Chloroplast genome sequencing and comparative analysis of six medicinal plants of Polygonatum

Jinchen Yao¹, Zhaohuan Zheng¹, Tao Xu², Duomei Wang³, Jingzhe Pu³, Yazhong Zhang³, and Liangping Zha¹

¹Anhui University of Chinese Medicine ²West Anhui University ³Anhui Institute for Food and Drug Control

October 14, 2024

Abstract

The genus Polygonatum boasts abundant germplasm resources and comprises numerous species. Among these, medicinal plants of this genus, which have a long history, have garnered attention of scholars. This study sequenced and analyzed the chloroplast genomes of six species of Polygonatum medicinal plants (P. zanlanscianense, P. kingianum, P. sibiricum, P. cyrtonema, P. filipes and P. odoratum, respectively) to explore their inter-specific relationships. The sequence length (154, 578–155, 807 bp) and genome structure were conserved among the six Polygonatum species, with a typical tetrad structure. The genomes contain 127–131 genes, containing 84–85 protein-coding genes, 37–38 transfer RNA genes, and 6–8 ribosomal RNA genes. The genomes contained 64–76 simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and 36–62 long repetitive sequences. Codon bias patterns tended to use codons ending in A/T. In thirty types of codons with RSCU > 1, 93.3% ended in A/T of the six species. Twenty-one highly variable plastid regions were identified in the chloroplast genomes of the six medicinal plants. In addition, phylogenetic analysis of these and other 53 Polygonatum chloroplast genomes showed that P. cyrtonema, P. odoratum and P. filipes were clustered on one large clade, whereas P. kingianum and P. zanlanscianense were clustered on other clades. P. sibiricum is a monophyletic group and our tree supports the classification of P. sibiricum as an independent clade. This study provides a novel basis for intragenus taxonomy and DNA barcoding molecular identification within the genus Polygonatum medicinal plants.

Chloroplast genome sequencing and comparative analysis of six medicinal plants of Polygonatum

Jinchen Yao^{1, #} | Zhaohuan Zheng^{1, #} | Tao Xu⁵ | Duomei Wang² | Jingzhe Pu² | Yazhong Zhang^{2*} | Liangping Zha^{1, 3, 4*}

¹ College of Pharmacy, Anhui University of Chinese Medicine, Hefei, China

² Anhui Institute for Food and Drug Control, Hefei, China

³ Institute of Conservation and Development of Traditional Chinese Medicine Resources, Anhui Academy of Chinese Medicine, Hefei, China

 4 MOE-Anhui Joint Collaborative Innovation Center for Quality Improvement of Anhui Genuine Chinese Medicinal Materials, Hefei, China

⁵ Biological and Pharmaceutical Engineering, West Anhui University, Luan, China

 $^{\#}$ Authors Jinchen Yao and Zhaohuan Zheng contributed equally to this work.

* Correspondence: Liangping Zha, Anhui University of Chinese Medicine, Hefei 230012, China. Email: zlp_ahtcm@126.com| Yazhong Zhang, Anhui Institute for Food and Drug Control, Hefei 230051, China. Email: 282483507@qq.com

Funding information: Excellent Young Scholars Project of Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province in China, Grant/Award Numbers: 2208085Y30; Science Research Project at the Universities of Anhui Province for Distinguished Young Scholars, Grant/Award Numbers: 2023AH020036; the Key Project Foundation of Support Program for the Excellent Young Faculties in Universities of Anhui Province in China, Grant/Award Numbers: gxyqZD2022051; Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CACM, Grant/Award Numbers: CACM-2023-QNRC2-B23; NMPA Key Laboratory for Quality Research and Evaluation of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Grant/Award Numbers: AHYJ-KFKY-202102; the Fund of Generic Technology Research center for Anhui Traditional Chinese Medicine Industry, Grant/Award Numbers: AHTCMGTRC-2023-06; Traditional Chinese Medicine high-level key discipline construction project of National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine-Science of Chinese medicinal material resources (pharmaceutical botany), Grant/Award Numbers: zyyzdxk-2023095; Scientific Research Team Program of Anhui Colleges and Universities, Grant/Award Numbers: 2022AH010036.

Abstract

The genus *Polygonatum* boasts abundant germplasm resources and comprises numerous species. Among these, medicinal plants of this genus, which have a long history, have garnered attention of scholars. This study sequenced and analyzed the chloroplast genomes of six species of Polygonatum medicinal plants (P. zanlanscianense, P. kingianum, P. sibiricum, P. cyrtonema, P. filipes and P. odoratum, respectively) to explore their inter-specific relationships. The sequence length (154, 578–155, 807 bp) and genome structure were conserved among the six *Polygonatum* species, with a typical tetrad structure. The genomes contain 127–131 genes, containing 84–85 protein-coding genes, 37–38 transfer RNA genes, and 6–8 ribosomal RNA genes. The genomes contained 64–76 simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and 36–62 long repetitive sequences. Codon bias patterns tended to use codons ending in A/T. In thirty types of codons with RSCU > 1, 93.3% ended in A/T of the six species. Twenty-one highly variable plastid regions were identified in the chloroplast genomes of the six medicinal plants. In addition, phylogenetic analysis of these and other 53 Polygonatum chloroplast genomes showed that P. cyrtonema, P. odoratum and P. filipes were clustered on one large clade, whereas P. kingianum and P. zanlanscianense were clustered on other clades. P. sibiricum is a monophyletic group and our tree supports the classification of *P. sibiricum* as an independent clade. This study provides a novel basis for intragenus taxonomy and DNA barcoding molecular identification within the genus *Polygonatum* medicinal plants.

K E Y W O R D S: *Polygonatum*, chloroplast genome, interspecific relationships, DNA barcoding molecular identification, phylogenetic

1 | INTRODUCTION

Polygonatum Mill. is the largest genus in the Polygonateae tribe. Polygonatum Mill. comprises 70 species widely distributed across the temperate Northern Hemisphere (Gong et al., 2023). Of these, 37 species and one variety had records of medicinal use and the rhizome was the most commonly used part of the plant. Many Polygonatum plants are highly effective in treatment age-related diseases, diabetes, lung diseases, coughs, fatigue, and feebleness in clinical practice (Zhao et al., 2018). Polygonatum kingianum, P. sibiricum, P. cyrtonema and P. odoratum contain various active ingredients, such as polysaccharides, steroidal saponins, and alkaloids which are collected from the Chinese Pharmacopoeia as the official source of Polygonati rhizoma and Polygonate odorati rhizome (Luo et al., 2022). Polysaccharides from P. filipes and P. zanlanscianense, as recorded by provincial standards, can significantly inhibit the formation of intermediate diabetes products (Zhao et al., 2020). Polygonatum is a diverse and widely distributed plant. Flora of China records that it is distributed in many provinces of China, including Heilongjiang, Jilin, Liaoning, Hebei, Shanxi, Shaanxi, Nei

