

CONFORMAL BOUNDS FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE (p,q) -LAPLACIAN SYSTEM

MOHAMMAD JAVAD HABIBI VOSTA KOLAEI¹ and shahroud azami¹

¹Imam Khomeini International University Faculty of Science

August 29, 2023

Abstract

Consider (M, g) as an m -dimensional compact connected Riemannian manifold without boundary. In this paper, we investigate the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(p, q)$ of the (p, q) -Laplacian system on M . Also, in the case of $p, q > n$ we will show that for arbitrary large $\lambda_1(p, q)$ there exists a Riemannian metric of volume one conformal to the standard metric of S^m .

CONFORMAL BOUNDS FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE (p, q)-LAPLACIAN SYSTEM

MOHAMMAD JAVAD HABIBI VOSTA KOLAEI AND SHAHROUD AZAMI

ABSTRACT. Consider (M, g) as an m -dimensional compact connected Riemannian manifold without boundary. In this paper, we investigate the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ of the (p, q) -Laplacian system on M . Also, in the case of $p, q > n$ we will show that for arbitrary large $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ there exists a Riemannian metric of volume one conformal to the standard metric of \mathbb{S}^m .

1. INTRODUCTION

Finding bounds of the eigenvalue for the Laplacian on a given manifold is a key aspect in Riemannian geometry. As an example, studying eigenvalues that appear as solutions of the Dirichlet or Neumann boundary value problems for curvature functions, is an interesting topic in geometric analysis. In recent years, because of the theory of self-adjoint operators, the spectral properties of linear Laplacian have been studied extensively. As an important example, mathematicians generally are interested in the spectrum of the Laplacian on compact manifolds with or without boundary or noncompact complete manifolds due to in these two cases the linear Laplacians can be uniquely extended to self-adjoint operators (see [8, 9]).

Since the study of the properties of spectrum of Laplacian (specially in Dirichlet condition) in infinitely stretched regions has applications in elasticity, electromagnetism and quantum physics, it attracts attention of many mathematicians and physicists. Recently Mao has proved the existence of discrete spectrum of linear Laplacian on a class of 4-dimensional rotationally symmetric quantum layers, which are noncompact noncomplete manifolds in [12].

Consider M as a compact, complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold. Let $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on M or $u \in W^{1,p}(M)$ where $W^{1,p}(M)$ is the Sobolev space. The p -Laplacian of u for $1 < p < \infty$ is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_p u &= \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \\ &= |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Delta u + (p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-4} (\operatorname{Hess} u)(\nabla u, \nabla u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{Hess} u)(X, Y) &= \nabla(\nabla u)(X, Y) \\ &= X.(Y.u) - (\nabla_X Y).u \quad X, Y \in \chi(M). \end{aligned}$$

Although the regularity theory of the p -Laplacian is very different from the usual Laplacian, many of the estimates for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian (for example for $p = 2$) can be generalized to general p . As an important example in [13], you can find remarkable results in a case of closed manifolds with

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 58C40.

Key words and phrases. Eigenvalue, The (p, q) -Laplacian system, geometric estimate, Riemannian metrics.

bounded Ricci curvature from below by $(m-1)K$ where $K > 0$. The special case $K = 0$ and general case $K \in \mathbb{R}$ are studied in [16] and [15], respectively.

Consider g as a Riemannian metric on M . The conformal class of g defined as

$$[g] = \{fg | f \in C^\infty(M), f > 0\},$$

also

$$G(n) = \{\gamma \in Diff(\mathbb{S}^n) | \gamma^*can \in [can]\},$$

for arbitrary natural n , denote the group of conformal diffeomorphisms of (\mathbb{S}^n, can) . It was proved before, for n big enough the set

$$I_n(M, [g]) = \{\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n | \phi^*can \in [g]\},$$

of conformal immersions from (M, g) to (\mathbb{S}^n, can) is nonempty. The spectrum of eigenvalues of geometric operators were studied before. As an example, for m -dimensional closed connected Riemannian manifold M with metric g

$$Spec(g) = \{0 = \lambda_0(g) < \lambda_1(g) \leq \lambda_2(g) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k(g) \leq \dots\},$$

where $\lambda_k(g)$ denotes the k -th eigenvalue of Laplace operator. Furthermore,

$$\lambda_k^c(M, [g]) = \sup_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \lambda_k(\tilde{g}) = \sup\{\lambda_k(\tilde{g}) V(\tilde{g})^{\frac{2}{m}}\},$$

where \tilde{g} is the metric conformal to g and $V(\tilde{g})$ is the volume element associated to \tilde{g} .

