predict that vaginal shape complexity will correlate with metrics of precopulatory sexual selection (sexual size dimorphism), copulatory sexual selection (penile morphology), and postcopulatory sexual selection (relative testes size), but not natural selection (relative neonate size at birth).

Material and Methods

Data Collection

The intact reproductive tracts (from the ovaries to the external urogenital slit) of naturally deceased female marine mammals were collected opportunistically by marine mammal stranding networks and research institutions in the United States and New Zealand. Sexually immature (juvenile) and mature specimens were frozen immediately and transferred to necropsy facilities located at Mount Holyoke College. Information on the total body length of the animals and sexual maturity state (based on regional asymptotic body lengths or presence of *corpora*

116 *albicantia* / *lutea* on the ovaries; [20]) were provided by the contributing institutions (Appendix
117 1).

118 Reproductive tracts were thawed and suspended with the uterine horns down and a
119 ligature around the cervix to separate the vagina. Vaginal lumens were filled with Mold Star® 16
120 FAST or Elite HD™ light body dental silicone to make endocasts. The silicone endocasts were
121 carefully extracted to prevent artifacts or tears and to identify the ventral plane of orientation.
122 Duplicate vaginal endocasts were made of some specimens, and as shapes were consistent, the
123 original endocast was used to generate a 3D model using photogrammetry. A Canon EOS Rebel
124 T5i camera with 100 mm lens and a set of four LED lights were used to take overlapping
125 photographs of each endocast and capture the entire surface. Models were reconstructed in 3DF
126 Zephyr lite (3Dflow SRL, Verona, Italy) and scaled.

127

128 *Alpha Shape Analyses*

129 The original application of alpha shapes to quantify 3D shape complexity used a volumetric
130 computed tomography (CT) dataset, in which biological structures were represented by both
131 external and internal data points [14]. As photogrammetry meshes are composed solely of
132 surface vertices, they were imported into MATLAB (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA) and
133 internally filled with a random distribution of points. Points were generated at random within the
134 mesh's bounding box, and checked using 'in_polyhedron' script of Jaroslaw Tuszynski
135 (www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/48041-in_polyhedron) to confirm that each
136 point was located inside the model's volume as defined by the surface mesh, until the point cloud
137 contained a minimum of 250,000 points.

The analysis followed the methods described by Gardiner et al. [14]. Briefly, point clouds were down-sampled to 100,000 points prior to shape fitting. A suite of alpha shapes was fitted to each specimen, ranging from an extremely coarse convex-hull to a highly refined form as defined by the alpha radius (α). To account for variation in absolute size, α was scaled by a reference length specific to each model as:

$$\alpha = k * l_{\text{ref}}$$

where k was the refinement coefficient and l_{ref} was the point cloud reference length. The same 200 values of k were chosen and evenly spaced between 0.1 to 10,000 on a logarithmic scale across all specimens (Appendix 2). Each specimen has a unique l_{ref} , which was taken as the average distance of all points in the down-sampled point cloud to their 100 nearest neighbors. After calculating a range of α values for each specimen, alpha shapes were fitted to the data using the ‘alphavol’ function of Jonas Lundgren (www.mathworks.co.uk/matlabcentral/fileexchange/28851-alpha-shapes; Appendix 2). Each specimen was then described by a characteristic curve of alpha shape volume against refinement coefficient, with alpha shape volume decreasing as the fit became more refined (low values of k ; Appendix 2). Such curves indicate the relative ‘scale’ at which shape complexity is present within a given structure.

To explore how taxa differ in the scale of complexity present within the vaginal tract, we extracted the alpha shape volume (calculated as a percentage of ‘raw’ photogrammetry mesh volume) for 6 values of refinement coefficient (Appendix 2) across all specimens, representing 6 ‘scales’ on which complexity may be measured. We chose 6 refinement coefficients equally spaced on the logarithmic scale to span the spectrum of highly refined alpha shapes to convex hulls. Sampled alpha shape volumes were then used as raw data for phylogenetically uncorrected

161 principle components analyses (PCA) using the ‘prcomp’ function of R [21]. PCAs were
162 conducted on both the ‘all-individuals’ dataset and on species means. Variables were scaled to
163 have a zero mean and unit variance prior to analyses.

164 We calculated the optimal refinement coefficient as the value of k producing an alpha
165 shape volume equal to the volume of the photogrammetry mesh (Appendix 2). Optimal k was
166 identified by an optimisation approach using the ‘fminsearch’ function of MATLAB’s
167 optimisation toolbox. We define “alpha complexity” as $1/\text{optimal } k$ (such that lower alpha
168 complexity values reflect ‘simpler’ shapes) and use this metric in all subsequent statistical
169 analyses.

170 We also produce 3D heatmap meshes for the first time to further assist in the
171 interpretation of alpha complexity scores. Each vertex of the mesh is assigned a value equal to
172 the refinement coefficient of the coarsest alpha shape fit to which it contributes. Mesh faces are
173 colored according to an average of their neighbouring vertex values. Low complexity regions
174 resolved by coarse alpha shapes are represented by cool colors while high complexity regions
175 resolved only in tight alpha shape fits are represented by warm colors. These heatmaps are an
176 advance from a single ‘optimal’ complexity value for a whole structure and highlight specific
177 anatomical regions of complexity that further facilitates evolutionarily meaningful
178 morphological comparisons across taxa.

179

180 *Phylogenetic Considerations*

181 To account for phylogenetic relationships within the dataset, statistical analyses were conducted
182 within a phylogenetic comparative framework. A time-calibrated phylogenetic tree was compiled
183 from the literature with order-level topology based on Foley et al. [22], cetacean relationships

derived from Zurano et al. [23], and pinnipeds pruned from the carnivoran 10kTrees consensus tree (<https://10ktrees.nunn-lab.org/Carnivora/>). The degree of phylogenetic signal present in the data was quantified as Pagel's lambda, estimated using the 'phylosig' function of the R package 'phytools' [24]. Ancestral states were reconstructed using the 'fastAnc' of the same package.

Alternative Selection Pressures

We compiled the reported average lengths of neonates and their mothers at parturition from the literature (Appendix 3). These variables provide a proxy of a potential role of natural selection on vaginal shape complexity through parturition. Phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS) regressions were conducted using the 'gls' function of the 'nlme' R package [25], using a 'corPagel' correlation structure (fixed =F) from the 'ape' package [26]. A multiple regression was conducted with neonate length and mother body length as independent variables, thus providing a metric for residual neonate length.

