

An extension of Wilson's Theorem

Gennady Butov¹

¹Affiliation not available

February 12, 2025

Abstract

The aim of this work is to optimize the existing formula based on Wilson's theorem to reduce the magnitude of the computation results.

Wilson's theorem states: if p is a prime number, then $(p-1)! + 1$ is divisible by p $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

The function $(p-1)!$ increases very rapidly and reaches huge values.

When the values of p are large, the calculations become resource-intensive, so it is necessary to reduce the upper limit of the calculation results.

An extension of Wilson's Theorem

Gennady Butov

January 23, 2025

Abstract

The aim of this work is to optimize the existing formula based on Wilson's theorem to reduce the magnitude of the computation results.

1. Introductions

Wilson's theorem [1] states: if p is a prime number, then $(p-1)! + 1$ is divisible by p

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \quad (1)$$

The function $(p-1)!$ increases very rapidly and reaches huge values. Stirling's formula [2] clearly demonstrates the increase in $(p-1)!$:

$$(p-1)! \sim \sqrt{2 \cdot \pi \cdot (p-1)} \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{e}\right)^{p-1}$$

There are many formulas and theorems derived from Wilson's theorem. Here is one of the formulas [3]:

$$\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p} \quad (2)$$

The function $\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2$ also increases rapidly:

$$\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 \sim \left(\sqrt{2 \cdot \pi \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2 \cdot e}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)^2 = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2 \cdot e}\right)^{p-1}$$

When the values of p are large, the calculations become resource-intensive, so it is necessary to reduce the upper limit of the calculation results.

2. Main results

Theorem. *If p is a prime number, then the following formula will be true:*

$$\left((2 \cdot k - 1)!! \cdot \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor - k\right)! \cdot \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^2 \equiv (-1)^{\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil} \pmod{p} \quad (3)$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the rounding down operator, $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the rounding up operator, k is a natural number.

Proof. Let's optimize formula (2).

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - 3\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - 2\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - 1\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - 0\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - 4\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - 3\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - 2\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - 1\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - k - 1\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - 1\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - 1\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \left(\frac{0+1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{0+1}{2} - 1\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - 1\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right)! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - 1\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right)! \cdot \left(\frac{1-2 \cdot k}{2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{1-2 \cdot 1}{2}\right) \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right)! \cdot (1-2 \cdot k) \cdot \dots \cdot (1-2 \cdot 1) \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right)! \cdot (-1)^k \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot (2 \cdot k - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} \\
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} (-1)^k \cdot (2 \cdot k - 1)!! \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right)! \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} \\
\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 &\stackrel{\text{mod } p}{\equiv} \left((2 \cdot k - 1)!! \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right)! \cdot \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^2 \\
\left((2 \cdot k - 1)!! \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{2} - k\right)! \cdot \frac{1}{2^k}\right)^2 &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p} \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Let a prime number p be expressed in the following form:

$$p = 2 \cdot n + 1$$

where n is a natural number.

Then

$$\frac{p-1}{2} = \frac{2 \cdot n + 1 - 1}{2} = n \tag{5}$$

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2 \cdot n + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor n + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = n \tag{6}$$

It follows from equations (5) and (6):

$$\frac{p-1}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{p+1}{2} = \frac{2 \cdot n + 1 + 1}{2} = n + 1 \quad (8)$$

$$\left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{2 \cdot n + 1}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil n + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil = n + 1 \quad (9)$$

It follows from equations (8) and (9):

$$\frac{p+1}{2} = \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil \quad (10)$$

By substituting (7) and (10) into equation (4), we obtain the previously mentioned formula (3):

$$\left((2 \cdot k - 1)!! \cdot \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor - k \right)! \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} \right)^2 \equiv (-1)^{\left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil} \pmod{p}$$

Suitable values of k can be found from the following condition:

$$\left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor - k \right)! \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$$

Proposal.

Allowed values of k can be taken from the following ranges:

$k = 0$ for $2 \leq p \leq 5$,

$0 \leq k \leq 1$ for $7 \leq p \leq 11$,

for $p \geq 13$:

$$0 \leq k \begin{matrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{matrix} \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{4} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \ln \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{4} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor$$

or, what is the same:

$$0 \leq k \begin{matrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{matrix} \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \ln \left\lfloor \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor$$

References

- [1] Eric W. Weisstein. *CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Second Edition. Wilson's Theorem*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, pp. 3211, 2002.
- [2] Philippe Flajolet, Robert Sedgewick. *Analytic Combinatorics, 1st Edition. Stirling's formula*, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, Cambridge, pp. 37, 2009.
- [3] Leonard Eugene Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers, Volume I, Divisibility and Primality*, Chelsea Publishing Company, Publication No. 256, New York, pp. 275, 1952.
- [4] Eric W. Weisstein. *CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Second Edition. Double Factorial*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, pp. 823, 2002.