The conformal bound for the first eigenvalue of p -Laplacian system (1.1) was studied before in [14].

Theorem 1.1 (Matei [14]). *Let M be an m -dimensional compact manifold and $1 < p \leq m$. If g denotes the Riemannian metric on M and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$\lambda_{1,p}(M) \leq m^{\frac{p}{2}} (n+1)^{|\frac{p}{2}-1|} V_n^c(M, [g])^{\frac{p}{m}},$$

where

$$V_n^c(M, [g]) = \inf_{\phi \in I_n(M, [g])} \sup_{\gamma \in G(n)} \text{vol}(M, (\gamma \circ \phi)^*can).$$

2. THE (p, q) -LAPLACIAN SYSTEM

The (p, q) -elliptic quasilinear system is defined as

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = +\lambda |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta v & \text{in } M, \\ -\Delta_q v = +\lambda |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta u & \text{in } M, \\ u = v = 0 \quad (\text{Dirichlet}) \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla_\delta u = \nabla_\delta v = 0 \quad (\text{Neumann}) & \text{on } \partial M, \end{cases}$$

where δ is the outward normal on ∂M , $p > 1$, $q > 1$ and α, β are real numbers such that

$$\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \quad \frac{\alpha+1}{p} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} = 1.$$

In this situation λ is called an eigenvalue of system (2.1) and (u, v) are eigenfunctions corresponding to λ .

In the term of the first nontrivial eigenvalue of the (p, q) -elliptic quasilinear system (2.1), the first Neumann eigenvalue is defined as

$$\mu_{1,p,q} = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |\nabla u|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |\nabla v|^q \right); \quad u, v \in W^{1,p}(M) \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E} = 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_M |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1},$$

and also

$$\mathcal{B} = \int_M (u|u|^{p-2} + |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta v),$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \int_M (v|v|^{q-2} + |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta u).$$

N. Zographopoulos in [17] has discussed the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the (p, q) -elliptic quasilinear system (2.1). This type of systems have been found in different cases in physics. For example to the study of transport of electron temperature in a confined plasma and also to the study of electromagnetic phenomena in nonhomogeneous super conductors, you can see [3, 6]. Also for more details in electrochemistry and nuclear reaction, you can find useful results in [4] or [5], respectively.

Let (M, g) be an m -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. The first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the system (2.1) is defined as

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) = \inf_{u,v \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_M |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} dv} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |\nabla u|^p dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |\nabla v|^q dv \right] \right\},$$

where

$$(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(M) \times W_0^{1,q}(M) \setminus \{0\}.$$

As an example the second author has studied the first eigenvalue of the system (2.1) in [1]. In this paper by improving methods from Matei [14], we are going to study the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the system (2.1).

3. THE FIRST CASE, $p, q \leq m$

In this section we will prove that

Theorem 3.1. *Consider M as an m -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold and also $1 < p, q \leq m$. If $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the (p, q) -Laplacian system (2.1) and $p \geq q$, then for arbitrary natural n we get*

- If $p, q \geq 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} m^{\frac{p}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{p}{m}}.$$

- If $1 < q, p < 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)} m^{\frac{q}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{q}{m}}.$$

- If $1 < q < 2 \leq p$ then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^2-q)} m^{\frac{q}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{q}{m}}.$$

Before giving proof for this theorem, first of all, we consider two following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 (Chebyshev's inequality [2]). Consider $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ as two decreasing real sequences, then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \geq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right).$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, \text{can})$ be a smooth map which its level sets are zero measure, then there exist $\gamma, \delta \in G(n)$ and $p \geq q$ such that

- If $p, q \geq 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\eta|^q dv \right).$$

- If $1 < p, q < 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\eta|^q dv \right).$$

- If $1 < q < 2 \leq p$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^2-q)} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\psi|^q dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\eta|^q dv \right),$$

where $\eta = \delta \circ \phi$ and $\psi = \gamma \circ \phi$.

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$ there exist $\gamma, \beta \in G(n)$ and for $\psi_i = (\gamma \circ \phi)_i$ and $\eta_i = (\delta \circ \phi)_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ we see

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq \frac{1}{\int_M |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} dv} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\check{\psi}_i|^p dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\check{\eta}_i|^q dv \right],$$

where $\check{\eta}_i$ and $\check{\psi}_i$ are the decreasing rearrangement of η_i and ψ_i respectively, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \int_M |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} dv \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\check{\psi}_i|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\check{\eta}_i|^q dv.$$

By taking summation from $i = 1$ to $i = n+1$ from both sides we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \int_M |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} dv \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\check{\psi}_i|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\check{\eta}_i|^q \right),$$

or

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq \frac{1}{\int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} dv} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^p dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\eta}_i|^q dv \right].$$