The possible influences of sexual selection on vaginal shape complexity were tested by independently exploring residual testes size as a proxy for sperm competition risk, sexual size dimorphism, and a qualitative score of penile tip morphology. We compiled the largest reported testes mass (combined left and right) and maximum male body mass for all species in our study from published literature (Appendix 3). Phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS) regressions and multiple regression were conducted using the same approach as for neonate and mother body lengths, thus providing a metric of residual testes mass as a proxy for post-copulatory sexual selection [27]. Data on maximum male body lengths were compared to the body lengths of the females in our study to explore the possible role of sexual size dimorphism (male body length/female body length) driving vaginal complexity (Appendix 3). All data were

log₁₀ transformed prior to analyses. We excluded *Mesoplodon densirostris* from the analysis because no testes mass or mother length at parturition data were available. To categorize the shape of the penis tip, we used data from our physical collection or from published photographs (Appendix 4). Penises were categorized as filiform (slender and filament-shaped), tapered (distal tip flattened and gradually reduced in thickness), or blunt-end (rounded tip with similar thickness to the shaft; Appendix 4). A phylogenetic ANOVA was conducted using the ‘phylANOVA’ function of ‘phytools’ to test for differences in mean alpha complexity based on penile morphological traits. The mean alpha shape volume per species was calculated at 6 values of refinement coefficient (as above) and subjected to a phylogenetic principal component analysis (pPCA) using the ‘phyl.PCA’ function of phytools (mode=‘cor’) using a lambda correlation structure. To test for statistical differences in alpha complexity morphospace occupation as a function of penis morphology, principle component scores were also input into a phylogenetically corrected MANOVA using the ‘aov.phylo’ function of the package ‘geiger’ [28]. All analyses were re-tested excluding the pinnipeds and sirenian to explore the relationship between vaginal shape complexity and selective pressures within the phylogenetically constrained group of cetaceans.

Results

A total of 40 specimens were included in our study, represented by 14 species of cetaceans (n = 32 specimens), 4 species of pinnipeds (n = 7 specimens), and 1 species of sirenian (n = 1 specimen; Appendix 1).

Cetaceans had a range of endocast shapes and alpha complexities, including simple structures with only one indentation denoting a subtle vaginal fold, through to complicated

230 shapes with spirals and many indentations of varying depths and sizes (Figure 1; Appendix 5).
231 The kogiid (*Kogia breviceps*) vagina was the most complex, with multiple deep protrusions of
232 the vaginal wall. The three species of the *Lagenorhynchus* genus were comparatively complex,
233 although this genus is no longer considered monophyletic (Figure 1). The orca (*Orcinus orca*),
234 beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*), and two species of common dolphin (*Delphinus*
235 *capensis* and *D. delphis*) had comparatively simple vaginal lumens. Overall, pinnipeds had
236 comparatively simple vaginal lumen shapes, with no spirals and few indentations. Otariids (fur
237 seals and sea lions) were characterised by very low values of alpha complexity, as predicted
238 (Figure 1; Appendix 5). Phocids (seals) also possess low 3D shape complexity despite some
239 overlap with cetacean species. Contrary to predictions, the vaginal tract of the sirenian was found
240 to be complex with an intermediate alpha complexity (Figure 1; Appendix 5). The manatee
241 (*Trichechus manatus*) vaginal lumen was an intricate structure with extensive changes in
242 diameter, a cup-shape near the distal connection with the cervix, and a pronounced indentation
243 midway through the vaginal canal made by a protruding structure akin to a vaginal fold. No
244 significant phylogenetic signal in alpha complexity was detected across the phylogeny when
245 calculated for all marine mammal taxa in our study, or within the cetacean subset ($\lambda \leq 0.001$,
246 $p=1$).

247 Three-dimensional surface heatmaps indicate a heterogenous distribution of shape
248 complexity across the endocasts, corresponding to discrete anatomical features within the vaginal
249 lumen (Figure 2). High alpha complexities correspond to deep invaginations in the lumen (hot
250 colors; protrusions of the vaginal wall or *os cervix*), whereas low alpha complexity correspond to
251 non-tapering regions with few invaginations (cold colors; Figure 2).

Alpha shape volumes (calculated as a percentage of the original mesh volume) were extracted for 6 equally spaced refinement coefficients for individual specimens and subjected to a phylogenetically uncorrected principal component analysis. PC1 accounted for 79% of total variation and was negatively and heavily loaded with metrics of gross complexity extracted at coarse refinement coefficients (Figure 3). PC2 accounted for 18% of total variation and was negatively loaded with fine scale surface textural complexity (Figure 3). When repeated on species mean data, the resulting PCA was extremely similar in cumulative variations and variable loadings (Appendix 6). A phylogenetically corrected PCA conducted on species means dataset was characterised by extremely low phylogenetic signal ($\lambda = < 0.001$) and therefore illustrated an identical distribution, with PC1 and PC2 negatively loaded with gross and fine-scale complexity, respectively.

Cetaceans were widely distributed across the morphospace. Spanning PC1 (negatively associated with gross-scale complexity), *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*, *Stenella attenuata* and both species of *Delphinus* were characterised by high PC1 scores (low gross complexity), while *Lagenorhynchus obscurus* and *Phocoena phocoena* were characterised by low PC1 scores (high gross complexity; Figure 3; Appendix 6). PC2 was negatively correlated with fine-scale surface complexity, with *Mesoplodon densirostris* characterised by high PC2 scores (low surface complexity) and *Kogia breviceps* possessing low PC2 scores (high surface complexity).

Pinnipeds broadly clustered in shape complexity morphospace, scoring high in both PC1 and PC2 (*i.e.*, low macro- and fine-scale complexity; Figure 3; Appendix 6). The sirenian was a discrete outlier at the negative extreme of PC1, possessing a high degree of gross complexity driven by the presence of a large invagination of the vaginal wall and tapering of the cranial vagina prior to widening at the *os cervix* (Figure 3; Appendix 6). Overall, when species were

represented by multiple individuals, some degree of species-level grouping in complexity morphospace is apparent, yet considerable intraspecific variation exists (Figure 3, Appendix 7).

Using PGLS multiple regressions, we found that alpha complexity does not correlate to relative neonate length (a proxy for the possible action of natural selection on parturition), in accordance with our prediction (Table 1; Appendix 8). Contrary to our prediction that sexual selection would explain shape complexity, we found that alpha complexity did not correlate with relative testes mass (a proxy for the strength of postcopulatory sexual selection) or sexual size dimorphism (a proxy for precopulatory sexual selection; Table 1; Appendix 8). When analyses were conducted on a subset of the data including only cetaceans, the above relationships remained non-significant.

We also did not find a significant difference in mean alpha complexity of the vaginal tract among penile tip qualitative categories in our ANOVA (ANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.53$; pANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.82$; Figure 4). While species characterised by blunt-ended and tapered penis tips typically appear to possess vaginal lumens with comparatively lower complexity than those with filiform penises, these differences are not statistically significant. Similarly, a MANOVA incorporating all PC scores did not find a significant difference in the occupancy of complexity morphospace by vaginal endocasts in relation to penile tip morphology (all specimens MANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.76$; phyMANOVA: $F=0.67$, $p=0.99$; cetaceans MANOVA: $F=0.43$, $p=0.84$; phyMANOVA: $F=0.43$, $p=0.94$).

Discussion:

We describe and quantify 3D shape complexity in the female reproductive tract of mammals for the first time and assess patterns of variation within and across three marine clades. Quantitative

alpha shape scores are consistent with qualitative patterns of shape complexity, which are reinforced by our novel application of 3D surface heatmaps. Marine mammals have a broad range of vaginal shape complexities that cannot easily be attributed to phylogeny, natural selection, or sexual selection, raising additional questions about the function of this diversity.