Mongol, Ningxia, Gansu, Henan, Shandong, Anhui, Zhejiang, and Sichuan. Among them, P. cyrtonema is mainly distributed in the Yangtze River Basin, whereas P. kingianum occurs in southwestern China (Guo et al., 2022). In addition, the presence of multiple medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* make it difficult to identify. The phyllotaxis is usually an important basis for distinguishing *Polygonatum* plants (Xia et al., 2022), which can be mainly classified into alternate leaf types and verticillate leaf types according to the phyllotaxis characteristics; for example, P. cyrtonema, P. filipes, and P. odoratum are alternate leaf types and P. sibiricum, P. kingianum, and P. zanlanscianense are verticillate leaf types. The rhizome morphology of *Polygonatum* plants is also diverse, with *P. sibiricum* having a "Jitou-type" and an atypical "Jitou-type". P. cyrtonema have three types, "Jiang-type", "Cylinder-type", and "Baiji-type", with variations in the composition of the rhizomes of the different types (Hu et al., 2022). The indiscriminate use of different species may cause crucial effects on the patient. Therefore, establishing an effective identification method to distinguish between the medicinal species of *Polygonatum*, is necessary. DNA barcoding and molecular markers have been used for accurate species delimitation and phylogenetic relationship inference in Polygonatum (Jiao et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2021). However, species identification using traditional DNA barcoding techniques is limited and does not allow for the accurate identification of closely related species (Newmaster et al., 2008). The chloroplast genome sequence has been proposed as a superbarcode for species authentication (Li et al., 2015). The chloroplast genomes of medicinal plants such as Atractylodes (Wang et al., 2021), Gentiana (Zhao et al., 2022), Peucedanum (Sun et al., 2023) and Tripterygium (Xu et al., 2024) have been studied one after another and their intragenus evolutionary relationships have been explored. Studies of the chloroplast genomes of *Polygonatum* spp. have also been reported. The genus *Polygonatum* is a monophyletic group comprising three sections (sect. Sibirica, sect. Polygonatum, and sect. Verticillata) (Xia et al., 2022). Heteropolygonatum, Disporopsis, Maianthemum, and Disporum are sister groups to Polygonatum within Polygonateae (Wang et al., 2022). During the long evolutionary process of the genus, the leaf type of this group evolved from verticillate leaves to alternate leaves. The construction of a phylogenetic tree based on the chloroplast genome showed that P. kingianum can be clearly distinguished from other species in the verticillate leaf group, providing a reliable means for the accurate identification of *P. kingianum* (Shi et al., 2023). However, these studies did not focus on sequencing or analyzing the chloroplast genomes of medicinal plants of the genus *Polygonatum*. Consequently, we sequenced and analyzed the whole chloroplast genomes of six medicinal species of *Polygonatum* to enrich the understanding of genome characteristics. screen mutational hotspots, and SSRs for authentication of *Polygonatum* medicinal plants and elucidate the phylogenetic relationship of *Polygonatum* medicinal plants.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plant materials collection and DNA extraction

This study collected six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* from different regions (Figure S1, Table S1). The species was confirmed and identified and all voucher specimens were stored at the Chinese Materia Medica Resource Center, Anhui University of Chinese Medicine (Hefei, China). Healthy and fresh leaves were chosen to extract the complete genomic DNA using a plant DNA mini kit (Plant DNA Kit D3485, Omega Bio-Tek, Guangzhou, China). The purity, integrity, and concentration of the DNA were checked using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and 1.0%(w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis (Wu et al., 2021). The concentration of DNA samples that meet the requirements of chloroplast genome sequencing [?] 20 ng/µL; the total amount of samples [?] 100 ng; OD_{260/280} = 1.8–2.2, and high-quality DNA was used to construct gene libraries (Zhu et al., 2018).

2.2 | Chloroplast DNA sequencing, assembly and annotation

Genesky Biotechnologies Inc. (Shanghai, China) was commissioned to use Illumina HiSeq 4000 to randomly sequence the chloroplast genomes of each DNA sample from *Polygonatum* plants. Genomic DNA was frag-

mented after quality control and the adaptor was ligated to construct the library. To obtain high-quality sequencing data and improve the accuracy of subsequent bioinformatic analyses, quality control and filtering of the original offline data must be performed. For example, excluding sequences containing more than 3 N bases, eliminating sequences with less than 60% of high-quality bases (Phred score [?] 20), eliminating low-quality bases at the 3' end, and removing the sequences with lengths less than 60 bp. Assembling clean reads at the contig level. According to the reference near-source species, metaSPAdes software (Nurk et al., 2017) was used for genome assembly, and the assembly results were analyzed and corrected to determine whether the ring was formed, correct the contig direction, and determine the initial base position. The chloroplast genomes were annotated using CPGAVAS2 software (Shi et al., 2019). GenBank files were drawn into a gene circle map using GeSeq (https://chlorobox.mpimp-golm.mpg.de/geseq.html) (Tillich et al., 2017). The sequence data and gene annotation information were uploaded to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.

2.3 | Structure analysis of the chloroplast genomes

SSRs, also called microsatellites or short tandem repeats (STRs), are tandem repeats of DNA segments composed of 1–6 base pairs widely used in genetic analysis as molecular markers. The SSR sites of each sample genome were detected using the online software MISA (Beier et al., 2017) (https://webblast.ipkgatersleben.de/misa/), with the minimum repeat parameters set at ten repeat units for mononucleotide, five repeat units for dinucleotide, four repeat units for trinucleotide, three repeat units for tetranucleotides, pentanucleotides, and hexanucleotides (Wang et al., 2022). Forward, palindromic, reverse, and complementary repeats, were predicted using the REPuter (https://bibiserv.cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de/reputer), the parameters are set to hamming distance = 3, maximum computed repeats = 5,000 bp, minimal repeat size = 30bp (Kurtz et al,2001). Codon usage of the chloroplast genomes of six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* was investigated using the relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) module in the Python CAI package. In gene translation, the frequency of synonymous codons corresponding to each amino acid is discrepant; that is, some synonymous codons are applied more frequently than others (Parvathy et al., 2022). The RSCU value represents relative synonymous codon usage. For RSCU=1, codon usage without preference; RSCU > 1, codon usage frequency is higher than expected; and RSCU < 1, codon usage frequency is lower than expected (Sharp et al., 1986). Microsoft Office Excel and TBtools (Chen et al., 2020) were used to convert statistical data into visual graphs.

2.4 | Comparison of the chloroplast genomes

The expansion and contraction of inverted repeat regions in the chloroplast genome may lead to changes in genome length. Using CPJSdraw software (Xu et al., 2024), we detected the inverted repeat (IR) boundary regions by comparing the locations of the coding genes. Sequence alignment of the whole chloroplast genome was performed using the online tool mVISTA (Fernández-Jiménez et al., 2021) (http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/index.shtml) in shuffle-LAGAN mode. DnaSP software (Rozas et al., 2017) was used to calculate the nucleotide diversity based on sliding window analysis, setting the window length to 600 bp and the step size to 200 bp. To investigate the presence of selective pressure on the chloroplast proteincoding genes among *Polygonatum*, we used *P. zanlanscianense* as a reference, and the coding sequences were used to calculate ka, ks values using KaKs_Calculator2 (Wang et al., 2010).