First of all, let $p, q \geq 2$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\check{\psi}_i|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = |d\psi|^p,$$

and also

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\eta}_i|^q = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\check{\eta}_i|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\eta}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = |d\eta|^q.$$

Now by Chebyshev's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} &\geq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} \right) \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{p(\alpha+1)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{q(\beta+1)} \right) \\
 (3.1) \quad &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} (|\check{\psi}_i|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1)} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} (|\check{\eta}_i|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}(\beta+1)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Jensen's inequality in (3.1) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}(\beta+1)} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1)} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}(\beta+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}(\beta+1)} \\
 &= (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}(p(\alpha+1)+q(\beta+1))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

What we have done is dependent on two essential issues, first $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\psi_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\eta_i|^2 = 1$ and also we know that the map $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{R}{2}}$ for $\frac{R}{2} \geq 1$ is concave. Now under consideration $p \geq q$ we have

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\eta|^q dv \right).$$

In the case that $1 < p, q < 2$, since

$$|\psi_i| \leq 1, \quad |\eta_i| \leq 1,$$

and also the maps $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ and $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ are concave, by the similar way

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} &\geq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} \right) \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{(p+1)(\alpha+1)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{(q+1)(\beta+1)} \right) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} (|\check{\psi}_i|^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}(\alpha+1)} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} (|\check{\eta}_i|^2)^{\frac{q+1}{2}(\beta+1)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

And also by Jensen's inequality, it concludes that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}(\alpha+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q+1}{2}(\beta+1)} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}(\alpha+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}(\alpha+1)} \\
&\times \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{2}(\beta+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q+1}{2}(\beta+1)} \\
&= (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}((p+1)(\alpha+1)+(q+1)(\beta+1))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{p}{2}}$ and $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{q}{2}}$ are convex, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\check{\psi}_i|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&= (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} |d\psi|^p,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\eta}_i|^q &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\check{\eta}_i|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq (n+1)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\eta}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\
&= (n+1)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} |d\eta|^q.
\end{aligned}$$

These together with $p \geq q$ conclude that

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\eta|^q dv \right).$$

In the case that $1 < q < 2 \leq p$, since $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{p}{2}}$ is convex, in the similar way

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} &\geq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} \right) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{p(\alpha+1)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{p(\beta+1)} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} (|\check{\psi}_i|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1)} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} (|\check{\eta}_i|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(\beta+1)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and again by Jensen's inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\check{\psi}_i|^{\alpha+1} |\check{\eta}_i|^{\beta+1} \geq (n+1)^{-\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+\beta+2)}.$$

Furthermore, since $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{q}{2}}$ is convex, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^q = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\check{\psi}_i|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\psi}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = (n+1)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} |d\psi|^q,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\eta}_i|^q = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\check{\eta}_i|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\check{\eta}_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = (n+1)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} |d\eta|^q.$$

These together imply that

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^2-q)} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\psi|^q dv + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\eta|^q dv \right).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For $p, q \geq 2$, by Lemma 3.3 we saw that

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_M |d\psi|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_M |d\eta|^q \right),$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |d\psi|^p dv &\leq \left(\int_M |d\psi|^m dv \right)^{\frac{p}{m}} \\ \int_M |d\eta|^q dv &\leq \left(\int_M |d\eta|^m dv \right)^{\frac{q}{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

on the other side, $\psi = \gamma \circ \phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^n, can)$ is a conformal immersion and since

$$(\gamma \circ \phi)^* can = \frac{|d(\gamma \circ \phi)|^2}{m} = \frac{|d\psi|^2}{m},$$

from [14] we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |d\psi|^p dv &= m^{\frac{p}{2}} \text{vol}(M, (\gamma \circ \phi)^* can) \\ &\leq m^{\frac{p}{2}} \sup_{\gamma \in G(n)} \text{vol}(M, (\gamma \circ \phi)^* can), \end{aligned}$$

and in the similar way

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |d\eta|^q dv &= m^{\frac{q}{2}} \text{vol}(M, (\delta \circ \phi)^* can) \\ &\leq m^{\frac{q}{2}} \sup_{\delta \in G(n)} \text{vol}(M, (\delta \circ \phi)^* can). \end{aligned}$$

Now by taking "inf" with respect to ϕ in the above inequality we get

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} m^{\frac{p}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{p}{m}} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} m^{\frac{q}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{q}{m}} \right].$$

Since $p \geq q$, we have

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} m^{\frac{p}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{p}{m}}.$$