Vaginal lumen shape complexity is variable both within and among species of marine mammals. Cetaceans spanned the morphospace in both fine-scale and gross complexity, although multiple representatives from a given species generally clustered. All 14 cetacean species had at least one vaginal fold that varied in number and size and contributed to alpha complexity, as demonstrated by the surface heatmaps. The phylogenetic signal of alpha complexity was weak, consistent with 2D vaginal shape analysis using a landmark-based geometric morphometric approach [11]. As predicted, pinnipeds have simple vaginas with low vaginal complexity in terms of macro-scale morphology and fine-scale surface texture (Figure 3), regardless of whether they breed on land (grey seals, California sea lions, and Steller sea lions) or in the water (harbor seals). This suggests that mating environment may not influence vaginal shape complexity in pinnipeds. The one sirenian specimen, the West Indian manatee, lacks vaginal folds like pinnipeds, but has a surprisingly complex vaginal shape driven primarily by gross complexity. This complexity reflects the unusual morphology of the manatee vagina, with changes in diameter [29], a protrusive hymen [29], and a cup-shaped distal tip that collectively correspond tightly with penis gross morphological shape (unpublished data). The 3D surface heatmaps indicate that invaginations in the manatee vagina are influential in driving high alpha shape complexity (Figure 2).

We report extensive intraspecific variation in alpha complexity of 3D vaginas that is not accounted for by phylogenetic relationships. Contrary to our prediction, 3D vaginal shape

complexity did not correlate with our metrics of natural selection (relative neonate size at birth), pre-copulatory sexual selection (sexual size dimorphism), or post-copulatory sexual selection (relative testes size). These findings are similar to a previous study on 2D vaginal shape in cetaceans, except that allometry does not correlate with vaginal shape in the present study [11]. While the filiform penis tips of marine mammals may be associated with complex vaginal shapes to facilitate navigation through the narrow vaginal lumen created by vaginal folds [18-19], we found no supporting evidence in the present study. However, our qualitative metric of penis tip shape may not adequately capture penis diversity. Future research that quantifies penis morphology may provide a better proxy to test the hypothesis that copulatory sexual selection drives vaginal shape diversity and support growing evidence of genital coevolution in marine mammals [18-19]. As cetaceans are likely ubiquitously polygynandrous [30], mating system does not explain the extensive diversity observed in vaginal shape complexity within the clade. Similarly, the strength of polygynous mating systems, territory defence, or lek mating tactics do not appear to explain the patterns of pinniped vaginal complexity [31-34].

The lack of support for our tests of variables to explain shape complexity may reflect our relatively small sample size. Caution is warranted as the addition or removal of a single specimen can alter our results (Appendix 8). While an increased sample size would be ideal, the opportunistic nature of collecting fresh, post-mortem, sexually mature, female, marine mammal reproductive tracts imposes inherent limits [35]. Sample sizes are further curtailed as not all excised reproductive tracts are of suitable quality to generate an endocast and 3D model. Additionally, high intraspecific variation can increase the difficulty of detecting patterns.

Previous applications of alpha shape analyses to quantify genital shape complexity have typically relied exclusively on single metrics of ‘optimal complexity’ [14], thereby overlooking

potential spatial variation in complexity *within* a single structure and variation in complexity recorded at contrasting *scales*. Although our single metric of ‘optimal’ alpha complexity does not correlate to proxies of natural or sexual selection, valuable details of vaginal shape complexity are elucidated by implementing new functionality into the alpha shape protocol. Additionally, the generation of 3D heatmap meshes now allows for an improved understanding of the spatial distribution of shape complexity and facilitates interpretation in the context of underlying anatomical features. Future iterations of alpha shape and other complexity metric protocols will benefit from improving qualitative heatmaps to facilitate quantitative comparisons of regional complexity that allow for systematic subdivisions of a single structure into discrete anatomical regions for further analysis [15]. The extraction of alpha shape volumes at *multiple values of refinement coefficient* now allows for the generation of a PCA complexity ‘morphospace’ and highlights the varying scales at which shape complexity may be present. Future research that analyses whole alpha shape curves (of refinement coefficient against alpha shape volume) may be possible using statistical techniques such as ‘spm1d’ [36] and could provide novel insights into the complexity of a structure across *a range of scales*.

Ethics: Specimens in the United States of America were collected under National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) salvage permit letters to DNO. Specimens from New Zealand were imported to the United States of America under an institutional Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora permit (CITES; 14US690343/9).

Data Accessibility: Upon acceptance, data will be archived in Texas A&M University- Corpus Christi’s repository (<https://tamucc-ir.tdl.org/handle/1969.6/13>)

366 **Author contributions:** D.N.O. and P.L.R.B conceived the project idea, collected the
367 reproductive tracts, and made digital 3D models. C.A.B. and J.D.G. analyzed the data, made the
368 figures, and wrote the statistical code. D.N.O. and C.A.B. wrote the manuscript with input from
369 all co-authors.

370 **Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to Pearl Schleinig and Louise Tanner for creating 3D
371 models of the reproductive tracts. Dr. Teri Orr trained D.N.O. in endocast preparation. We thank
372 Alaska Veterinary Pathology Services (J. P. Prescott grants: NA15NMF4390053,
373 NA17NMF4390097. NOAA MMHSRP permit 18786), Cascadia Research, Florida Fish &
374 Wildlife Conservation Commission, International Fund for Animal Welfare, Long Marine Lab
375 Stranding Network, New Zealand Common Dolphin Project, National Oceanic and Atmospheric
376 Administration's National Marine Fisheries Service and Southwest Fisheries Science Center,
377 North Carolina State University CMAST, Oregon State University, [Nantucket](#) Marine Mammal
378 Conservation SeaWorld, The Marine Mammal Center, The Whale Museum, University of
379 Florida, and Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response Program for providing specimens.

380

381 Citations

- 382 1. Hosken, D. J., and P. Stockley. 2004. Sexual selection and genital evolution. *Trends Ecol.*
383 *Evol.* 19:87–93.
- 384 2. Langerhans, R. B., C. A. Layman, and T. J. DeWitt. 2005. Male genital size reflects a tradeoff
385 between attracting mates and avoiding predators in two live-bearing fish species. *Proc. Nat.*
386 *Acad. Sci. USA.* 102:7618–7623.
- 387 3. Eberhard, W. G. 1985. *Sexual selection and animal genitalia*. Harvard University Press,
388 Cambridge, MA.

389 4. Ah-King, M., A. B. Barron, and M. E. Herberstein. 2014. Genital evolution: why are females
390 still understudied? *PLoS Biol.* 12:e1001851.

391 5. Rohlf, F. J., and L. F. Marcus. 1993. A revolution in morphometrics. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*
392 8:129–132.

393 6. Slice, D. E. 2007. Geometric morphometrics. *Ann. Rev. Anthropol.* 36:261–281.

394 7. McPeck, M. A., L. Shen, J. Z. Torrey, and H. Farid. 2008. The tempo and mode of 3-
395 dimensional morphological evolution in male reproductive structures. *Am. Nat.* 171:E158–
396 E178.

