

1  
2 **SLEEP QUALITY AND SOCIAL SUPPORT IN PEOPLE OVER 65 YEARS OLD**  
3 **WHO HAVE HAD A QUARANTINE PROCESS DUE TO COVID-19**  
4

5 **Abstract**

6 **Background and Aim:** We aimed to investigate the effects of anxiety or social support on  
7 sleep quality in patients with COVID-19 who were older than 65 years of age and undergoing  
8 quarantine process.

9 **Material and Method:** The study included the patients  $\geq 65$  years of age who had a  
10 quarantine process for 14 days during the COVID-19 outbreak. The sociodemographic  
11 features and comorbidities were recorded in all patients. Geriatric Anxiety Scale (GAS),  
12 Multidimensional Perceived Social Support Scale (SS), and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index  
13 (PSQI) questionnaires were applied.

14 **Results:** Totally 198 patients (123 male and 75 female) were included in the study. Among  
15 patients, 115 (58.1%) patients were living in a nursing home. All GAS scores and the total  
16 PSQI were significantly higher and all SS scores were significantly lower in PCR positive  
17 patients compared with the negative ones ( $p:0.001$ ). Moreover, All GAS scores and the total  
18 PSQI were significantly higher and all SS scores were significantly lower in patients living in  
19 nursing homes compared with the others ( $p:0.001$ ).

20 **Conclusion:** In elderly patients faced with the COVID-19, social support was negatively  
21 associated with the sleep disturbances. We suggest that, increasing social support is important  
22 in elderly patients in the clash against COVID-19.  
23

34 **INTRODUCTION**

35 Unfortunately, the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic affected all over the world  
36 within a short period. Even though COVID-19 infection may be severe in all age groups,  
37 older adults (65-year-old or older) may experience a higher mortality rate<sup>1,2</sup>.

38 Exposure to chronic and daily stressors such as quarantine or life-threatening conditions can  
39 affect the emotional experience of the patients. With many unknowns and leading to long  
40 quarantine periods, COVID-19 may cause an emotional burden to the patients. With higher  
41 mortality rates, elderly patients constitute a special group in this pandemic period, requiring  
42 special attention<sup>3,4</sup>.

43 Sleep disturbances are associated with anxiety and depression and should be defined and  
44 treated as soon as possible. At a time when individual isolation is required, such as the  
45 COVID-19 outbreak, social support can reduce anxiety and stress, improving sleep quality<sup>5</sup>.  
46 Improved sleep quality can also help to improve immunity to viral infections. Therefore,  
47 mental health and sleep quality are important factors in the population of self-isolated people  
48 due to increased risk of COVID-19 infection<sup>6</sup>.

49 The aim of the study is to investigate the effects of anxiety or social support on sleep quality  
50 in patients with COVID-19 who were older than 65 years of age and undergoing quarantine  
51 process. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in the literature evaluating the  
52 effects of quarantine period due to COVID-19 on anxiety level and sleep quality in geriatric  
53 patients.

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67

68 **MATERIAL and METHOD**

69 The study was conducted in 198 patients who applied to two different health centers in Izmir  
70 between 15 March 2020 and 30 May 2020 and had a quarantine process for 14 days during  
71 the COVID-19 outbreak. The study included patients  $\geq 65$  years of age who were treated at  
72 hospital due to COVID-19 disease and quarantine was recommended at home after discharge,  
73 or who were under quarantine at home for suspected infection or suspicious contact. All  
74 patients who agreed to participate in the study were included in the study. Local ethics  
75 committee approved the study.

76 The sociodemographic features and comorbidities were recorded in all patients. Geriatric  
77 Anxiety Scale, Multidimensional Perceived Social Support Scale, and Pittsburgh Sleep  
78 Quality Index questionnaire were applied in all patients face to-face or on the internet.

79 **Geriatric Anxiety Scale** includes 23 self-report items used for scoring and 5 additional items  
80 to define the common topical concerns of anxiety among older adults. The total score is  
81 calculated as well as the 3 subscale scores, measuring *cognitive, affective, and somatic*  
82 symptoms. The patients were asked for the symptoms of anxiety by indicating how often they  
83 have experienced each symptom during the past week on a Likert-type scale that ranges from  
84 0 (not at all) to 3 (all the time). The total score ranges from 0 to 75, with higher scores  
85 representing the existence of more severe anxiety<sup>7,8</sup>.

86 **Multidimensional Perceived Social Support Scale** is a 12-item scale designed to determine  
87 the perceived social support from three sources: Family, Friends, and a Significant Other (for  
88 example, dating, engaged, verbal, relative, neighbor, doctor ...)<sup>9</sup>. Higher scores represent  
89 better social support presence.