Also for $1 < p, q < 2$, in the similar context we get

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)} m^{\frac{q}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{q}{m}},$$

and also for $1 < q < 2 \leq p$ we find that

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^2-q)} m^{\frac{q}{2}} (V_n^c(M, [g]))^{\frac{q}{m}}.$$

□

The similar problem was studied before in [11] for surfaces and also for upper dimension manifold there are some results in [7] for p -Laplacian operator. Li and Yau [11], proved that the upper bound for $V_n^c(M, [g])$ just depend on the genus of M . They actually proved that for orientable surface M (when $m = 2$) and for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$V_n^c(M, [g]) \leq 4\pi \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right],$$

and also for non-orientable surface M and $n \geq 4$ we get

$$V_n^c(M, [g]) \leq 12\pi \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right],$$

where $\tau(M)$ is genus of M and $[.]$ denotes the bracket function.

Remark 3.4. Consider M as a compact manifold and $m \geq p \geq q$. Let $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the (p, q) -Laplacian (2.1), if M is orientable and $n \geq 2$, then

- If $p \geq q \geq 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} (8\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

- If $1 < q \leq p < 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)} (8\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

- If $1 < q < 2 \leq p$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^2-q)} (8\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Also if M is non-orientable and for $n \geq 4$,

- if $p \geq q \geq 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} (24\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

- If $1 < q \leq p < 2$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)} (24\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

- If $1 < q < 2 \leq p$, then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^2-q)} (24\pi)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left[\frac{\tau(M) + 3}{2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

4. THE SECOND CASE, $p, q > m$

Let $r \in [0, \pi]$ be a geodesic distance and $\epsilon > 0$, then the radial function $f_\epsilon : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$f_\epsilon(r) = \epsilon^{\frac{4p}{m(p-m)}} \cdot \chi_{[0, \frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon] \cup [\frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon, \pi]}(r) + \chi_{(\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon)}(r),$$

where χ is denoted as characteristic function. Now let

$$R_\epsilon(u, v) := \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} dv_{can}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |du|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |dv|^q dv_{can} \right].$$

Then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) = \inf_{u,v \neq 0} \left\{ R_\epsilon(u, v) \mid (u, v) \in W_0^{1,p} \times W_0^{1,q} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

It seems clear that $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon)$ is a parametrization for the first eigenvalue of the (p, q) -Laplacian system (2.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Consider M as an m -dimensional compact manifold. If $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the (p, q) -Laplacian system (2.1) and $p \geq q > m$ then*

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{p}{m}} = \infty.$$

This theorem actually gives us the comparison between $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon)$ and $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\mathbb{S}^m, can)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Consider the radial functions $\bar{u}_\epsilon, \bar{v}_\epsilon : \mathbb{S}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_\epsilon^p(r) &= \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |u_\epsilon(r, \cdot)|^p dv_{can}, \\ \bar{v}_\epsilon^q(r) &= \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |v_\epsilon(r, \cdot)|^q dv_{can}, \end{aligned}$$

where V stands for $\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}, can)$. By taking derivative with respect to r we get

$$p \bar{u}_\epsilon^{p-1} \bar{u}'_\epsilon = \frac{p}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |u_\epsilon|^{p-2} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial r} dv_{can},$$

and the similar context holds for v and q . By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_\epsilon^{p-1} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon| &\leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |u_\epsilon|^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial r} \right| dv_{can} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{V} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |u_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \left| \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial r} \right|^p dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes that

$$(4.1) \quad |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^p \leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \left| \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial r} \right|^p dv_{can} \leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |du_\epsilon|^p dv_{can}.$$

Since $\frac{\alpha+1}{2} + \frac{\beta+1}{2} = 1$, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |u_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |v_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |u_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |v_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{q}},$$

and again by Hölder's inequality we see

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |\bar{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |\bar{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} &= V \cdot \int_0^\pi f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |\bar{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |\bar{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} \sin r^{m-1} dr \\
&= V \cdot \int_0^\pi \left[f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |u_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |v_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{q}} \sin r^{m-1} \right] dr \\
&= \int_0^\pi \left[f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |u_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |v_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{q}} \sin r^{m-1} \right] dr \\
&\geq \int_0^\pi f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |u_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |v_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} \right) \sin r^{m-1} dr \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |u_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |v_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} &= V \cdot \int_0^\pi f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^p \sin r^{m-1} dr \\
&\leq \int_0^\pi \left[\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} |du_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} \right] f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} \sin r^{m-1} dr \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |du_\epsilon|^p dv_{can},
\end{aligned}$$

and in the similar way

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |\bar{v}'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |dv_\epsilon|^q dv_{can}.$$