2.5 | Phylogenetic tree construction of *Polygonatum* medicinal plants

Six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* and other medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* downloaded from the NCBI were used for phylogenetic analysis, whereas *Dioscorea aspersa* and *Dioscorea alata* were set as outgroups. A total of 59 chloroplast complete sequences were aligned using MAFFT (Katoh et al., 2013) and trimmed using TrimAL (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009). The best-fit model according to Bayesian information criterion was K3Pu+F+I+I+R4, which was calculated using ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017). An IQ-TREE

(Nguyen et al., 2015) phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the whole chloroplast sequences using the PhyloSuite platform (Zhang et al., 2020). The tree is displayed on the iTOL (Letunic et al., 2021) website (https://itol.embl.de/).

3 | Results

3.1 | Basic characteristics of chloroplast genomes

This study obtained the complete chloroplast genome sequences of six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* : *P*. zanlanscianense, P. kingianum, P. sibiricum, P. cyrtonema, P. filipes and P. odoratum. The length of the complete chloroplast genome sequences ranged from 154, 578 bp (P. odoratum) to 155, 807 bp (P. kingianum), with an average length of 155, 429 bp. The *Polygonatum* chloroplast genome has a typical quadripartite structure, including large single-copy (LSC), small single-copy (SSC), and a pair of IR regions. The length of the LSC ranged from 83, 527 bp (P. odoratum) to 84, 626 bp (P. kingianum). In the SSC regions, the chloroplast genome of P. sibiricum was the shortest (18, 415 bp) and P. kingianum was the longest (18, 529 bp). The IR lengths ranged from 26, 297 bp for P. odoratum to 26, 415 bp for P. zanlanscianense. GC content was unevenly distributed across the four parts of the chloroplast genome. The total GC content of the chloroplast genome was 37.7% and the GC contents in the LSC, SSC, and IR regions were 35.7–35.8 %, 31.5–31.6 %, and 43 %, respectively (Figure 1, Table 1). Differences were observed in the number of chloroplast genome genes among the six Polygonatum species. P. kingianum and P. filipes encoded 127 and 130 genes, respectively, whereas the other species encoded 131 genes. These genes comprised 84–85 proteincoding genes, 37–38 transfer RNA genes and 6–8 ribosomal RNA genes. The IR regions included seven protein-coding genes (rpl2, rpl23, rps12, rps19, rps7, ndhB, and ycf2), 11 tRNA genes (trnG-UCC, trnE-UUC, trnM-CAU, trnH-GUG, trnI-CAU, trnL-CAA, trnV-GAC, trnI-GAU, trnA-UGC, trnR-ACG, and trnN-GUU), and all four rRNA-coding genes. A total of 22 genes in the chloroplast genomes of the six *Polygonatum* species contained introns ycf3 and clpP each containing two introns. rps12, trnK-UUA, rps16, trnG-UCC, trnT-CGU, atpF, rpoC1, trnL-UAA, trnV-UAC, petB, petD, rpl16, rpl2, ndhB, trnI-GAU, trnE-UUC, trnA-UGC, and ndhA contained a single intron. trnT-CGU was annotated only in P. kingianum, which lacked rrn4.5, rpoCland trnV-UAC. ThendhB gene of P. kingianum did not contain introns. The ycf2 and ycf1 genes of P. zanlanscianense also contained two introns, ycf2 gene of P. sibiricum and P. cyrtonema contained one intron, and P. kingianum, P. filipes and P. odoratum had no introns. Gene and intron losses occurred during the evolution of the six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* (Table 2). TABLE 1 Basic chloroplast characteristics of six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum*

Characteristics	P. zanlanscianense	P. kingianum	P. sibiricum	P. cyrtonema	P. filipes	P. odoratum
Total size (bp)	155,663	155,807	155,572	155,618	155,333	154,578
LSC length (bp)	84,417	84,626	$84,\!475$	84,451	84,279	$83,\!527$
IRa length (bp)	26,415	26,326	26,341	$26,\!371$	26,300	26,297
IRb length (bp)	26,415	26,326	26,341	26,371	26,300	26,297
SSC length (bp)	18,416	18,529	$18,\!415$	18,425	$18,\!454$	$18,\!457$
Total genes	131	127	131	131	130	131
Protein coding genes	85	84	85	85	84	85
tRNA genes	38	37	38	38	38	38
rRNA genes	8	6	8	8	8	8
Overall GC $\operatorname{content}(\%)$	37.7	37.7	37.7	37.7	37.7	37.7
GC content in $LSC(\%)$	35.7	35.7	35.7	35.8	35.7	35.8
GC content in IRa (%)	43	43	43	43	43	43
GC content in IRb (%)	43	43	43	43	43	43
GC content in $SSC(\%)$	31.6	31.5	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6



FIGURE 1 Circular maps for chloroplast genome of six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum*. (A) *P. zanlanscianense*, (B) *P. kingianum*, (C) *P. sibiricum*, (D) *P. cyrtonema*, and (E) *P. filipes*, (F) *P. odoratum*. Genes inside and outside the loop are transcribed in a clockwise and anti-clockwise direction. Genes with diverse functions are represented using different colors.

3.2 | Simple sequence repeats and interspersed repeats sequences analysis

The MISA program was used to identify the SSRs in our study. The total number of SSR sites in the six *Polygonatum* chloroplast genomes was 64 (*P. cyrtonema*) -76 (*P. kingianum*), comprising mononucleotide, dinucleotide, trinucleotide, tetranucleotide, and pentanucleotide repeats; only hexanucleotide repeats were found in *P. cyrtonema* (Figure 2A). Among the SSR sites, the number of mononucleotide repeats was the highest, totaling 242. A/T repeat motifs accounted for the largest proportion, ranging from 97.56% to 100% and *P. kingianum*, *P. filipes* and *P. odoratum* had C/G mononucleotide repeat motifs. A total of 93 dinucleotide repeats were found, with AT/TA accounting for 80%. Trinucleotide repeat sequences were constant in *P. odoratum*, *P. filipes*, and *P. cyrtonema*, whereas ATT and ATA were absent in the other three *Polygonatum* species. The tetranucleotide and pentanucleotide repeat sequences in the chloroplast genomes of six *Polygonatum* species were similar. Among them, only *P. sibiricum* had AAAT tetranucleotide repeat motifs and *P. kingianum* had one more AATA than the other species (Tables 3 and S2–S7).

A total of 268 repetitive sequences were identified in this study. Palindromic repeats accounted for the largest proportion (51.12 %), followed by forward repeats (36.07 %) and reverse repeats (2.99 %). No complementary repeats were found in the chloroplast genomes of the six *Polygonatum* species (Figure 2B). Among these types of *Polygonatum*, *P. zanlanscianense* had significantly longer repetitive sequences than the other species, with 62. *P. sibiricum* (49), *P. cyrtonema* (46), *P. kingianum* (39), *P. filipes* (36), and *P. odoratum* (36). Most species were mainly distributed in the IR region. Among them, the number and distribution of all repeat types in *P. filipes* and *P. odoratum* were almost the same. The distribution area was mainly in the LSC region and could be distinguished from the others (Tables S8–S13) and the repeat motif size of *Polygonatum* was mainly concentrated in the range of 30–39 bp (Figure S2).

