Intra-patient comparison of atopic dermatitis skin transcriptome shows differences between tape-strips and biopsies

Emma Guttman-Yassky¹, Ester Del Duca¹, Helen He¹, Ying Liu¹, Angel D. Pagan¹, Eden David¹, Julia Cheng¹, Britta Carroll¹, Yael Renert-Yuval¹, Jonathan Bar¹, Yeriel Estrada¹, Catherine Maari², Etienne Saint-Cyr Proulx², James Krueger³, and Robert Bissonnette²

¹Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai ²Innovaderm Research ³The Rockefeller University

March 22, 2023

Journal: Allergy

Title: Intra-patient comparison of atopic dermatitis skin transcriptome shows differences between tape-strips and biopsies

Ester Del Duca,^{1,2,*} Helen He,^{1*} Ying Liu¹, Angel D. Pagan¹, Eden Davis¹, Julia Cheng¹, Britta Carroll¹, Yael Renert-Yuval^{1,3}, Jonathan Bar¹, Yeriel D. Estrada¹, Catherine Maari,⁴ Etienne Saint-Cyr Proulx⁴, James G. Krueger³, Robert Bissonnette^{4,**}, Emma Guttman-Yassky¹ **

*,** these authors equally contributed to the work

1. Department of Dermatology, and Laboratory of Inflammatory Skin Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA

2. Department of Dermatology, University of Magna Graecia, Catanzaro, Italy

3. Laboratory for Investigative Dermatology, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York USA

4. Innovaderm Research Inc., Montreal Quebec, Canada

Ester Del Duca: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7948-8536

Helen He: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4752-4667

Ying Liu: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6208-0489

Angel D. Pagan: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4913-6705

Eden Davis: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4557-6436

Julia Cheng: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7909-9550

Britta Carroll: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0154-283X

Yael Renert-Yuval: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2876-3048

Jonathan Bar: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7366-9416

Yeriel D. Estrada: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5172-6747

Catherine Maari : https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9719-0810

Etienne Saint-Cyr Proulx: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3346-3891

James Krueger: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3775-1778

Robert Bissonnette: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5927-6587

Emma Guttman-Yassky: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9363-324X

Corresponding author:

Emma Guttman-Yassky, MD, PhD

Department of Dermatology and Laboratory of Inflammatory Skin Diseases

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

5 East 98th Street, New York, NY, 10029

Email: emma.guttman@mountsinai.org

Funding sources: none

Conflicts of Interest: EGY is an employee of Mount Sinai and has received research funds (grants paid to the institution) from: Abbvie, Amgen, Asana BioSciences, AstraZeneca, Akari, AnaptysBio, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Galderma, Ichnos Sciences, Innovaderm Research, Janssen, Kiniksa, Kyowa Kirin, Leo Pharma, Novartis, Pfizer, RAPT Therapeutics, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sienna Biopharma, UCB, Union Therapeutics; and is a consultant for Abbvie, Almirall, Amgen, Arena Pharmaceuticals, Asana BioSciences, AstraZeneca, Aslan Pharmaceuticals, Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Cara Therapeutics, Castle Biosciences, DBV Technologies, DS Biopharma, Eli Lilly, Evommune, Galderma, Genzyme Corporation, GSK, Ichnos Sciences, Incyte, Janssen, Kyowa Kirin, Leo Pharma, Novan, Novartis, RAPT Therapeutics, Sanofi, Sato Pharmaceutical, Siolta, Union Therapeutics, and Ventyx. **RB** is an Advisory Board Member, Consultant, Speaker and/or Investigator for and receives honoraria and/or grant from AbbVie, Arcutis, Arena Pharma, Aristea, Asana BioSciences, Bellus Health, Bluefin Biomedicine, Boehringer-Ingelheim, CARA, Dermavant, Eli Lilly, EMD Serono, Evidera, Galderma, GSK, Inmagene Bio, Incyte, Kiniksa, Kyowa Kirin, LEO Pharma, Novan, Pfizer, Ralexar, RAPT, Regeneron, Respivant, Sanofi-Genzyme, Sienna and Target RWE. He is also an employee and shareholder of Innovaderm Research. ESCP has served as an advisory board member, consultant, speaker and/or investigator and received honoraria and/or grants from AbbVie, Arcutis, Arena Pharma, Aristea, Asana BioSciences, Bellus Health, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CARA, Celgene, Dermavant, Eli Lilly, Galderma, GlaxoSmithKline, Incyte, Kiniksa, LEO Pharma, Neokera, Pfizer, Regeneron, Sanofi-Genzyme, Sienna, Target RWE, and UCB Pharma. All other authors have no conflict of interest within the scope of the submitted work.