397 8. Showalter, I., B. Todd, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2014. Intraspecific and interspecific variation of
398 the vagina in two species of water snakes. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond.* 111:183–191.

399 9. Brennan, P. L. R. and R. O. Prum. 2012. The limits of sexual conflict in the narrow sense: new
400 insights from waterfowl biology. *Phil. Trans. Biol. Sci.* 367:2324–2338.

401 10. Hedrick, B. P., P. Antalek-Schrag, A. J. Conith, L. J. Natanson, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2019.
402 Variability and asymmetry in the shape of the spiny dogfish vagina revealed by 2D and 3D
403 geometric morphometrics. *J. Zool.* 308:16–27.

404 11. Orbach, D. N., B. P. Hedrick, B. Würsig, S. L. Mesnick, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2018. The
405 evolution of genital shape variation in female cetaceans. *Evolution* 72:261–273.

406 12. O’Higgins, P., S. N. Cobb, L. C. Fitton, F. Gröning, R. Phillips, J. Liu, and M. J. Fagan.
407 2011. Combining geometric morphometrics and functional simulation: an emerging toolkit
408 for virtual functional analyses. *J Anat.* 218:3–15.

409 13. McLellan, T., and J. A. Endler. 1998. The relative success of some methods for measuring
410 and describing the shape of complex objects. *Syst. Biol.* 47:264–281.

411 14. Gardiner, J. D., J. Behnsen, and C. A. Brassey. 2018. Alpha shapes: Determining 3D shape
412 complexity across morphologically diverse structures. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 18(1):184.

413 15. Brassey, C.A., J. Behnsen, and J. D. Gardiner. 2020. Post-copulatory sexual selection and the
414 evolution of shape complexity in the carnivoran baculum. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 287:20201883.

415 16. Orbach, D. N., C. D. Marshall, S. L. Mesnick, and B. Würsig. 2017. Patterns of cetacean
416 vaginal folds yield insights into functionality. *PLoS ONE* 12:e0175037.

417 17. Orbach, D. N., S. Rattan, M. Hogan, A. J. Crosby, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2019.
418 Biomechanical properties of female dolphin reproductive tissue. *Acta Biomater.* 86(1):117–
419 124.

420 18. Orbach, D. N., D. Kelly, M. Solano, and P. L. R. Brennan. 2017. Genital interactions during
421 simulated copulation amongst marine mammals. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 284:20171265.

422 19. Orbach, D. N., P. L. R. Brennan, B. P. Hedrick, W. Keener, M. Webber, and S. L. Mesnick.
423 2020. Asymmetric and spiraled genitalia coevolve with unique lateralized mating behavior.
424 *Sci. Rep.* 10(1):1-8.

425 20. Perrin, W. F., and G. P. Donovan. 1984. Report of the workshop. Pp. 97–134 *in* W. F. Perrin,
426 R. L. Brownell, and D. P. De Master, eds. *Reproduction in whales, dolphin and porpoises.*
427 Special issue report 6. Rep. International Whaling Commission, Cambridge, UK.

428 21. R Core Development Team. 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.
429 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

430 22. Foley, N. M., M. S. Springer, and E. C. Teeling. 2016. Mammal madness: is the mammal
431 tree of life not yet resolved? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 371:20150140.

23. Zurano, J. P., F. M. Magalhães, A. E. Asato, G. Silva, C. J. Bidau, D. O. Mesquita, and G. C. Costa. 2019. Cetartiodactyla: updating a time-calibrated molecular phylogeny. *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.* 133:256–262.
24. Revell, L.J. 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 3:217–223.
25. Pinheiro, J., D. Bates, S. DebRoy, and D. Sarkar. 2020. *nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models*. R package version 3.1–147, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>.
26. Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20(2):289–290.
27. Gage, M. J. G. and R. P. Freckleton. 2003. Relative testis size and sperm morphometry across mammals: no evidence for an association between sperm competition and sperm length. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 270:625–632.
28. Pennell M. W., J. Eastman, G. J. Slater, J. W. Brown, J. C. Uyeda, R. G. FitzJohn, M. E. Alfaro, and L. Harmon. 2014. Geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 30: 2216–2218.
29. Rodrigues, F. R., V. M. F. Da Silva, J. F. M. Barcellos, and S. M. Lazzarini. (2008. Reproductive anatomy of the female Amazonian manatee *Trichechus inunguis* Natterer, 1883 (Mammalia: Sirenia). *Anat. Rec.* 291(5):557-564.
30. Orbach, D.N. 2019. Sexual strategies- male and female mating tactics. Pp. 75-93 in B. Würsig, ed. *Ethology and Behavioral Ecology of Odontocetes*. Springer, Cham. doi-org-443.webvpn.fjmu.edu.cn/10.1007/978-3-030-16663-2_4

31. Twiss, S. D., S. S. Anderson, and P. Monaghan. 1998. Limited intra-specific variation in male grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) dominance relationships in relation to variation in male mating success and female availability. *J. Zool.* 246(3):259-267.
32. Flatz, R., M. González-Suárez, J. K. Young, C. J. Hernández-Camacho, A. J. Immel, and L. R. Gerber. 2012. Weak polygyny in California sea lions and the potential for alternative mating tactics. *PLoS One* 7(3):e33654.
33. Parker, P., and J. M. Maniscalco. 2014. A long-term study reveals multiple reproductive behavior strategies among territorial adult male Steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*). *Can. J. Zool.* 92(5):405-415.
34. Boness, D. J., W. D. Bowen, B. M. Buhleier, and G. J. Marshall. 2006. Mating tactics and mating system of an aquatic-mating pinniped: the harbor seal, *Phoca vitulina*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 61(1): 119-130.
35. Orbach, D. N., C. D. Marshall, B. Würsig, and S. L. Mesnick. 2016. Variation in female reproductive tract morphology of the common bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Anat. Rec.* 299:520-537.
36. Pataky, T.C. 2010. Generalized n-dimensional biomechanical field analysis using statistical parametric mapping. *J. Biomech.* 43:1976-1982.

Trait (α shape complexity)	N	Predictor	Slope \pm SE	<i>T</i>	<i>P</i>
All taxa	18	Neonate length	0.51 ± 0.68	0.74	0.47
		Mother length	-0.33 ± 0.56	-0.58	0.57
All taxa	18	Testes mass	0.03 ± 0.03	0.95	0.36
		Body mass	-0.00 ± 0.21	-0.09	0.93
All taxa	18	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.36 ± 0.27	-1.36	0.19
Cetaceans only	12	Neonate length	0.67 ± 0.88	0.76	0.47
		Mother length	-0.51 ± 0.74	-0.70	0.51
Cetaceans only	12	Testes mass	-0.05 ± 0.07	-0.71	0.49
		Body mass	0.03 ± 0.04	0.70	0.51
Cetaceans only	12	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.38 ± 0.38	-0.98	0.35

472 **Table 1.** Results of regression models of alpha complexity in relation to various predictor

473 variables using standard generalised least squares (GLS). All analyses were conducted on log10
474 transformed data.