Gene Category	Gene Name
Ribosomal protein (LSU)	rpl33, rpl20, rpl36, rpl14, rpl16*, rpl22, rpl2*, (×2), rpl23(×2), rpl32
Ribosomal protein (SSU)	$rps12^{*, (\times 2)}, rps16^{*}, rps2, rps14, rps4, rps18, rps11, rps8, rps3, rps19^{(\times 2)},$
RNA polymerase	rpoA, rpoB, rpoC1*, rpoC2
Protease	$clpP^*$
Maturase	matK
Ribosomal RNA	$rrn16^{(\times 2)}, rrn23^{(\times 2)}, rrn5^{(\times 2)}, rrn4.5^{(\times 2)}$
Transfer RNA	trnK-UUU*, trnQ-UUG, trnS-GCU, trnT-CGU*, trnG-UCC*,(×2), trnR-U
Photosystem I	psaB, psaA, psaI, psaJ, psaC
Photosystem II	psbA, psbK, psbI, psbM, psbD, psbC, psbZ, psbJ, psbL, psbF, psbE, psbB, p
NADH dehydrogenase	ndhJ, ndhK, ndhC, ndhB ^{*, (×2)} , ndhF, ndhD, ndhE, ndhG, ndhI, ndhA [*] , n
ATP synthase	atpA, atpF*, atpH, atpI, atpE, atpB
cytochrome b/f complex	$petN, petA, petL, petG, petB^*, petD^*$
hypothetical chloroplast reading frames (ycf)	$ycf3^*, ycf4, ycf2^{*, (\times 2)}, ycf1^*$
Rubisco large subunit	rbcL
other gene	accD, cemA, ccsA

TABLE 2 List of genes found in the chloroplast genomes of six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* .

 * indicates the intron-containing genes, $^{(\times 2)}$ indicates that the gene has two copies.



FIGURE 2 SSRs(A) and long repetitive sequences (B) analysis of chloroplast genomes of six *Polygonatum* species.

	TABLE 3 Type and	amounts of SSRs in	the chloroplast	genomes of	six Polygonatum	species.
--	------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------	-----------------	----------

SSR type	Repeat unit	Polygonatum zanlanscianense	Polygonatum kingianum	Polygonatum sibiricum
Mononucleotide	A/T	43	42	38
	C/G	0	1	0
Dinucleotide	AT/AT	6	6	7
	GA/TC	3	3	3
	TA/TA	6	7	7
Trinucleotide	ATA	0	0	1
	ATT	0	1	0
	CAG	1	1	1
	TAA/TTA	2	3	1
Tetranucleotide	AAAT	0	0	1

SSR type	Repeat unit	$Polygonatum\ zanlans cianense$	Polygonatum kingianum	Polygonatum sibiricum
	AATA	2	3	2
	AATG /CATT	2	2	2
	ATTG	1	2	1
	GAAT	1	1	1
	TTAA	1	1	1
	TTGA	1	1	1
Pentanucleotide	CGAAA /TTTCG	2	2	2
Hexanucleotide	ATAGTA	0	0	0
Total		71	76	69



FIGURE 3 Analysis of amino acids and codon bias among six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum*. (A) Frequency of amino acids in the chloroplast genomes of six *Polygonatum*. (B) RSCU percentage analysis of codons in chloroplast genomes. (C) Heat-map of the RSCU values among six *Polygonatum*.

3.3 | Statistics of codon usage

The total number of codons in the chloroplast genome of the six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* in proteincoding sequences was 23, 381 (*P. kingianum*) to 26, 036 (*P. odoratum*), containing 61 codons encoding 20 amino acids (termination codons were not incorporated in the statistics). Amino acids are encoded by 2–6 synonymous codons, most of which are not Met and Trp. Leu was encoded by the highest number of codons, accounting for 10.3%, whereas Cys was encoded by the lowest number of codons, accounting for 1.2% (except *P. kingianum* accounting for 1.1%). The RSCU value can be used to detect a synonymous codon usage bias. Except for Met and Trp (RSCU = 1), which do not show codon usage bias, most amino acid codons have usage bias. Thirty types of codons were found with RSCU > 1 in the six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum*, of which 28 were A/T-ending codons. Only the TTG codon encoding Leu and the TCC codon encoding Ser ended with G/C, indicating that A/T bases were preferred and G/C bases were not preferred. A comprehensive analysis of the histogram and heat map of codon usage showed that codon usage of the six species was consistent. The analysis of RSCU values provided data for studying the evolution and gene expression of *Polygonatum* (Figure 3, Table S14).

3.4 | IR borders comparison

During plant chloroplast genome evolution, the IR regions are accompanied by contraction and expansion and some genes enter the IR or SC regions. The IR/SC boundaries and their adjacent genes in the six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* were compared using CPJSdraw. As shown (Figure 4), the total sequence length and IR region length of the chloroplast genome between species were relatively conserved and the genotypes of the IR/SC borders were essentially the same. Genesrpl22, rps19, trnN, ndhF, ycf1 and psbAwere present at the IR boundaries. The front ends of rps19 genes of *P. zanlanscianense*, *P. kingianum* ,*P. cyrtonema*, *P. filipes* and *P. odoratum* were 13 or 17 bp away from the IRb boundary, whereas in *P. sibiricum*, the rps19 gene front ends coincided with the LSC/IRb boundary. In the LSC/IRa boundary, the end of the rps19 gene of *P. sibiricum* coincides with the LSC/IRb boundary and is also different from other species. rpl22 was completely situated in the LSC region and was 27–34 bp away from the LSC/IRb boundary, *P. sibiricum* was 47 bp. In six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum*, ndhF gene was prolonged to the IR by 22–34 bp. The ycf1 gene spans the junction between the SSC and IRa. The pbsA gene is located downstream of the junction of LSC and IRa, 87–91 bp from the boundary.

3.5 | Sequence divergence and high variation regions analyses

The mVISTA online tool was used to globally align the chloroplast genomes of these *Polygonatum* species, with *P. zanlanscianense* as the reference and the sequence differences between their genomes were compared (Figure 5). In comparison, the chloroplast genome sequences of six *Polygonatum species* were generally conserved. From the position of sequence differences, the rRNA gene region (blue part) was highly conserved, the non-coding region (red part) was more variable than the conserved protein coding region (purple part). The variation of LSC region and SSR region was greater than that of IR region and the difference was greater in LSC region, followed by SSR region. In addition, DnaSP software was used to determine the nucleotide diversity of the chloroplast genome of six medicinal *Polygonatum* was 0–0.02633 and the high-variation regions were mainly concentrated in the LSC and SSC regions. Twenty-one genic regions with high Pi values (Pi [?] 0.01) were considered hotspots. Among them, 11 genic regions were located in the LSC region, namely *psbA*, *trnK-UUU*, *psbI-trnS-GCU*, *trnS-GCU*, *trnD-UAA*, *trnF-GAA*, and *psbJ*; among them, 10 genic regions were located in the SSC region, namely *rpl32*, *trnL-UAG*, *ccsA*, *ccsA-ndhD* and *ycf1*. These hotspots provide a reference for the subsequent molecular identification of *Polygonatum* medicinal plants to identify potential chloroplast DNA barcodes.