IRB status: Approved by both the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Board for clinical activities at Innovaderm

Reprint requests: None

Author Contribution: EGY and RB : conceptualization of the study, editing of the draft and review; EDD andHH : performed the experiments related to the study, critically analyzed and interpreted the data, drafted and reviewed the manuscript;HH, JB and BC performed the statistical analyses;YED, CM, ESCP participated to the data collection and reviewing of the paper; YL, EDD performed the experiments, contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data and to drafting and reviewing the manuscript YRY, ED, ADP , JC and JGK : contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data and to drafting and reviewing the manuscript

Manuscript word count: 3140/3500

Abstract word count: 227/250

References: 124 Figures: 5 Supplementary figures: 1 Tables: 1 Supplementary tables :1 Keywords: Atopic dermatitis; biopsies; immune; pruritus; tape-strips Abbreviations AD: Atopic dermatitis CCL: CC chemokine ligand CXCL: C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand DC: Dendritic cell DEG: Differentially expressed gene FDR: False discovery rate IDEC: Inflammatory dendritic epidermal cell IGA: Investigator's Global Assessment IL: Interleukin LC: Langerhans cell Th: T-helper TSS: Total Skin Score ABSTRACT

Background

Our knowledge of etiopathogenesis of atopic dermatitis (AD) is largely derived from skin biopsies, which are associated with pain, scarring and infection. In contrast, tape-stripping is a minimally invasive, nonscarring technique to collect skin samples.

Methods

To construct a global AD skin transcriptomic profile comparing tape-strips to whole skin biopsies, we performed RNA-seq on tape-strips and biopsies taken from the lesional skin of 20 moderate-to-severe AD patients and the skin of 20 controls. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined by fold-change (FCH)[?]2.0 and false discovery rate<0.05.

Results

We detected 4,104 (2,513 Up; 1,591 Down) and 1,273 (546 Up; 727 Down) DEGs in AD versus controls, in tape-strips and biopsies, respectively. Although both techniques captured dysregulation of key immune genes, tape-strips showed higher FCHs for innate immunity (IL-1B, IL-8), dendritic cell (ITGAX/CD11C, FCER1A), Th2 (IL-13, CCL17, TNFRSF4/OX40), and Th17 (CCL20, CXCL1) products, while biopsies showed higher up-regulation of Th22 associated genes (IL-22, S100As) and dermal cytokines (IFN- γ , CCL26). Itch-related genes (IL-31, TRPV3) were preferentially captured by tape-strips. Epidermal barrier abnormalities were detected in both techniques, with terminal differentiation defects (FLG2, PSORS1C2) better represented by tape-strips and epidermal hyperplasia changes (KRT16, MKI67) better detected by biopsies.

Conclusions

Tape-strips and biopsies capture overlapping but distinct features of the AD molecular signature, suggesting their respective utility for monitoring specific AD-related immune, itch, and barrier abnormalities in clinical trials and longitudinal studies.