If \mathbb{S}_+^m and \mathbb{S}_-^m denote the upper and lower hemispheres centered at x_0 and $-x_0$ respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) &\geq \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |\bar{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |\bar{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |\bar{v}'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right] \\
&\geq \min\{\lambda_{1,p,q}^+, \lambda_{1,p,q}^-\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{1,p,q}^+$ and $\lambda_{1,p,q}^-$ mean that taking above integrals on upper and lower hemispheres respectively. Without loss of generality, let

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \geq \lambda_{1,p,q}^+(\epsilon),$$

which means

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \geq \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |\bar{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |\bar{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} |\bar{v}'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right].$$

Now consider two functions $a_\epsilon \in W^{1,p}(M)$ and $c_\epsilon \in W^{1,q}(M)$ as

$$a_\epsilon = \begin{cases} \bar{u}_\epsilon & [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon], \\ \bar{u}_\epsilon(\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon) & (\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}], \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_\epsilon = \begin{cases} \bar{v}_\epsilon & [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon], \\ \bar{v}_\epsilon (\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon) & (\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}], \end{cases}$$

also let $b_\epsilon = \bar{u}_\epsilon - a_\epsilon$ and $d_\epsilon = \bar{v}_\epsilon - c_\epsilon$. Obviously, on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon]$ and $(\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ we have $b_\epsilon = d_\epsilon = 0$ and $a_\epsilon = c_\epsilon = 0$ respectively. From above definitions we see

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^p &= |a'_\epsilon|^p + |b'_\epsilon|^p, \\ |\bar{v}'_\epsilon|^q &= |c'_\epsilon|^q + |d'_\epsilon|^q, \\ |\bar{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} &\leq 2^\alpha (|a_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} + |b_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1}), \\ |\bar{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} &\leq 2^\beta (|c_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} + |d_\epsilon|^{\beta+1}). \end{aligned}$$

And also from definition of $f_\epsilon(r)$ and substituting in formulae of $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) &\geq \frac{2^{-(\alpha+\beta)}}{\mathcal{A}} \left[\epsilon^{-\frac{2p}{m}} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |a'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |c'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |b'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |d'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |a_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |c_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} + \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |a_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |d_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} + \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |b_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |c_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |b_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |d_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\mathcal{A} = 1,$$

so obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) &\geq 2^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \left[\epsilon^{-\frac{2p}{m}} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |a'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |c'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |b'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |d'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We consider two different cases, on the one hand,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |a'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |c'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right] > 0,$$

then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{p}{m}} \geq 2^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \epsilon^{-\frac{p}{m}} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |a'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |c'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right),$$

which concludes that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{p}{m}} = \infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |a'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |c'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right] = 0,$$

then we choose the sequence $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ in the case that $a_{\epsilon_n} + c_{\epsilon_n} \rightarrow a + c$ where a and c are real constants. Now since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_{\epsilon_n}^{\frac{m}{2}} |a_{\epsilon_n}|^{\alpha+1} |c_{\epsilon_n}|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_{\epsilon_n}^{\frac{m}{2}} (|a_{\epsilon_n}|^{\alpha+1} |c_{\epsilon_n}|^{\beta+1} - |a|^{\alpha+1} |c|^{\beta+1}) dv_{can} \\ &\quad + (|a|^{\alpha+1} |c|^{\beta+1}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_{\epsilon_n}^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{can} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and for $p, q > m$, $\{f_{\epsilon_n}\}$ is uniformly bounded, thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} f_{\epsilon_n}^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{can} = 0.$$

By substituting above formulae in (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) &\geq \frac{2^{-(\alpha+\beta)}}{\mathcal{B}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |b'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |d'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right] \\ &= \frac{2^{-(\alpha+\beta)}}{\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathcal{D} \sin r^{m-1} dr} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p} |b'_\epsilon|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{q} |d'_\epsilon|^q \right) \sin r^{m-1} dr \\ &\geq 2^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon_n \right) \right)^{m-1} \frac{\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |b'_\epsilon|^p dr + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |d'_\epsilon|^q dr}{\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathcal{D} dr}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |a_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |d_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} + \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |b_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |c_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^m} |b_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |d_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D} = |a_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |d_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} + |b_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |c_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} + |b_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |d_\epsilon|^{\beta+1}.$$

Consider $\bar{a}_{\epsilon_n} \in W_0^{1,p}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ as

$$\bar{a}_{\epsilon_n}(x) = a_{\epsilon_n} \left(x + \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon_n \right).$$