FIGURE 4 Alignment of LSC, SSC, and IR regions boundary of chloroplast genomes of six medicinal plants of Polygonatum.



FIGURE 5 Sequence alignment among six *Polygonatum*, with *P. zanlanscianense* as a reference. The y-axis represents the percent identity within 50-100%. Genome regions are color coded as genes (grey arrow), protein coding (purple), RNA coding genes (blue), and non-coding sequences (red).



FIGURE 6 Sliding window analysis of the whole chloroplast genomes of six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* for nucleotide diversity (Pi). X-axis: position of the window. Y-axis: nucleotide diversity of each window. Red signs are the selected high variation regions.



FIGURE 7 Phylogenetic tree constructed with the whole sequences of the chloroplast genomes of the above 59 species.

3.6 | Selective pressure analysis

We calculated the Ka/Ks values of *Polygonatum* protein-coding genes (PCGs) based on KaKs_Calculator2. The genes subject to positive selection are *matK*, *ndhA*, *petB*, and *ycf2*, with a maximum Ka/Ks value of 1.41. The genes that were positively selected can be categorized into five groups according to their functions: (1) maturation enzyme gene *matK*; (2) photosynthetic system genes *ndhA* and *petB*; and (4) the *ycf2* gene with unknown function. The remaining genes, all with Ka/Ks values less than 1, were subjected to purifying selection, with *atpI* being the lowest at 0.048 (Figure S3).

3.7 | Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the maximum likelihood (ML) approach based on 59 complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Polygonatum* medicinal plants published in the NCBI database (*Dioscorea aspersa* and *Dioscorea alata*) to illustrate the genetic relationships of these species. The results showed that the two outgroups were distinct from *Polygonatum*. The nodes of the phylogenetic tree in this study had a high support rate and strong reliability for the phylogenetic analysis (Figure 7, Table S15). In the phylogenetic trees, all alternate leaf types of *Polygonatum* species were clustered on one large clade and species with verticillate leaves were divided into *sect. Sibirica* and *sect. Verticillata* group, confirming that

the leaf type affects the classification of the genus. Of these, sect. Polygonatum and sect. Sibirica clustered together and exhibited a sister relationship with sect. Verticillata. Among the six Polygonatum species, P. sibiricum formed a monophyletic group (sect. Sibirica) with 100 % support. P. cyrtonema was the earliest clade to differentiate, followed P. odoratum, P. filipes and other related species clustered into a sister clade in sect. Polygonatum . P. kingianum was the earliest species differentiated from sect. Verticillata. P. zanlanscianense and other verticillate leaf type species of Polygonatum clustered into sister clades with P. kingianum. These results are consistent with those of the previous studies.

In addition, we downloaded the chloroplast genomes of six other *Polygonatum* species from the database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) to explore the chloroplast genome affinities of *Polygonatum* in different regions. The phylogenetic results showed that *P. cyrtonema* was collected in Anhui Province and had the closest relationship with *P. cyrtonema* (MZ579646, MZ150839) stemming from Zhejiang Province and a relatively distant relationship with Hunan (OL436258), Beijing (MW248135), and Jiangxi (MZ029094). *P. zanlanscianense* (from Yunnan Province) was closely related to Guizhou Province (MW373522), followed by Hubei Province (OL405020) and Hunan Province (ON534059).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Chloroplast genome structure analysis of *P. kingianum*

In the present study, the chloroplast genome sequences of six medicinal plants of *Polygonatum* were analyzed. According to the assembly annotation results, the chloroplast genomes of *Polygonatum*, similar to most angiosperms, exhibit a classical tetrad structure and are closed-circular double-stranded DNA molecules (Daniell et al., 2016). The complete genome length ranged from 154, 578 to 155, 807 bp and the lengths of the LSC, SSC, and IR regions were relatively conserved, with no obvious contraction or expansion. However, the chloroplast genome of *P. kingianum* is the largest and its measured genome size is usually above 155, 700 bp (Guo et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023). *P. zanlanscianense* chloroplast genome was the next largest and it was statistically found that the genome length of the verticillate leaf types of *Polygonatum* was typically larger than that of the alternate leaf types (Table S15).*Polygonatum* chloroplast genome encodes 127–131 genes. *P. kingianum* encodes 127 genes, comprising 84 protein-coding genes, 37 transfer RNA genes, and 6 ribosomal RNA genes. Compared to other species, *P. kingianum* lacks rpoC1, trnV-UAC andrrn4.5 genes, which is consistent with the results of previous studies (Wang et al., 2022). The length and composition of the chloroplast genome of *P. kingianum* differ from those of other species, which may be related to the limited geographic growth environment of *P. kingianum*.

SSRs are widely distributed in chloroplast genomes and can be used for species identification and genetic diversity analyses (Jiang et al., 2018). A total of 64–76 SSRs are present in the chloroplast genomes of six *Polygonatum* species (Zhang et al., 2023; Yan et al., 2023). The number of SSRs in *Polygonatum* is comparable to that in *Peucedanum* (Liu et al., 2022), *Adonis* (Nyamgerel et al., 2023), and *Paeoniaceae* (Cai et al., 2023). The A/T and AT/TA repeat sequences account for the largest number of mono- and dinucleotide repeat sequences, respectively, influencing the overall GC content of genomes (Gichira et al., 2019).

4.2 | Relatively conserved chloroplast genomes in *Polygonatum*

In the chloroplast genomes of angiosperms, rRNA genes are generally located in the IR region, which to some extent makes the IR region more conserved than the LSC and SSC regions, making the IR region the most conserved region in the chloroplast genome. However, during the evolution of this species, the IR boundary has undergone contraction and expansion, affecting the length of the chloroplast genome (Li et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). The length of *Pelargonium hortorum* chloroplast genome is 217, 942 bp owing to the extreme expansion of its IR region (Chumley et al., 2006); the IR region length of *Pinus thunbergii* is shortened to only 495 bp, and the chloroplast genome length is 119, 707 bp (Wakasugi et al., 1994); whereas the IR of *P. hortorum* is generally absent (Liu et al., 2020). The IR boundary region of the

chloroplast genomes of the six *Polygonatum* medicinal plants is relatively conserved and does not undergo significant expansion or contraction (Zhang et al., 2023; Yan et al., 2023). However, the rps19 gene of *P. sibiricum* overlapped with the LSC/IR boundary and differed from that of the other five species, which was hypothesized to be related to the evolution of *P. sibiricum*.