INTRODUCTION

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common inflammatory skin disease affecting up to 10% of adults and 20% of children worldwide.^{1,2} Our knowledge of AD pathomechanisms is largely derived from molecular studies in skin biopsies that demonstrate primarily Th2/Th22 inflammation with variable Th1/Th17 skewing, as well as epidermal barrier dysregulation, including epidermal hyperplasia and abnormalities in terminal differentiation, tight junctions, and lipid biosynthesis/metabolism.³⁻¹² While skin biopsies were instrumental to deciphering disease characteristics, they are associated with pain, scarring, and infection risk, restricting their use in large-scale clinical trials and longitudinal studies, which are critical for adults and even more in children.¹³⁻¹⁵ Tape-strips are a minimally invasive, nonscarring approach to collect skin samples to the level of the upper stratum granulosum.¹⁶ Recent studies have demonstrated significant utility of tape-strips in profiling AD skin in both adults and children,^{6,16-30} and in monitoring disease activity in response to treatment.³¹⁻³⁴

Comprehensive molecular intra-patient comparison of tape-strips to full-thickness biopsies is currently lacking. Moreover, there is no detailed comparison of immune, barrier, and itch-related markers between the two techniques. ^{16,35,36} Several studies described differences between tape-strips and biopsies using a limited panel of markers. Andersson et al. analyzed only 17 immune markers in AD skin and noted that CCL17 and markers of innate immunity were significantly up-regulated and correlated with disease activity in tape-strips but not in same-cohort biopsies.³⁶ Simonsen et al. assessed 10 cytokines in AD lesions and noted greater up-regulation in the innate immunity marker IL-1B in tape-strips, while dysregulation of Th2 markers and IFN- γ were only detected in biopsies.³⁵ Of note, this study measured mRNA expression in biopsies while proteomics was performed in tape-strips. Kim et al. measured mRNA of 5 epidermal differentiation markers in tape-strips, reporting positive correlations with protein levels in biopsies.¹⁶ Utilizing RNAseq, Sølberg et al. reported that structural genes like keratins were higher in biopsies while immune markers IL-8 and IL-36A were higher in tape-strips.¹⁸ Dyjack et al. analyzed tape-strips from non-lesional AD skin, noting better representation of cornification genes in tape-strips compared to biopsies.³⁷ These studies enzymatically separated the epidermis and dermis in biopsies, potentially overlooking important pathogenic features within the entire AD skin transcriptome.

Herein, we present a global RNA-seq intra-patient profiling of tape-strips and whole-skin biopsies in moderate-to-severe AD as compared to healthy subjects. Our data highlight features that are better captured by tape-strips, including Th2 polarization, itch pathway activation, and terminal differentiation impairment, whereas Th22 changes and epidermal hyperplasia are more pronounced in biopsies. These findings suggest that tape-strips and biopsies each preferentially detect unique disease characteristics, which should be considered when selecting skin sampling approaches in clinical trials or longitudinal studies.

METHODS

Study population and characteristics

We enrolled 20 adults with moderate-to-severe AD (15 females and 5 males, mean age 31 years) and 20 healthy volunteers (11 females and 9 males, mean age 40.3 years) under institutional review board-approved protocols (**Table 1**). Clinical severity scores were measured using a 5-grade AD Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) score (mean score 2.6, **Table 1**). Exclusion criteria included immunodeficiency, use of biologics within the previous 12 weeks, use of systemic steroids, use of immunosuppressants or phototherapy within the previous 4 weeks, and use of any topical medication within the previous 2 weeks.

Tape strip collection, biopsy collection, RNA extraction, and RNA-seq

Twenty consecutive large D-Squame tape-strips (CuDerm, Dallas, Texas) were taken from the lesional skin of AD patients and from the skin of healthy controls. The first tape-strip was discarded, and remaining samples were frozen at -80°C as previously described.^{17,38} Four millimeters skin biopsies were taken from the lesional skin of AD patients and from the skin of controls (same subjects as tape-strips). RNA was extracted using an miRNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as previously described.³⁹ In tape-strips, RNA AmpliSeq libraries were constructed with the Ion AmpliSeq Transcriptome Human Gene Expression Kit by using 5 ng of RNA per sample. RNA-seq libraries were pooled and sequenced on the Ion S5 XL system sequencer with Ion 550 Chips (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, Mass). In biopsies, libraries were generated using TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep kit (Illumina). Next generation sequencing was performed on Illumina NovaSeq6000 (Illumina Inc., 100 cycles, single-read sequencing).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed by using the statistical language R (www.R-project.org). The quality of samples was assessed using FastQC. Each sample was aligned to the human reference genome by using STAR (open-source aligner).⁴⁰ Mapped sequencing reads were assigned to genomic features using the featureCounts function. Counts were transformed to log scale by voom-transform and fit in a linear model.⁴¹ Fold-changes (FCHs) were estimated, and hypothesis testing was conducted by using contrasts under the general framework for linear models in the limma package. P-values were adjusted for multiple hypotheses by using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, controlling for false discovery rate (FDR). Genes with FCH[?]2 and FDR<0.05 were considered DEGs.