475

476 **Figure Legend**

477

478 **Figure 1.** Ancestral state condition of 3D alpha complexity, reconstructed on a time-calibrated
479 composite phylogeny of marine mammals. Branch lengths in millions of years. Hot colours
480 indicate higher vaginal shape complexity. Ancestral states were reconstructed using the ‘fastAnc’
481 package of ‘phytools’. Scale bar length represents 25 million years.

482

483 **Figure 2.** Three-dimensional surface heatmaps of marine mammal vaginal endocasts of A)
484 Harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*), B) California sea lion (*Zalophus californianus*), and C)
485 West Indian manatee (*Trichechus manatus*). Endocasts are positioned cranial (cervix) up. The
486 left image in each panel shows a ventral view while the right image shows a dorsal view. Cool
487 colors represent anatomical regions that are resolved by comparatively coarse alpha fits, whereas
488 areas contributing only to highly refined fits are represented by hot colors. Hot colors therefore

489 illustrate the regions that are most influential in driving high alpha complexity. The resulting
490 heatmaps are plotted onto the optimal refinement alpha shape fit for a given model.

491

492 **Figure 3.** Uncorrected PCA conducted on raw alpha complexity dataset (including multiple
493 individuals per taxa), with representative individuals displayed as 3D surface renderings.
494 Pinnipeds are in green, cetaceans are in red, and the sirenian is in blue.

495

496 **Figure 4.** A boxplot illustrating the distribution of alpha complexity values of the vaginal tract in
497 relation to the corresponding penile morphology.

498

499 **Appendix 1.** Biological data on the specimens used in the study.

Specimen ID	Species	Age Class	Body Length (cm)	Stranding Location	Stranding Network
18Er03AprWI-01	<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	Subadult	910	Washington	Cascadia Research
C-364	<i>Megaptera novaenglia</i>	Subadult	944.9	California	The Marine Mammal Center
CRC 1573	<i>Mesoplodon densirostris</i>	Adult	431	Washington	Cascadia Research
Calo 15-10	<i>Kogia breviceps</i>	Adult	286	North Carolina	North Carolina State University
HMSC14-04-05-Sa	<i>Stenella attenuata</i>	Subadult	199	Oregon	CMAST
ScNEFL1722	<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Adult	200	Florida	Oregon State University
KXD0306	<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Adult	201.8	California	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission
DSJ2385	<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Adult	216	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
VAQS20171042Dd	<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Adult	207.8	Virginia	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
LMLDD2015OCT16	<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Adult	182	California	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
HMSC18-04-19Dd	<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Subadult	176	Oregon	Long Marine Lab Stranding Network
IFAW14-144Lalb	<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	Adult	242	Massachusetts	Oregon State University
KS14-40Lo	<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>	Adult	175.5	New Zealand	International Federation of Animal Welfare
HMSC15-08-18-Lo	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	201.5	Oregon	New Zealand Common Dolphin Project
RL160515.01	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	184.3	California	Oregon State University
RL160717.006	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	180	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
RL160717.002	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Adult	196.2	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
SWC170242	<i>Orcinus orca</i>	Adult	548	California	NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center
					Seaworld

TtNEFL1517	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	263	Florida	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission
TtNEFL1801	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Subadult	214	Florida	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission
VAQS20151079	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Subadult	226.6	Virginia	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
VAQS20151095	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	224.6	Virginia	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
VAQS20161054	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	245.4	Virginia	Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response
CALO 15-06	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Subadult	194	North Carolina	North Carolina State University CMAST
CALO18-01	<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Adult	264	North Carolina	North Carolina State University CMAST
HMSC15-03-12-Pp	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Subadult	112	Oregon	Oregon State University
WDFW2018-036	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	165	Washington	Cascadia Research
HMSC16-08-27Pp	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	160.5	Oregon	Oregon State University
LMLPP2014SEPT17	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	171	California	Long Marine Lab Stranding Network
IFAW15-035Pp	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Subadult	130	Massachusetts	International Federation of Animal Welfare
SSW051617	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	166	Washington	Cascadia Research
C434	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Adult	174	California	The Marine Mammal Center
NanHg040318	<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	Adult	180	Massachusetts	Nantucket Marine Mammal Conservation
HMSC18-04-22Ej	<i>Eumetopias jubatus</i>	Adult	232	Oregon	Oregon State University
HMSC18-03-11-Pv	<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Adult	143	Oregon	Oregon State University
2015-SJ042	<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Adult	135	Washington	The Whale Museum
2015-SJ052	<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Adult	150	Washington	The Whale Museum
TMMC-CSL-13501	<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	Adult	165	California	The Marine Mammal Center
TMMC-CSL-13502	<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	Adult	135	California	The Marine Mammal Center
SWFTm1836b	<i>Trichechus manatus</i>	Adult	326	Florida	Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission

500 **Appendix 2.** The process of calculating optimal refinement coefficient. A) Example set of alpha
501 shapes fitted to three representative taxa. Fits increase in refinement from right and left,
502 beginning with a convex hull. At highly refined fits, the volume of the alpha shape approaches
503 that of the original surface mesh. B) Curves describing the decrease in alpha shape volume with
504 decreasing refinement coefficient. Optimal fit (star symbol) is defined as the alpha shape
505 possessing a volume equal to the original mesh (ratio = 1). Beyond this fit, alpha shapes begin to
506 break down into an increasing number of separate elements until no fit can be achieved (sharp
507 decline in volume ratios). Specimens possessing relatively high values of alpha shape volume
508 extracted at low refinement coefficients (left side) are characterised by a ‘finer scale’ complexity
509 (likely related to surface texture, pitting, etc.), while specimens at high (coarse) refinement
510 coefficients (right side) are characterised by comparatively ‘coarse’ complexity (large ridges,
511 grooves, invaginations, etc.). Open circles mark locations of sampling for PCA analysis. C)
512 Magnified view of grey region in B, illustrating optimal refinement coefficient decreases and
513 therefore “alpha complexity” ($1/\text{optimal } k$) increases from the comparatively simple *Z.*
514 *californianus* to the complex *T. manatus*.

515 **Appendix 3.** Data on body lengths and weights used for regression analyses.

Species	A	A	M	M	M	S
	v	v	a	a	a	o
	e	e	x	x	l	u
	r	r	i	i	e	r
	a	a	m	m	M	c
	g	g	u	u	a	e
	e	e	m	m	x	
	N	M			i	
	e	o	C	M	m	
	o	t	o	a	u	
	n	h	m	l	m	
	a	e	b	e		
	te	r	i	B	B	
	B	B	n	o	o	
	o	o	e	d	d	
	d	d	d	y	y	
	y	y		M	L	
	L	L	T	a	e	
	e	e	e	s	n	
	n	n	s	s	g	
	g	g	t	(t	
	t	t	e	g	h	
	h	h	s)		
	a	a	M		(
	t	t	a		c	
	P	P	s		m	
	a	a	s)	
	rt	rt	(
	u	u	g			
	ri	ri)			

		ti	ti			
		o	o			
		n	n			
		(c	(c			
		m	m			
))			
				4		
				0		
				6	,	
				7	8	1,
				,	2	2,
<i>Esc</i>				5	3	1
<i>hriect</i>		1,	0	,	,	3,
<i>ius</i>	4	3	0	0	4	4
<i>robu</i>	5	4		0	6	
<i>stus</i>	5	5		0	0	
				5		
				6		
				2	,	
				5	3	
<i>Meg</i>				,	4	5,
<i>apte</i>				0	0	1
<i>ra</i>		1,	0	,	,	6,
<i>nov</i>	4	3	0	0	7	7
<i>aen</i>	2	7		0	6	
<i>glia</i>	6	1		0	8	
<i>Mes</i>	2	D	D	D	5	4
<i>oplo</i>	3	at	a	a	8	
<i>don</i>	0	a	t	t	0	
<i>dens</i>		u	a	a		