The results of mVISTA and nucleotide diversity analyses indicated that the chloroplast genomes of the six *Polygonatum* species were highly similar. The highly variable regions of the chloroplast genomes of *Polygonatum* species were mainly concentrated in the LSC and SSC regions, and 21 highly variable Pi-fragments were screened. Among them, psbI-trnS-GCU, psbJ, rpl32, trnL-UAG, ccsA, ndhD, and ycf1 were screened as candidate markers. This provides a reference for subsequent molecular identification of *Polygonatum* species to identify potential chloroplast DNA barcodes.

The selective pressure analysis revealed that matK, ndhA, petB, and ycf2 genes were subject to positive selection, of which ndhA and petB were photosynthetic system genes. *Polygonatum* plants are mainly distributed in the understory, thickets or shady areas of mountain slopes, and adaptation to sunlight stress may be an important genetic basis for the evolution of adaptations at the chloroplast level in *Polygonatum* (Zhang et al., 2023).

4.3 | Species of Polygonatum form three major groups

The genus *Polygonatum* is rich in germplasm resources and contains various species that are difficult to identify owing to their similar morphology. The classification of this genus has long been controversial and is a concern for taxonomic treatment. The genus *Polygonatum* was first established by Miller (Zhao et al., 2014). Baker (Baker et al., 1875) divided Polygonatum into three groups, Alternifolia, Verticillata and *Oppositifolia*, according to the characteristics of phyllotaxis. However, species of *Polygonatum* differ in morphological characteristics, such as perianth and bracts, in addition to differences in leaf type. Flora of China synthesized these characteristics and divided the genus into eight groups. Tamura subdivided the genus into two sections: Polygonatum and Verticillata (Tamura et al., 1993). To date, botanists have not reached a unified view of the classification of *Polygonatum* species based on their morphological characteristics. With the development of molecular biology, phylogenetic studies of species based on chloroplast genome sequences have provided novel perspectives for solving plant affinities. Previous phylogenetic studies of *Polygonatum* chloroplast genomes have supported the classification of this genus into three groups: sect. Verticillata. sect. Polygonatum, and sect. Sibirica, where sect. Polygonatum and sect. Sibirica and sect. Verticillata is a sister species of sect. Polygonatum + sect. Sibirica (Floden et al., 2018). Sect. Verticillata contains Polygonatum species with verticillate leaf types, sect. Polygonatum contains alternate leaf types, and sect. Sibirica usually has only one species, P. sibiricum (Qin et al., 2024). Consistent with previous findings, the chloroplast genome phylogenetic tree of *Polygonatum* used in the present study was divided into three clades: sect. Verticillata, sect. Polygonatum, and sect. Sibirica. P. kingianum and P. zanlanscianense clustered in the verticillate leaf taxon sect. Verticillata . P. cyrtonema , P. filipes and P. odoratum clustered into the alternate-leaf taxon sect. Polygonatum and P. sibiricum clustered as a separate monophyletic group, similar to sect. Sibirica (Meng et al., 2014).

Studies have shown that geographical factors have a certain effect on the chloroplast genome (Yang et al., 2022). P. cyrtonema, for example, is widely distributed in China; however, it is mainly distributed in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River (Zhang et al., 2024). At the same time, the southern part of Anhui and the eastern part of Zhejiang Province are in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River. The Dabie Mountains and Southern Anhui Mountains in southern Anhui is suitable altitude for the growth of P. cyrtonema (Liu et al., 2023). Beijing and Hunan Province are farther away from this region and have different climatic environments; therefore, it is speculated that those may be geographically isolated from the P. cyrtonema in the Yangtze River Basin. Therefore, the phylogenetic tree showed that the P. cyrtonema from Anhui was more closely related to the P. cyrtonema from Zhejiang. We believe that geographical factors influence the phylogeny of Polygonatum species and this requires further exploration.

5 | Conclusions

This study utilized the Illumina Hiseq platform to obtain the complete chloroplast genomes of six medicinal species of the genus Polygonatum. The chloroplast genomes were relatively conserved in terms of length, gene content, and genomic structure. A certain pattern was observed in genome length among species. Polygonatum spp. with verticillate leaves were larger than those with alternating leaves. The genes encoded by P. kingianum appeared to be lost compared to those of the other species. Twenty-one highly variable loci were screened as markers for the candidate identification of Polygonatum species. The phylogeny of the species in the genus was studied based on chloroplast genome sequences and the results supported the classification of Polygonatum into three groups: sect. Verticillata, sect. Polygonatum, and sect. Sibirica. This study provides a basis for the molecular identification, phylogenetic evolution, and genetic diversity of Polygonatum.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jinchen Yao: Conceptualization(equal); methodology(equal); data curation and writing-original draft preparation(equal). Zhaohuan Zheng: Conceptualization(equal); software(equal); data curation and writing-original draft preparation(equal). Tao Xu: Formal analysis(equal); investigation(equal); resources(equal); visualization(equal). Duomei Wang: Methodology(equal); software(equal); visualization(equal). Jingzhe Pu: Validation(equal); investigation(equal); formal analysis(equal). Yazhong Zhang: Conceptualization(equal); writing—review and editing(equal); project administration(equal); funding acquisition(equal). Liangping Zha: Conceptualization(equal); writing—review and editing(equal); writing—review and editing(equal).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We want to thank Shanghai Genesky Biotechnologies Inc. for their help with sequencing.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This research was funded by the Excellent Young Scholars Project of Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province in China, grant number 2208085Y30; Science Research Project at the Universities of Anhui Province for Distinguished Young Scholars, grant number 2023AH020036; the Key Project Foundation of Support Program for the Excellent Young Faculties in Universities of Anhui Province in China, grant number gxyqZD2022051; Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CACM, grant number CACM-2023-QNRC2-B23; NMPA Key Laboratory for Quality Research and Evaluation of Traditional Chinese Medicine, grant number AHYJ-KFKY-202102; the Fund of Generic Technology Research center for Anhui Traditional Chinese Medicine Industry, grant number AHTCMGTRC-2023-06; Traditional Chinese Medicine high-level key discipline construction project of National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine-Science of Chinese medicinal material resources (pharmaceutical botany), grant number zyyzdxk-2023095; Scientific Research Team Program of Anhui Colleges and Universities, grant number 2022AH010036.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets analyzed for this study are available in the GenBank repositories; the accession numbers are OQ928151, OQ928152, OQ928153, OQ928154, OQ928155, and OQ928156.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not Applicable.