The similar way holds for $\bar{b}_{\epsilon_n} \in W_0^{1,p}(-\epsilon_n, \epsilon_n)$ and $\bar{c}_{\epsilon_n}, \bar{d}_{\epsilon_n} \in W_0^{1,q}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, and also these functions are even, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |b'_\epsilon|^p dr + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |d'_\epsilon|^q dr}{\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon_n}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathcal{D} dr} &= \frac{\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_0^{\epsilon_n} |b'_\epsilon|^p dr + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_0^{\epsilon_n} |d'_\epsilon|^q dr}{\int_0^{\epsilon_n} \mathcal{D} dr} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{-\epsilon_n}^{\epsilon_n} |b'_\epsilon|^p dr + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{-\epsilon_n}^{\epsilon_n} |d'_\epsilon|^q dr}{\int_{-\epsilon_n}^{\epsilon_n} \mathcal{D} dr} \\ &\geq \lambda_{1,p,q}(-\epsilon_n, \epsilon_n) \\ &= \epsilon_n^{-p} \lambda_{1,p,q}(-1, 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \geq 2^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \cdot \epsilon_n^{-p} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon_n \right) \right)^{m-1} \lambda_{1,p,q}(-1, 1),$$

which concludes finally

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{p}{m}} = \infty.$$

□

For $\epsilon > 0$, let $\tilde{f}_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^m)$ be a radial function such that $\tilde{f}_\epsilon \leq f_\epsilon$. Also on $[\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$ we get

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(r) = f_\epsilon(r) = 1,$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(\pi - r) = \tilde{f}_\epsilon(r).$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can}) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} \sin r^{m-1} dr dv_{\text{can}} \\ &> V \cdot \int_{\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \sin r^{m-1} dr \\ &> \epsilon V \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon\right) \right]^{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $V = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m, \text{can})$. If \tilde{u}_ϵ and \tilde{v}_ϵ denote the eigenfunctions for $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\mathbb{S}^n, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can})$, and $\tilde{u}_\epsilon^+, \tilde{u}_\epsilon^-, \tilde{v}_\epsilon^+, \tilde{v}_\epsilon^-$ denote the positive and the negative parts of \tilde{u}_ϵ and \tilde{v}_ϵ respectively. For the p -Laplacian (1.1) it was proved before in [14] that

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_{1,p}(\mathbb{S}^m, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can}) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{u}_\epsilon^+|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon^+|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{u}_\epsilon^-|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon^-|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}}.$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let $p \geq q > m$ and $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ denotes the first eigenvalue for the (p, q) -Laplacian system (2.1) then for $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ arbitrary large, there exists the Riemannian metric with volume one on \mathbb{S}^m conformal to the standard metric can.*

Proof. By expanding (4.3) on the (p, q) -Laplacian system (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\mathbb{S}^m, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can}) &= \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon^+|^{\alpha+1} |\tilde{v}_\epsilon^+|^{\beta+1} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{u}_\epsilon^+|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{v}_\epsilon^+|^q \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon^-|^{\alpha+1} |\tilde{v}_\epsilon^-|^{\beta+1} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{u}_\epsilon^-|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{v}_\epsilon^-|^q \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\tilde{u}_{\epsilon,t} = t\tilde{u}_\epsilon^+ + \tilde{u}_\epsilon^-,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1,p,q}(\mathbb{S}^m, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can}) &= \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |\tilde{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{u}_\epsilon|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{v}_\epsilon|^q \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right] \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |\tilde{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} dv_{\text{can}}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{u}_\epsilon|^p \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |d\tilde{v}_\epsilon|^q \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m-p}{2}} dv_{\text{can}} \right] \\
&\geq \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Above inequalities with the Theorem 4.1 and $p \geq q$ together give us

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\mathbb{S}^m, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can}) \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can})^{\frac{p}{m}} \geq V^{\frac{p}{m}} \cdot \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{p}{m}} = \infty.$$

Now set

$$h_\epsilon = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m, \tilde{f}_\epsilon \text{can})^{-\frac{2}{m}} \tilde{f}_\epsilon,$$

then we get

$$\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m, h_\epsilon \text{can}) = 1,$$

and

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\mathbb{S}^m, h_\epsilon \text{can}) = \infty.$$

□

Remark 4.3. Someone may consider the situation $q < m < p$, in this case we just take the radial function $f_\epsilon : \mathbb{S}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f_\epsilon(r) = \epsilon^{\frac{4q}{m(m-q)}} \cdot \chi_{[0, \frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon] \cup [\frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon, \pi]}(r) + \chi_{(\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon)}(r),$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\epsilon(u, v) &:= \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} dv_{\text{can}}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{q-m}{2}} |du|^p dv_{\text{can}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{q-m}{2}} |dv|^q dv_{\text{can}} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) = \inf_{u,v \neq 0} \left\{ R_\epsilon(u, v) \mid (u, v) \in W_0^{1,p} \times W_0^{1,q} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Now by the definition of \tilde{u}_ϵ and \tilde{v}_ϵ in the Theorem 4.1 and by Hölder's inequality we see