90 **The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)** is a 19-item survey that defines the global sleep  
91 quality in the past month. The Responses are calculated on a four-point, Likert-type scale  
92 ranging from 0 to 3. PSQI includes seven components (*sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep*  
93 *duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, sleep medication use, daytime dysfunction*)  
94 and the component scores are summed to form a global score<sup>10</sup>. The total PSQI score  $\geq 5$  was  
95 defined as the presence of sleep disturbances.

96  
97  
98  
99

100

## 101 **Statistical Analyses**

102 In statistical analysis, demographic definitions regarding the individuals participating in the  
103 survey were given in Frequency (n) and Percentage (%). The mean and Standard Deviation  
104 (SD) values related to the questions in the scales were given in the tables. Kolmogorov-  
105 Smirnov test was applied to determine the suitability of the data for normal distribution. The  
106 relationship between the questionnaire scales was analyzed with the Pearson Correlation  
107 Coefficient because the variables showed normal distribution. Two group comparisons were  
108 performed with the student's t-test. IBM SPSS Amos 21 Statistical Package Program was  
109 used for statistical analysis. For statistical significance,  $p < 0.05$  was used.

110

## 111 **RESULTS**

112 Totally 198 patients (123 male and 75 female) were included in the study. Polymerase chain  
113 reaction (PCR) test for Coronavirus-19 was obtained in 152 of the patients and it was positive  
114 in 123 of them. Among patients, 183 (92.4) were married and 15 (7.6%) were single. 115  
115 (58.1%) patients were living in a nursing home. Clinically, fever, cough, and dyspnea were  
116 determined in 121 (61.1%) patients and the remaining 77 (38.9%) patients were  
117 asymptomatic. Thorax CT was obtained at admission in all patients and tomographical  
118 features of the COVID-19 was present in half of the patients (n:99). The demographic,  
119 educational, and social features of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

120 The results of Geriatric Anxiety Scale, Multidimensional Perceived Social Support Scale, and  
121 Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index questionnaire are summarized in Tables 2, 3 and 4,  
122 respectively. Regarding the total PSQI score, 96.9% of the participants were having sleep  
123 disturbances.

124 The correlation analysis was performed between the total PSQI and the findings of other  
125 surveys performed (Table 5). Regarding these findings, there were significant positive  
126 correlations between total GAS, GAS-somatic, GAS-cognitive and GAS-affective and total  
127 PSQI ( $p:0.001$ ), while there were significant negative correlations between SS-total, SS-  
128 family, SS- friend and SS- significant other and the total PSQI ( $p:0.001$ ).

129 We compared the overall findings of the surveys between PCR positive and negative subjects  
130 to determine the effects of PCR positivity on these parameters (Table 6). Regarding these  
131 findings, total GAS, GAS-somatic, GAS-cognitive, GAS-affective and the total PSQI were  
132 significantly higher and SS-total, SS- family, SS- friend and SS- significant other were  
133 significantly lower in PCR positive patients compared with the negative ones ( $p:0.001$ ).

134 We compared the overall findings of the surveys between the patients living in nursing homes  
135 and the others to determine the effects of living in nursing homes on these parameters (Table  
136 7). Regarding these findings, total GAS, GAS-somatic, GAS-cognitive, GAS-affective, and  
137 total PSQI were significantly higher and SS-total, SS- family, SS- friend, and SS- significant  
138 other were significantly lower in patients living in nursing homes compared with the others  
139 (p:0.001).

140  
141

## 142 **DISCUSSION**

143 In this study, we determined that, in patients older than 65 years of age who faced with the  
144 quarantine process due to COVID19 pandemic with some reasons; 1. Sleep quality was  
145 disturbed in 96.9% of the participants; 2. Total and subscale anxiety scores were positively  
146 correlated with the sleep disturbances, while increased social support was associated with a  
147 decreased sleep disturbance; 3. PCR positivity increased the anxiety scores and sleep  
148 disturbances with a decrease in social support; 4. Living in a nursing home increased the  
149 anxiety scores and sleep disturbances with a decrease in social support.

150 In this study, we analyzed a special group of patients who were  $\geq 65$  years of age and who  
151 were faced with a quarantine process of 14 days due to COVID-19. More than 60% of our  
152 patients were male and about 40% of the patients were aged between 76-80 years. Most of our  
153 patients were living in urban areas and about 25% of the participants were still smoking. The  
154 most common comorbidity was hypertension.

155 In a retrospective case series of 1591 patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 referred  
156 for ICU admission, the median age was 63 (56-70) and 82% of the patients were male and  
157 approximately half of the patients were hypertensive<sup>11</sup>. However, Wang et al reported that,  
158 among 339 patients with COVID-19 with a mean age of  $71 \pm 8$  years; 51% were female and  
159 hypertension was still the most common comorbidity<sup>12</sup>.