REFERENCES

Baker, J. G. (1875). Revision of the genera and species of Asparagaceae. (Continued.). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society,14(80), 547–632. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1875.tb00349.x Beier, S.. Thiel, T., Münch, T., Scholz, U., & Mascher, M. (2017). MISA-web: a web server for microsatellite prediction. Bioinformatics, 33(16), 2583–2585. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx198 Cai, H., Xu, R., Tian, P., Zhang, M., Zhu, L., & Liu, X. (2023). Complete Chloroplast Genomes and the Phylogenetic Analysis of Three Native Species of Paeoniaceae from the Sino-Himalayan Flora Subkingdom. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 25(1), 257. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25010257 Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M., & Gabaldón, T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics, 25(15), 1972–1973. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348 Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., & Xia R. (2020). TBtools: An Integrative Toolkit Developed for Interactive Analyses of Big Biological Data. Molecular Plant, 13(8), 1194–1202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009 Chumley, T. W., Palmer, J. D., Mower, J. P., Fourcade, H. M., Calie, P. J., Boore, J. L., & Jansen, R. K. (2006). The complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Pelargonium* ×hortorum: organization and evolution of the largest and most highly rearranged chloroplast genome of land plants. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 23(11), 2175–2190. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msl089 Committee for the Pharmacopoeia of PR China. (2020). Pharmacopoeia of PR China, part I(pp.86,319). China Medical Science and Technology Press Daniell, H., Lin, C. S., Yu, M., & Chang, W. J. (2016). Chloroplast genomes: diversity, evolution, and applications in genetic engineering. Genome Biology, 17(1), 134. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1004-2 Fernández-Jiménez, A., Estefanía-Fresco, R., García-De-La-Fuente, A. M., Marichalar-Mendia, X., & Aguirre-Zorzano, L. A. (2021). Description of the modified vestibular incision subperiosteal tunnel access (m-VISTA) technique in the treatment of multiple Miller class III gingival recessions: a case series. BMC Oral Health, 21(1), 142. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01511-5 Floden, A., & Schilling, E. E. (2018). Using phylogenomics to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships within tribe Polygonateae (Asparagaceae), with a special focus on Polygonatum. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 129, 202–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vmpev.2018.08.017 Gansu Medical Products Administration. (2020). Standard of Chinese Traditional Medicine for Gansu Province (pp. 120). Lanzhou University Press. Gichira, A. W., Avoga, S., Li, Z., Hu, G., Wang, Q., & Chen, J. (2019). Comparative genomics of 11 complete chloroplast genomes of Senecioneae (Asteraceae) species: DNA barcodes and phylogenetics. Botanical Studies, 60(1), 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40529-019-0265-y Gong, H., Gan, X., Li, Y., Chen, J., Xu, Y., & Wang, S. (2023). Review on the genus *Polygonatum* polysaccharides: Extraction, purification, structural characteristics and bioactivities. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 229, 909–930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.12.320 Guo, X., Shi, N., Xie, P., Zhang, G., Liu, H., & Ji, Y. (2022). Plastome sequencing for accurate and effective authentication of *Polygonatum kingianum* (Asparagaceae). Industrial Crops and Products, 184, 115056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.115056 Hu, Y., Yin, M., Bai, Y., Chu, S., Zhang, L., & Peng, H. (2022). An Evaluation of Traits, Nutritional, and Medicinal Component Quality of Polygonatum cyrtonema Hua and P. sibiricum Red. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, 891775. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.891775 Jiang, M., Chen, H., He, S., Wang, L., Chen, A. J., & Liu, C.