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} dv_{\text{can}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |u_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} dv_{\text{can}},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{q-m}{2}} |\tilde{u}'|^p dv_{\text{can}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \tilde{f}_\epsilon^{\frac{q-m}{2}} |du|^p dv_{\text{can}},$$

also the same way holds for v and q . These together give us

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) &\geq \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{m}{2}} |\bar{u}_\epsilon|^{\alpha+1} |\bar{v}_\epsilon|^{\beta+1} dv_{can}} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{q-m}{2}} |\bar{u}'_\epsilon|^p dv_{can} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} f_\epsilon^{\frac{q-m}{2}} |\bar{v}'_\epsilon|^q dv_{can} \right] \\ &\geq \min\{\lambda_{1,p,q}^+, \lambda_{1,p,q}^-\}.\end{aligned}$$

So by the same way as Theorem 4.1 we get

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{q}{m}} = \infty.$$

It means that the same context as Corollary 4.2 holds in the case $q < m < p$.

5. THE (p, q) -LAPLACIAN EQUATION, A NEW CHARACTERIZATION

One may consider the (p, q) -Laplacian equation as

$$\Delta_p u + \Delta_q u = \operatorname{div} \left((|\nabla u|^{p-2} + |\nabla u|^{q-2}) \nabla u \right),$$

for $1 < q < p < \infty$ and also

$$u \in W_0^{1,p}(M) \cap W_0^{1,q}(M).$$

Also it can be written as

$$(5.1) \quad -\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u,$$

where for arbitrary $v \in W_0^{1,p} \cap W_0^{1,q}$, it is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v d\mu + \int_M |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \nabla v dv \\ &= \lambda \int_M |u|^{p-2} u v dv,\end{aligned}$$

and λ is called its eigenvalue associated to the eigenvector u . Similar to the previous one, in this case the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the (p, q) -Laplacian equation (5.1) is defined as

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) = \inf_{u \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\int_M |u|^p dv} \left(\int_M |\nabla u|^p dv + \int_M |\nabla u|^q dv \right) \mid u \in W_0^{1,p}(M) \cap W_0^{1,q}(M) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Consider M as an m -dimensional compact manifold and $1 < q < p \leq m$. If $\lambda_{1,p,q}^D$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the (p, q) -Laplacian equation (5.1), then*

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq (n+1)^{|\frac{n}{2}-1|} \left[m^{\frac{n}{2}} (V_c^n(M, [g]))^{\frac{p}{m}} + m^{\frac{q}{m}} (V_c^n(M, [g]))^{\frac{q}{m}} \right].$$

Before giving the proof for this theorem we consider the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider ϕ as same as Lemma 3.3. If $\lambda_{1,p,q}^D$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the (p, q) -Laplacian equation (5.1), then*

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq (n+1)^{|1-\frac{p}{2}|} \left[\int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \int_M |d\psi|^q dv \right],$$

where $\psi = \gamma \circ \phi$ and $\gamma \in G(n)$.

Proof. From the definition of $\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M)$ we see

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq \frac{\int_M |d\psi_i|^p dv + \int_M |d\psi_i|^q dv}{\int_M |\psi_i|^p dv},$$

thus

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\int_M |d\psi_i|^p dv + \int_M |d\psi_i|^q dv}{\int_M |\psi_i|^p dv}.$$

First, let $p \geq q \geq 2$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\psi_i|^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\psi_i|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\psi_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = |d\psi|^p.$$

Also in the similar way for q we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\psi_i|^q \leq |d\psi|^q.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\psi_i|^2 = 1$ and the map $x \rightarrow x^{\frac{p}{2}}$ is concave we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\psi_i|^p \geq (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\psi_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}},$$

and

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq (n+1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \left[\int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \int_M |d\psi|^q dv \right].$$

Now let $1 < q \leq p < 2$, since $|\psi_i| < 1$ and also $|\psi_i|^2 \leq |\psi_i|^p$ and

$$1 = \text{vol}(M, g) = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\psi_i|^2 dv \leq \int_M \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\psi_i|^p dv,$$

we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\psi_i|^p = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\psi_i|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\psi_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} |d\psi|^p,$$

similarly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\psi_i|^q = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (|d\psi_i|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |d\psi_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}} |d\psi|^q,$$

which finally give us

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq (n+1)^{|1-\frac{p}{2}|} \left[\int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \int_M |d\psi|^q dv \right].$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Consider $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^m, \text{can})$ as a conformal immersion. From Lemma 5.2 there exists $\gamma \in G(n)$ such that