			0			
			0			
			1			
<i>Del</i>			2			
<i>phin</i>		6	7			
<i>us</i>		,	,		1	
<i>cape</i>	2	4	0	2	6,	
<i>nsis</i>	8	0	1	0	5	1
	9	4	4	0	5	7
			1			
<i>Del</i>			6			
<i>phin</i>		7	3		4,	
<i>us</i>		,	,		1	
<i>delp</i>	1	9	0	2	8,	
<i>his</i>	7	8	4	0	6	1
	2	3	0	0	0	9
			3			
<i>Lag</i>			4			
<i>enor</i>		2	5		4,	
<i>hync</i>			,	,	2	
<i>hus</i>	1	2	8	2	3	0,
<i>albi</i>	1	4	1	0	1	2
<i>rost</i>	5	5	0	0	5	1
<i>ris</i>						
<i>Lag</i>	8	1	9	8	2	2
<i>enor</i>	2	6	,	5	1	2,
<i>hync</i>		9	7	,	1	2
<i>hus</i>			3	0		3,
<i>obsc</i>			0	0		2
<i>urus</i>			0			4,

						2
						5
						4,
<i>Lag</i>						2
<i>enor</i>				1		6,
<i>hync</i>				9		2
<i>hus</i>			1	8		7,
<i>obli</i>			,	,		2
<i>quid</i>		1	1	0	2	8,
<i>ens</i>	9	8	1	0	0	2
	4	0	8	0	5	9
				1		
				0		
				,		
				4		4,
			4	8		2
			6	8		2,
						3
<i>Orci</i>	2	5	2	0	9	0,
<i>ncus</i>	3	4	0	0	8	3
<i>orca</i>	3	8	0	0	0	1
				2		
<i>Turs</i>				4		
<i>iops</i>			1	7		4,
<i>trun</i>			,	,		2
<i>catu</i>	1	2	2	2	3	2,
<i>s</i>	1	6	3	0	8	3
	1	2	0	0	1	2
<i>Pho</i>	7	1	3	6	1	4,

<i>coen</i>				1		
<i>a</i>			,	,		3
<i>pho</i>			8	2		3,
<i>coen</i>		4	1	0	7	3
<i>a</i>	5	6	4	0	8	4
	D					
	at					
	a					
	u					3
	n			2		5,
	a			0		3
<i>Hali</i>	v			4		6,
<i>choe</i>	ai			,		3
<i>rus</i>	la	1	1	0	2	7,
<i>gryp</i>	bl	6	2	0	6	3
<i>us</i>	e	9	0	0	5	8
				9		
				3		3
<i>Eum</i>				8		5,
<i>etop</i>				,		3
<i>ias</i>		2	1	0	3	9,
<i>juba</i>	9	2	7	0	3	4
<i>tus</i>	6	6	7	0	0	0
<i>Pho</i>	8	1	9	1	1	3
<i>ca</i>	3	4	5	0	9	5,
<i>vitul</i>		8		4	0	4
<i>ina</i>				,		1,
				0		4
				0		2,
				0		4

						3
					2	
					4	4
<i>Zalo</i>					4	3,
<i>phus</i>		1	1	,		4
<i>calif</i>		6	1	5	2	4,
<i>orni</i>	7	0	3	0	4	4
<i>anus</i>	4	^	^	0	0	5
						4
					7	6,
					0	4
<i>Tric</i>			2	6		7,
<i>hecu</i>			,	,		4
<i>s</i>	1	3	3	0	2	8,
<i>man</i>	2	1	5	0	5	4
<i>atus</i>	5	5	0	0	3	9

^unpublished data

- 516 Many references obtained from Dines et al. (2015) and Trites and Pauly (1998)
- 517 Dines, J. P., S. L. Mesnick, K. Ralls, L. May-Collado, I. Agnarsson, and M. D. Dean. 2015. A trade-off between precopulatory and
- 518 postcopulatory trait investment in male cetaceans. *Evolution* 69(6):1560-1572.
- 519 Trites, A. W., and D. Pauly. 1998. Estimating mean body masses of marine mammals from maximum body lengths. *Can. J.*
- 520 *Zool.* 76(5):886-896.
- 521
- 522 1. Wolman A. A. 1985. Gray whale *Eschrichtius robustus* (Lilljeborg, 1861). Pp. 67-90 in S. H. Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds.
- 523 Handbook of marine mammals, Vol. 3. Academic Press, London, UK.
- 524 2. Robeck, T.R., K. J. Steinman, M. Yoshioka, E. Jensen, J. K. O'Brien, E. Katsumata, C. Gili, J. F. McBain, J. Sweeney, and S.
- 525 L. Monfort. 2005. Estrous cycle characterisation and artificial insemination using frozen-thawed spermatozoa in the bottlenose
- 526 dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Reproduction* 129:659-674.
- 527 3. Rice D. W., A. A. Wolman, and H. W. Braham. 1984 The gray whale, *Eschrichtius robustus*. *Mar. Fish. Rev.* 46(4):7-14.

4. Klinowska, M. 1991. Dolphins, porpoises and whales of the world. *In* The IUCN Red Data Book. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Gland, Switzerland, and Cambridge, U.K.
5. Chittleborough, R. G. 1958. Breeding cycle of the female humpback whale, *Megaptera nodosa* (Bonnaterre). Austral. J. Mar. Fresh. Res. 1-18.
6. Chittleborough, R. G. 1965. Dynamics of two populations of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae* (Borowski). Mar. Fresh. Res. 16(1):33-128.
7. Clapham, P. J., S. Leatherwood, I., Szczepaniak, and R. L. Brownell Jr. 1997. Catches of humpback and other whales from shore stations at Moss Landing and Trinidad, California, 1919-1926. Mar. Mammal Sci. 13:368-394.
8. Ruiz, G. M. 1993. Male reproductive anatomy of the pygmy sperm whale, *Kogia breviceps*, and the dwarf sperm whale, *Kogia simus*, based on gross and histological bservations. MS thesis, University of South Florida, Tampa.
9. Ross, G. J. B. 1979. Records of pygmy and dwarf sperm whales, genus *Kogia*, from southern Africa, with biological notes and some comparisons. Annal. Cape Prov. Mus. Nat. Hist. 11(14):259-327.
10. Berta, A. 2015. Whales, dolphins, and porpoises: A natural history and species guide. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
11. Perrin, W. F., and A. A. Hohn. 1994. Pantropical spotted dolphin *Stenella attenuata*. Pp. 71-98 *in* S. H. Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals, Vol. 5. Academic Press, London, UK.
12. Hohn A., S. J. Chivers, and J. Barlow. 1985. Reproductive maturity and seasonality of male spotted dolphins, *Stenella attenuata*, in the eastern tropical Pacific. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 1(4):273-293.
13. Nishiwako, M., M. Nakajima, and T. Kamiya. 1965. A rare species of dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*) from Arari, Japan. Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst. 19:53-64.
14. Aguilar, A. 1991. Calving and early mortality in the western Mediterranean striped dolphin, *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Can. J. Zool. 69(5):1408-1412.
15. Perrin, W. F., C. E. Wilson, and F. I. Archer. 1994. Striped dolphin *Stenella coeruleoalba* (Meyen, 1833). Pp. 129-159 *in* S. H. Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals, vol. 5. Academic Press, London, UK.
16. Perrin, W. F. 2009. Common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis* and *Delphinus capensis*. Pp. 255-259 *in* W. F. Perrin, B. Wursig, and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. Academic Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
17. Ngqulana, S. G., G. G. Hofmeyr, and S. Plön. 2017. Sexual dimorphism in long-beaked common dolphins (*Delphinus capensis*) from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *J. Mamm.* 98(5):1389-1399.