(2018). Sequencing, Characterization, and Comparative Analyses of the Plastome of Caragana rosea var. rosea. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 19(5), 1419. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19051419 Jiao, J., Jia, X., Liu, P., Zhang, Q., Liu, F., & Liang, Z. (2018). Species identification of polygonati rhizoma in China by both morphological and molecular marker methods. Comptes Rendus Biologies, 341(2), 102–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2017.10.004 Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., Haeseler, A., & Jermiin, L. S. (2017). ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature Methods, 14(6), 587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285 Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 30(4), 772-7780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010 Kurtz, S., Choudhuri, J. V., Ohlebusch, E., Schleiermacher, C., Stoye, J., & Giegerich, R. (2001). REPuter: the manifold applications of repeat analysis on a genomic scale. Nucleic Acids Research, 29(22), 4633–4642. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.22.4633 Lee, S. Y., Chen, Z., Chen, Z., Chen, J., Zhang, X., & Liao, W. (2021). Plastid genome sequencing, identification of nuclear SNP markers, and quality assessment of medicinal rhizomatous herb Polygonatum odoratum (Asparagaceae) cultivars. Ecology and Evolution, 11(12), 7660–7676. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7599 Letunic, I., & Bork, P. (2021). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Research, 49(W1), W293–W296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab301 Li, R., Ma, P. F., Wen, J., & Yi, T. S. (2013). Complete sequencing of five analiaceae chloroplast genomes and the phylogenetic implications. PLoS One. 8(10), e78568. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078568 Li, X., Yang, Y., Henry, R. J., Rossetto, M, Wang, Y., & Chen, S. (2015). Plant DNA barcoding: from gene to genome. Biological reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 90(1), 157–166. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12104 Liu, C. K., Lei, J. Q., Jiang, Q. P., Zhou, S. D., & He, X. J. (2022). The complete plastomes of seven Peucedanum plants: comparative and phylogenetic analyses for the Peucedanum genus. BMC Plant Biology, 22(1), 101. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-022-03488-x Liu, C., Huang, L. F. (2020). Atlas of Chinese Medicinal Plants Chloroplast Genome (Vol.1) Science Press. Liu, H., Cheng, H., Xu, J., Hu, J., Zhao, C., & Liu, J. (2023). Genetic diversity and population structure of *Polygonatum cyrtonema* Hua in China using SSR markers. PLoS One, 18(8), e0290605. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0290605 Luo, L., Qiu, Y., Gong, L., Wang, W., & Wen, R. (2022). A Review of Polygonatum Mill. Genus: Its Taxonomy, Chemical Constituents, and Pharmacological Effect Due to Processing Changes. Molecules, 27(15), 4821. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27154821 Meng, Y., Nie, Z. L., Deng, T., Wen, J., & Yang, Y. P. (2014). Phylogenetics and evolution of phyllotaxy in the Solomon's seal genus Polygonatum (Asparagaceae: Polygonateae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 176(4), 435–451. https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12218 Newmaster, S. G., Fazekas, A. J., Steeves, R. A., & Janovec, J. (2008). Testing candidate plant barcode regions in the Myristicaceae. Molecular Ecology Resources, 8(3), 480–490. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.02002.x Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 32(1), 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300 Nurk, S., Meleshko, D., Korobeynikov, A., & Pevzner, P. A. (2017). metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Research*, 27(5), 824–834. http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.213959.116 Nyamgerel, N., Baasanmunkh, S., Oyuntsetseg, B., Bayarmaa, G. A., Erst, A., & Choi, H. J. (2023). Insight into chloroplast genome structural variation of the Mongolian endemic species Adonis mongolica (Ranunculaceae) in the Adonideae tribe. Scientific Reports,13(1), 22014. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-49381-x Parvathy, S. T., Udayasuriyan, V., & Bhadana, V. (2022). Codon usage bias. Molecular Biology Reports, 49(1), 539–565. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-021-06749-4 Qin, Y. Q., Zhang, M. H., Yang, C. Y., Nie, Z. L., Wen, J., & Meng, Y. (2024). Phylogenomics and divergence pattern of *Polygonatum*(Asparagaceae: Polygonateae) in the north temperate region. *Molecular* Phylogenetics and Evolution, 190, 107962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2023.107962 Rozas, J., Ferrer-Mata, A., Sánchez-DelBarrio, J. C., Guirao-Rico, S., Librado, P., & Sánchez-Gracia, A. (2017). DnaSP 6: DNA Sequence Polymorphism Analysis of Large Data Sets. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 34(12), 3299–3302. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx248 Sharp, P. M., & Li, W. H. (1986). An evolutionary perspective on synonymous codon usage in unicellular organisms. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 24(1-2), 28–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099948 Shi, L., Chen, H., Jiang, M., Wang, L., Wu, X., & Liu, C. (2019). CPGAVAS2, an integrated plastome sequence annotator and analyzer. Nucleic Acids Research, 47(W1), W65–W73. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz345 Shi, N., Yang, Z., Miao, K., Tang, L., Zhou, N., & Wen, G. (2023). Comparative analysis of the medicinal plant Polygonatum kingianum (Asparagaceae) with related verticillate leaf types of the *Polygonatum* species based on chloroplast genomes. Frontiers in Plant Science, 14, 1202634. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1202634 Sun, H., Chu, S., Jiang, L., Tong, Z., Cheng, M., & Huang, L. (2023). Integrative analysis of chloroplast genome, chemicals, and illustrations in Bencao literature provides insights into the medicinal value of Peucedanum huangshanense. Frontiers in Plant Science, 14, 1179915. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1179915 Tamura, M. N. (1993). Biosystematic studies on the genus *Polygonatum* (Liliaceae). Morphology of staminal filaments and karyology of eleven Eurasian species. Botanische Jahrbücher fur Systematik, 115, 1–26. Tillich, M., Lehwark, P., Pellizzer, T., Ulbricht-Jones, E. S., Fischer, A., & Greiner, S. (2017). GeSeq - versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes. Nucleic Acids Research, 45(W1), W6-W11. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx391 Wakasugi, T., Tsudzuki, J., Ito, S., Nakashima, K., Tsudzuki, T., & Sugiura, M. (1994). Loss of all ndh genes as determined by sequencing the entire chloroplast genome of the black pine *Pinus thunber*gii. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 91(21), 9794–9798. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.21.9794 Wang, D., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhu, J., & Yu, J. (2010). KaKs_-Calculator 2.0: a toolkit incorporating gamma-series methods and sliding window strategies. Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics, 8(1), 77-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1672-0229(10)60008-3 Wang, J., Qian, J., Jiang, Y., Chen, X., Zheng, B., & Duan, B. (2022). Comparative Analysis of Chloroplast Genome and New Insights Into Phylogenetic Relationships of Polygonatum and Tribe Polygonateae. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, 882189. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.882189 Wang, Y., Wang, S., Liu, Y., Yuan, Q., Sun, J., & Guo, L. (2021) Chloroplast genome variation and phylogenetic relationships of Atractylodes species. BMC Genomics, 22(1), 103. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07394-8 Wu, L., Cui, Y., Wang, Q., Xu, Z., Wang, Y., & Yao, H. (2021). Identification and phylogenetic analysis of five Crataegusspecies (Rosaceae) based on complete chloroplast genomes. Planta, 254(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-021-03667-4 Xia, M., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Chen, D., Shi, Y., & Qiu, Y. (2022). Out of the Himalaya-Hengduan Mountains: Phylogenomics, biogeography and diversification of *Polygonatum* Mill. (Asparagaceae) in the Northern Hemisphere. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 169: 107431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107431 Xu, K. L., Zhang, Z. M., Fang, W. L., Wang, Y. D., Jin, H. Y., & Ma, S. C. (2024). Comparative analyses of complete chloroplast genomes reveal interspecific difference and intraspecific variation of Tripterygium genus. Frontiers in Plant Science, 14, 1288943. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1288943 Yan, M., Dong, S., Gong, Q., Xu, Q., & Ge, Y. (2023). Comparative chloroplast genome analysis of four *Polygonatum* species insights into DNA barcoding, evolution, and phylogeny. Scientific Reports, 13(1), 16495. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-43638-1 Yang, J., Zhang, F., Ge, Y., Yu, W., Xue, Q., & Ding, X. (2022). Effects of geographic isolation on the Bulbophyllum chloroplast genomes. BMC Plant Biology, 22(1), 201. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-022-03592-y Zhang, D., Gao, F., Jakovlić, I., Zou, H., Zhang, J., & Wang, G. T. (2020). PhyloSuite: An integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. Molecular Ecology Resources, 20(1), 348-355. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096 Zhang, D., Ren, J., Jiang, H., Wanga, V. O., Dong, X., & Hu, G. (2023). Comparative and phylogenetic analysis of the complete chloroplast genomes of six Polygonatum species (Asparagaceae). Scientific Reports, 13(1), 7237. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-34083-1 Zhang, Q., Cai, Y., Zhang, L., Lu, M., Yang, L., & Jia, Q. (2024). The accumulation of active ingredients of *Polygonatum cyrtonema* Hua is associated with soil characteristics and bacterial community. Frontiers in Microbiology, 15, 1347204. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1347204 Zhang, Y., Du, L., Liu, A., Chen, J., Wu, L., & Wang, Y. (2016). The Complete Chloroplast Genome Sequences of Five Epimedium Species: Lights into Phylogenetic and Taxonomic Analyses. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 306. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00306 Zhao, L. H., Zhou, S. D., He, X. J., Wang, Z. X., & Peng, L. (2014). A cytotaxonomic analysis of Chinese Polygonatum (Asparagaceae) species. Nordic Journal of Botany, 32(4), 441–451. https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.00255 Zhao, P., Zhao, C., Li, X., Gao, Q., Huang, L., & Gao, W. (2018). The genus Polygonatum: A review of ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry and pharmacology. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 214, 274-291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2017.12.006 Zhao, P., Li, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Jia, H., & Gao, W. (2020). Comparative studies on characterization, saccharide mapping and antiglycation activity of polysaccharides from different Polygonatum ssp. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 186, 113243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113243 Zhao, R., Yin, S., Xue, J., Liu, C., Xing, Y., & Jia, D. (2022). Sequencing and comparative analysis of chloroplast genomes of three medicinal plants: Gentiana manshurica, G. scabra and G. triflora. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants,28(7), 1421–1435. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-022-01217-0 Zhejiang Food and Medical Products Administration. (2015)Processing Protocols of Chinese Traditional Medicine for Zhejiang Province(pp.82). Beijing: China Medical Science Press. EINSTEIN, A. (1921) The meaning of relativity. New Jersey: PRINCETON UNI-VERSITY. Zhu, S., Niu, Z., Xue, Q., Wang, H., Xie, X., & Ding, X. (2018). Accurate authentication of Dendrobium officinale and its closely related species by comparative analysis of complete plastomes. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B, 8(6), 969–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2018.05.009