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq (n+1)^{|\frac{p}{2}-1|} \left[\int_M |d\psi|^p dv + \int_M |d\psi|^q dv \right].$$

By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\int_M |d\psi|^p dv \leq \left(\int_M |d\psi|^m dv \right)^{\frac{p}{m}},$$

also the similar context holds for q . Since $\gamma \circ \phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^m, can)$ is a conformal immersion and

$$(\gamma \circ \phi)^* can = \frac{|d(\gamma \circ \phi)|^2}{m} g,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |d(\gamma \circ \phi)|^p dv &= m^{\frac{p}{2}} \text{vol}(M, (\gamma \circ \phi)^* can) \\ &\leq m^{\frac{p}{2}} \sup_{\gamma \in G(n)} \text{vol}(M, (\gamma \circ \phi)^* can), \end{aligned}$$

also the similar context holds for q . Therefore, these together and by taking \inf respect to ϕ we find that

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}^D(M) \leq (n+1)^{|\frac{p}{2}-1|} \left[m^{\frac{p}{2}} (V_c^n(M, [g]))^{\frac{p}{m}} + m^{\frac{q}{m}} (V_c^n(M, [g]))^{\frac{q}{m}} \right].$$

□

Remark 5.3. It seems clear that under consideration $p \geq q$, the (p, q) -Laplacian equation (5.1) turns into the known p -Laplacian system (1.1) which was studied extensively in [14]. So by the similar way of Matei [14] and Theorem 4.1, for an m -dimensional compact manifold M and $p \geq q > m$ we get

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1,p,q}^D(\epsilon) \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{p}{m}} = \infty.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Azami, *The first eigenvalue of some (p, q) -Laplacian and geometric estimate*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 33 (2018), 317-323.
- [2] A. Besenyei, *Picard's weighty proof of Chebyshev's sum inequality*, MAA Mathematics Magazine. Vol 88, 2015.
- [3] K. Brown and Y. Zhang, *On the system of reaction-diffusion equations describing a population with two age groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 282, (2003), 444-452.
- [4] Y. Choi, Z. Huan and R. Lui, *Global existence of solutions of a strongly coupled quasilinear parabolic system with application to electrochemistry*, J. Diff. Equ. 194, (2003), 406-432.
- [5] A. Constantin, J. Escher and Z. Yin, *Global solutions for quasilinear parabolic systems*, J. Diff. Equ. 197, (2004), 73-84.
- [6] E. Dancer and Y. Du, *Effects of certain degeneracies in the predator-prey model*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 34, (2002), 292-314.
- [7] A. El Soufi and S. Ilias, *Immersion minimales, premi r valeur propre du Laplacien et volume conforme*, Math. Ann., 275 (1986), 257-267.
- [8] M. Gaffney, *A special Stokes's theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Math., 60 (1) (1954), 140-145.
- [9] M. Gaffney, *The heat equation method of Milgram and Rosenbloom for open Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Math., 60 (3) (1954), 458-466.
- [10] M. Habibi Vosta Kolaei and S. Azami, *Geometric estimates of the first eigenvalue of (p, q) -elliptic quasilinear system under integral curvature condition*, J. Part. Diff. Eq., 34(2021), 348-368.
- [11] P. Li and Y. Yau, *A new conformal invariant and it's application to the Wilmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces*, Invent. Math., 69(1982), 269-291.
- [12] J. Mao, *A class of rotationally symmetric quantum layers of dimension 4*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 397 (2) (2013), 791-799.

- [13] A. Matei, *First eigenvalue for the p -Laplace operator*, Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A 39 (8) (2000), 1051-1068.
- [14] A. Matei, *Conformal bounds for the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. 80(2013), 88-95.
- [15] A. Naber, D. Valtorta, *Sharp estimates on the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian with negative Ricci lower bound*, Math. Z. 277 (3-4) (2014), 867-891.
- [16] D. Valtorta, *Sharp estimate on the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (13) (2012), 4974-4994.
- [17] N. Zographopoulos, *On the principal eigenvalue of degenerate quasilinear elliptic systems*, Math. Nachr. 281, (2008), 1351-1365.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, IMAM KHOMEINI
INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, QAZVIN, IRAN.

Email address: MJ.Habibi@Edu.ikiu.ac.ir

Email address: azami@Sci.ikiu.ac.ir