18. Chivers, S. J., W. L. Perryman, M. S. Lynn, T. Gerrodette, F. I. Archer, and K. Danil. 2016. Comparison of reproductive parameters for populations of eastern North Pacific common dolphins: *Delphinus capensis* and *D. delphis*. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 32(1):57–85.
19. Ross, G. J. B. 1979. The smaller cetaceans of the south east coast of Southern Africa. Annal. Cape Prov. Mus. Nat. Hist. 15(2):173-410.
20. Galatius, A., O. E. Jansen, and C. C. Kinze. 2013. Parameters of growth and reproduction of white-beaked dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus albirostris*) from the North Sea. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 29(2):348–355.
21. Sigurjónsson, J., and G. A. Víkingsson. 1997. Seasonal abundance of and estimated food consumption by cetaceans in Icelandic and adjacent waters. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 22:271-287.
22. Perrin, W. F., and S. B. Reilly. 1984. Reproductive parameters of dolphins and small whales of the family Delphinidae. Rep. Int. Whal. Comm., Spec. Issue 6:97-133.
23. Van Waerebeek, K., and A. J. Read. 1994. Reproduction of dusky dolphins, *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*, from coastal Peru. J. Mamm. 75(4):1054-1062.
24. Brownell Jr., R. L., and F. Cipriano. 1999. Dusky dolphin *Lagenorhynchus obscurus* (Gray, 1828). Pp. 85-104 in S. H. Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals, Vol. 6. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
25. Dans, S. L., E. A. Crespo, S. N. Pedraza, and M. K. Alonso. 1997. Notes on the reproductive biology of female dusky dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*) off the Patagonian coast. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 13(2):303-307.
26. Walker, W. A., S. Leatherwood, K. R. Goodrich, W. F. Perrin, and R. K. Stroud. 1986. Geographical variation and biology of the Pacific white-sided dolphin, *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*, in the north-eastern Pacific. Pp. 441-465 in M. M. Bryden, and R. Harrison, eds. Research on dolphins. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK.
27. Harrison, R. J., R. L. Brownell Jr., and R. C. Boice. 1972. Reproduction and gonadal appearance in some odontocetes. Pp. 361-429 in R.vJ. Harrison, ed. Functional anatomy of marine mammals, Vol. 1. Academic Press, London, UK.
28. Brownell Jr., R. L., W. A. Walker, and K. A. Forney. 1999. Pacific white-sided dolphin, *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens* Gill, 1865. Pp. 57-84 in S.H. Ridgway, and R. Harrison, eds. Handbook of marine mammals, Vol. 6. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
29. Black, N. A. 2009. Pacific white-sided dolphin *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*. Pp. 817-819 in W. F. Perrin, B. Wursig, and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals. Academic Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
30. Mikhalev, Y. A., M. V. Ivashin, V. P. Savusin, and F. E. Zelenaya. 1981. The distribution and biology of killer whales in the Southern Hemisphere. Rep. Internat. Whal. Comm. 31:551-566.

31. Clark, S. T., D. K. Odell, and C. T. Lacinak. 2000. Aspects of growth in captive killer whales (*Orcinus orca*). Mar. Mamm. Sci. 16(1):110-123.
32. Storelli, M. M., and G. O. Marcotrigiano. 2000. Environmental contamination in bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*): Relationship between levels of metals, methylmercury, and organochlorine compounds in an adult female, her neonate, and a calf. Bull. Envir. Contam. Toxic. 64(3):333-340.
33. Learmonth, J. A., S. Murphy, P. I. Luque, R. J. Reid, I. A. P. Patterson, A. Brownlow, H. M. Ross, J. P. Barley, M. Begoña Santos, and G. J. Pierce. 2014. Life history of harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) in Scottish (UK) waters. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 30:1427–1455.
34. Ólafsdóttir, D., G. A. Víkingsson, S. D. Halldórsson, and J. Sigurjónsson. 2002. Growth and reproduction in harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) in Icelandic waters. NAMMCO Sci. Pub. 5:195-210.
35. Scheffer, V. B., and K. W. Kenyon. 1963. Baculum size in pinnipeds. Z. Säugetierk. 28:38-41.
36. Hammill, M. O., and J. F. Gosselin. 1995. Grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) from the Northwest Atlantic: Female reproductive rates, age at first birth, and age of maturity in males. Can. J. Fish. Aqua. Sci. 52:2757-2761.
37. Pomeroy, P. P., M. A. Fedak, P. Rothery, and S. Anderson. 1999. Consequences of maternal size for reproductive expenditure and pupping success of grey seals at North Rona, Scotland. J. Anim. Ecol. 68(2):35-253.
38. Mansfield, A. W. 1977. Growth and longevity of the grey seal *Halichoerus grypus* in eastern Canada. International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Marine Mammals Committee, C.M. 1977/ N:6.
39. Winship, A. J., A. W. Trites, and D. G. Calkins. 2001. Growth in body size of the steller sea lion (*Eumetopias jubatus*). J. Mamm. 82:500-519.
40. Calkins, D., E. F. Becker, and K. W. Pitcher. 1998. Reduced body size of female Steller sea lions from a declining population in the Gulf of Alaska. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 14:232–244.
41. Bigg, M. A. 1962. Age determination, reproduction, growth and population analysis of the Harbour seal, *Phoca vitulina richardi* gray. MSc Thesis, Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia.
42. Markussen, N. H., A. Bjørge, and N. A. Øritsland. 1989. Growth in harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) on the Norwegian coast. J. Zool. 219(3):433-440.
43. McLaren, I. A. 1993. Growth in pinnipeds. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 68:1–79.
44. Ferguson, S. H., and J. W. Higdon. 2006. How seals divide up the world: Environment, life history, and conservation. Oecologia 150: 318-329.