160 The data regarding the anxiety level of the patients due to COVID-19 is limited. In 1210  
161 people from different cities of China, Wang et al reported that 53.8% of the respondents rated  
162 the psychological impact of the outbreak as moderate or severe; and 28.8% reported moderate  
163 to severe anxiety symptoms<sup>13</sup>. In a study performed on medical college students, Cao et al  
164 reported that, among 7,143 responses, 0.9% were experiencing severe anxiety, 2.7% moderate  
165 anxiety, and 21.3% mild anxiety and they also reported that social support was negatively  
166 correlated with the level of anxiety<sup>14</sup>. Lei et al compared the prevalence and associated factors

167 of anxiety and depression among the public, people affected by quarantine and those  
168 unaffected and reported that in the affected group, the prevalence of anxiety and depression  
169 was significantly higher than that of the unaffected people and having no psychological  
170 support was significantly associated with higher anxiety and depression scores<sup>15</sup>. In another  
171 study from our country, 45.1% of the participants scored above the cut-off point for anxiety<sup>16</sup>.  
172 Similar with our results, Xiao et al investigated 170 individuals who were self-isolated at  
173 home for 14 days with self-reported questionnaires and determined that low levels of social  
174 relationships were associated with increased levels of anxiety and stress and decreased sleep  
175 quality<sup>17</sup>.

176 Sleep disturbances may affect the whole mental health. We defined that more than 96% of  
177 patients older than 65 years of age who met with COVID-19, reported some level of sleep  
178 disturbances. Using a web-based cross-sectional survey, Huang et al reported the rate of sleep  
179 disturbances as 18.2% during COVID-19 outbreak<sup>18</sup>. However, our patients were  
180 compromising the most risky group and all were met with the disease previously. Those  
181 factors may be the reason of such high sleep disturbance rates.

182 Sleep disturbances showed a negative correlation with the social support. With an increase in  
183 social support, increased sleep quality and decreased degree of anxiety and stress were  
184 reported in medical staff during the COVID-19 pandemic<sup>19</sup>. Similarly, we also determined a  
185 negative correlation between sleep disturbances and social support.

186 Viral nucleic acid test by RT-PCR assay plays an essential role in determining hospitalization  
187 and isolation for individual patients. However, many factors may affect the results of RT-PCR  
188 assay such as sampling operations and timing, and its positivity was defined as 30-60% at  
189 initial presentation of patients with COVID-19<sup>20</sup>. For the first time in literature we  
190 determined that PCR positivity increased the anxiety scores and sleep disturbances with a  
191 decrease in social support in elderly patients. Though it is not a highly sensitive test, we can  
192 suggest that PCR positivity may be thought as the main factor proving the disease prevalence  
193 and infectivity; and patients getting this test result reported higher anxiety levels with  
194 decreased social support.

195 We also determined that living in a nursing home was associated with increased anxiety  
196 scores and sleep disturbances with a decrease in social support. Recently, increased risk for  
197 COVID-19 infections was reported for both community-dwelling older persons as well as  
198 those residing in nursing homes<sup>21</sup>. The high prevalence of functional and cognitive  
199 impairment and behavioral symptoms may also increase the risk posed to nursing home

200 residents. Moreover, high transmission rate for infectious diseases due to sharing some  
201 common areas also increase the risk of infection<sup>22,23</sup>.

202 There are some limitations of the study that should be mentioned. First are that this is a cross-  
203 sectional study without any follow-ups. We do not analyze the effects of these factors on  
204 outcomes, which may be the topic of another study. Secondly, this is a survey-based study,  
205 carrying the bias of self-reported surveys.

206

## 207 **CONCLUSION**

208 In conclusion, we determined sleep disturbances as high as 96% of elderly patients who met  
209 with COVID-19. It should also be highlighted that social support was negatively associated  
210 with the sleep disturbances. PCR positivity and living in nursing homes were associated with  
211 increased sleep disturbances, anxiety level, and decreased social support. Since elderly  
212 patients are compromising a special group with increased mortality rates, high rates of sleep  
213 disturbances should be taken into account during management and the effects of these factors  
214 on outcomes should be investigated in further studies. We suggest that increasing social  
215 support is important in elderly patients in the clash against COVID-19, which may improve  
216 the outcomes with improving sleep disturbances.

217 **Conflict of Interest:** No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

218 **Funding disclosure:** The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

219

220 **Data available statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available from  
221 the corresponding author [G.D.I.], upon reasonable request.