- 613 45. Gilmartin, W. G., L. R. DeLong, A. W. Smith, J. C. Sweeney, R. W. de Lappe, R. W. Risebrough, L. A. Griner, M. D. Dailey,
614 and D. B. Peakall. 1976. Premature parturition in the California sea lion. *J. Wild. Dis.* 12:104-115.
- 615 46. Borges, J. C. G., A. C. da Bôaviagem Freire, F. L. N. Attademo, I. de Lima Serrano, D. G. Anzolin, P. S. M. de Carvalho, and
616 J. E. Vergara-Parente. 2012. Growth pattern differences of captive born Antillean manatee (*Trichechus manatus*) calves and
617 those rescued in the Brazilian northeastern coast. *J. Zoo Wild. Med.* 43(3):494-500.
- 618 47. Moore, J. C. 1957. Newborn young of a captive manatee. *J. Mamm.* 38(1):137-138.
- 619 48. Reynolds III, J. E., S. A. Rommel, and M. E. Pitchford. 2004. The likelihood of sperm competition in manatees- explaining an
620 apparent paradox. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 20(3):464-476.
- 621 49. Harshaw, L. T., I. V. Larkin, R. K. Bonde, C. J. Deutsch, and R. C. Hill. 2016. Morphometric body condition indices of wild
622 Florida manatees (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*). *Aqua. Mamm.* 42(4):428.

623 **Appendix 4.** Penis tip shape of the species included in the study.

Species	Penis Tip Shape	Age Class	Source
<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Megaptera novaenglia</i>	Tapered	Adult	1
<i>Mesoplodon densirostris</i>	Filiform	Adult	2
<i>Kogia breviceps</i>	Tapered	Juvenile	Unpublished data
<i>Stenella attenuata</i>	Data unavailable		
<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Tapered	Adult	3
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Tapered	Juvenile	4
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Tapered	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Tapered	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Filiform	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Eumetopias jubatus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	5
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data
<i>Trichechus manatus</i>	Blunt end	Adult	Unpublished data

- 624 1. Pack, A., L. M. Herman, A. L. Craig, S. S. Spitz, and M. H. Deakos. 2002. Penis
625 extrusions by humpback whales (*Megaptera novaengliae*). *Aquat. Mamm.* 28(2):131-146
626 2. Saliki, J. T., E. J. Cooper, D. S. Rotstein, S. L. Caseltine, D. A. Pabst, W. A. McLellan et
627 al. 2006. A novel gammaherpesvirus associated with genital lesions in a Blainville's
628 beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*). *J. Wild. Dis.* 42(1): 142-148.
629 3. Sierra, E., J. Díaz-Delgado, M. Arbelo, M. Andrada, S. Sacchini, and A. Fernández.
630 2015. Herpesvirus-associated genital lesions in a stranded striped dolphin (*Stenella*
631 *coeruleoalba*) in the Canary Islands, Spain. *J. Wild. Dis.* 51(3):696-702.
632 4. Van Bresseem, M. F., K. Van Waerebeek, D. Montes, S. Kennedy, J. C. Reyes, I. A.
633 Garcia-Godos et al. 2006. Diseases, lesions and malformations in the long-beaked
634 common dolphin *Delphinus capensis* from the Southeast Pacific. *Dis. Aqua.*
635 *Organisms* 68(2):149-165.
636 5. Dyanna Lambourn, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, personal
637 communication.

638

639 **Appendix 5.** Data arranged in descending order of alpha complexity. High values of alpha
640 complexity indicate a comparatively ‘complex’ vaginal tract morphology.

Species	Individual ID	Alpha Complexity	Taxa
<i>Kogia breviceps</i>	CALO1510	1.021	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	RL16071	0.991	Cetacean
<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	18Er03AprW1-01	0.964	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	SSW051617	0.93	Sirenian
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	RL160717	0.903	Cetacean
<i>Stenella attenuate</i>	HMSC14-04-05	0.888	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	RL160515	0.887	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	IFAW14-144	0.843	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	C-434	0.826	Cetacean
<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	ScNEFL1722	0.817	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	IFAW15-035	0.79	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	TtNEFL1517	0.784	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>	KS1440	0.782	Cetacean
<i>Trichechus manatus</i>	SWFTm1836b	0.78	Sirenian
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	CALO1801	0.763	Cetacean
<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	HMSC15-08-18	0.746	Cetacean
<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	NANHg040318	0.743	Pinniped
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	HMSC16-08-27	0.734	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	LMLPp2014Sept17	0.73	Cetacean
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	HMSC18-04-19	0.688	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	WDFW2018-036	0.684	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	VAQS20161054	0.682	Cetacean
<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>	C-364	0.674	Cetacean
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	HMSC18-03-11	0.671	Pinniped
<i>Eumetopias jubatus</i>	HMSC18-04-22	0.67	Pinniped
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	VAQS20151079	0.631	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	TtNEFL1801	0.625	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	VAQS20151095	0.61	Cetacean
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	HMSC15-03-12	0.56	Cetacean
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	CALO1506	0.576	Cetacean
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	SWC170242	0.571	Cetacean
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	LMLDd2015Oct16	0.563	Cetacean
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	2015SJ052	0.533	Pinniped

<i>Mesoplodon densirostris</i>	CRC1573	0.511	Cetacean
<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	TMMC-CSL13502	0.494	Pinniped
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	KXD0306	0.488	Cetacean
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	DSJ2385	0.488	Cetacean
<i>Zalophus californianus</i>	TMMC-CSL13501	0.468	Pinniped
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	2015-SJ042	0.428	Pinniped
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	VAQS20171042	0.427	Cetacean

641

642 **Appendix 6.** Uncorrected PCA conducted on species mean dataset. Pinnipeds are in green,

643 cetaceans are in red, and the sirenian is in blue.

644

645 **Appendix 7.** High intraspecific variation in the vaginal tract of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena*

646 *phocoena*). The figure shows the dorsal aspect of seven harbour porpoise vaginal lumens, all

647 oriented with the vaginal opening at the bottom. Calculated alpha shape complexity increases

648 from left to right (α : 0.560-0.930).

649

650 **Appendix 8.** Results of regression models of alpha complexity in relation to various predictor

651 variables when accounting for phylogenetic interdependence using PGLS. All analyses were

652 conducted on log10 transformed data.

Trait (α shape complexity)	λ	N	Predictor	Slope \pm SE	<i>T</i>	<i>P</i>
All taxa	<0.01	18	Neonate length	0.51 \pm 0.68	0.74	0.47
			Mother length	-0.33 \pm 0.56	-0.58	0.57
All taxa	-0.22	18	Testes mass	0.04 \pm 0.03	1.86	0.27
			Body mass	0.00 \pm 0.03	0.27	0.79
All taxa	0.26	18	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.40 \pm 0.28	-1.43	0.17

Cetaceans only	0.7	12	Neonate length	1.15 ± 0.84	1.37	0.2
			Mother length	-1.05 ± 0.74	-1.43	0.19
Cetaceans only	0.95	12	Testes mass	-0.10 ± 0.04	-2.74	0.02
			Body mass	0.007 ± 0.04	0.18	0.86
Cetaceans only	0.44	12	Sexual size dimorphism	-0.54 ± 0.38	-1.43	0.18

653