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229 **REFERENCES:**

- 230 1. Wang C. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *Lancet*. 2020;  
231 395:470–473. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9.
- 232 2. Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response, Chinese Center for  
233 Disease Control and Prevention. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of  
234 an outbreak of 2019 novel Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) in China. *Zhonghua Liu*  
235 *Xin Bin* 2020, 41, 145–151.
- 236 3. Sher L. COVID-19, anxiety, sleep disturbances and suicide. *Sleep Med*. 2020 Apr 25;  
237 70:124.
- 238 4. Cullen W, Gulati G, Kelly BD. Mental health in the COVID-19 pandemic. *QJM*. 2020  
239 May 1;113(5):311-312.
- 240 5. Peteet JR. COVID-19 Anxiety. *J Relig Health*. 2020 May 15:1-2.
- 241 6. Mazza M, Marano G, Antonazzo B, Cavarretta E, Di Nicola M, Janiri L, Sani G, Frati  
242 G, Romagnoli E. What about heart and mind in the covid-19 era? *Minerva*  
243 *Cardioangiol*. 2020 May 12.
- 244 7. Segal DL, June A, Payne M, Coolidge FL & Yochim B. Development and initial  
245 validation of a self-report assessment tool for anxiety among older adults: The Geriatric  
246 Anxiety Scale. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2010; 24: 709-714.
- 247 8. Yochim BP, Mueller AE, June A & Segal DL. Psychometric properties of the Geriatric  
248 Anxiety Scale: Comparison to the Beck Anxiety Inventory and Geriatric Anxiety  
249 Inventory. *Clinical Gerontologist*. 2011; 34: 21-33.
- 250 9. Gregory D. Zimet, Nancy W. Dahlem, Sara G. Zimet & Gordon K. Farley. The  
251 Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support, *Journal of Personality*  
252 *Assessment*, 1988; 52:1, 30-41.
- 253 10. Buysse DJ, Hall ML, Strollo PJ, et al. Relationships between the Pittsburgh Sleep  
254 Quality Index (PSQI), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), and clinical/polysomnographic  
255 measures in a community sample. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2008; 4:563-71.
- 256 11. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini L, Castelli A, et al. Baseline  
257 Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted  
258 to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. *JAMA*. 2020 Apr 6;323(16):1574-81.
- 259 12. Wang L, He W, Yu X, Hu D, Bao M, Liu H, Zhou J, Jiang H. Coronavirus disease  
260 2019 in elderly patients: Characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week  
261 follow-up. *J Infect*. 2020 Jun;80(6):639-64.

- 262 13. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, Ho RC. Immediate Psychological  
263 Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus  
264 Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int J Environ*  
265 *Res Public Health*. 2020 Mar 6;17(5):1729.
- 266 14. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, Zheng J. The psychological impact of  
267 the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020 May;  
268 287:112934
- 269 15. Lei L, Huang X, Zhang S, Yang J, Yang L, Xu M. Comparison of Prevalence and  
270 Associated Factors of Anxiety and Depression Among People Affected by versus  
271 People Unaffected by Quarantine During the COVID-19 Epidemic in Southwestern  
272 China. *Med Sci Monit*. 2020 Apr 26;26: e924609.
- 273 16. Özdin S, Bayrak Özdin Ş. Levels and predictors of anxiety, depression and health  
274 anxiety during COVID-19 pandemic in Turkish society: The importance of gender. *Int*  
275 *J Soc Psychiatry*. 2020 May 8:20764020927051.
- 276 17. Han Xiao, Yan Zhang, Desheng Kong, Shiyue Li, Ningxi Yang. Social Capital and  
277 Sleep Quality in Individuals Who Self-Isolated for 14 Days During the Coronavirus  
278 Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in January 2020 in China. *Med Sci Monit*. 2020;  
279 26: e923921-1–e923921-8.
- 280 18. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep  
281 quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey.  
282 *Psychiatry Res*. 2020 Jun; 288:112954.
- 283 19. Xiao H, Zhang Y, Kong D, Li S, Yang N. The Effects of Social Support on Sleep  
284 Quality of Medical Staff Treating Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)  
285 in January and February 2020 in China. *Med Sci Monit*. 2020 Mar 5;26: e923549.
- 286 20. Yang Y, Yang M, Shen C, et al. . Evaluating the accuracy of different respiratory  
287 specimens in the laboratory diagnosis and monitoring the viral shedding of 2019-nCoV  
288 infections. 2020. 10.1101/2020.02.11.20021493.
- 289 21. Applegate WB, Ouslander JG. COVID-19 Presents High Risk to Older Persons. *J Am*  
290 *Geriatr Soc*. 2020 Apr;68(4):681.
- 291 22. Fallon A, Dukelow T, Kennelly SP, O'Neill D. COVID-19 in Nursing Homes. *QJM*.  
292 2020 Apr 20: hcaa136.
- 293 23. Davidson PM, Szanton SL. Nursing homes and COVID-19: We can and should do  
294 better. *J Clin Nurs*. 2020 Apr 12:10.1111/jocn.15297.