RESULTS

Using RNA-seq, we provided a global transcriptomic profile of tape-strips and biopsies taken from the lesional skin of moderate-to-severe AD patients, and from healthy control skin (n=20 each) (**Figure 1A**). Sample recovery rates were 19/20 (95%) in AD and 19/20 (95%) in controls for tape-strips and 100% of AD and controls for biopsies.

Tape-strips and biopsies capture key AD-related immune alterations

Using FCH[?]2 and FDR<0.05 criteria, tape-strips and biopsies, respectively, detected 4,104 (2,513 Up; 1,591 Down) and 1,273 (546 Up; 727 Down) DEGs in AD versus controls (**Figure 1B**). The common phenotype between tape-strip and biopsy groups comprised of 536 DEGs (310 Up; 226 Down).⁴² The tape-strip group had 3,568 unique DEGs (2,203 Up; 1,365 Down), whereas biopsies had 737 unique DEGs (230 Up; 292 Down) as depicted in the Venn diagram in **Figure 1B**.

Using a previously published immune-data set,^{17,29,42-46} we identified inflammatory genes that were differentially expressed in AD versus controls in at least one comparison (Figure 2, Table E1). The immune-related DEGs with the highest FCHs are shown in a heatmap in Figure 2A-B and a visual word cloud of representative immune markers, where the size of the letters represents the magnitude of the FCHs in AD versus healthy skin, is depicted in Figure 2C-D . Both tape-strips and biopsies showed significant up-regulation in key products involved in general inflammation (MMP12), innate immunity (IL-6), T-cells/T-cell activation (CD2, CD3D/E/G, CD4, CD5, CD28, ICOS, GZMA), dendritic cell (DC) activation and migration (FCER1G, ITGAM/CD11B, ITGAX/CD11C, CD80, CXCR4), regulatory markers (IL-10, CTLA4, FOXP3, CD274), Th2 (IL-13, CCL13, CCL17, CCL18, CCL22, IL-4R, TNFRSF4/OX40, OSM, CCR4), Th1 (IL-12B, CXCL9, CXCL10, MX1, OASL), Th17 (CCL20, CXCL1, IL-19, IL-20, IL-36A, PI3), and antimicrobial peptides (CAMP/LL37) (Figure 2, Table E1). Both techniques also demonstrated significant down-regulation of negative regulators IL-34, IL-37, IL-1F10. These markers all notably showed greater FCHs in tapestrips than in biopsies, particularly for select markers of T-cells/T-cell activation (CD3D, CD3E, GZMA). negative regulation (CD274, IL-34), DC activation and migration (FCER1G, CD80, ITGAM/CD11B, IT-GAX/CD11C, CXCR4), and Th2 (IL-13, CCL17, TNFRSF4/OX40, CCR4, OSM), where the FCHs between AD and controls were at least 5-fold in tape-strips compared to biopsies (Figure 2A and C). Moreover, multiple additional genes involved in innate immunity or general immune activation (IL-1B, IL-8, PDE4A, PDE4B, PTPRC, NLRP3, TLR4), tissue resident memory T-cells (CD69), DCs (CD83, CD86, CD1A,

CD1C, CD1E, FCER1A, CD207/langerin, IL-3RA, IRF4),^{9,47,48} macrophages (RNASE1, MS4A4A, F13A1, CECR1), Th1/Th2 (CCL3), and Th17 (CXCL2, CXCL3, GPR183, TGM2, DUSP4) were uniquely upregulated in tape-strips, but not biopsies (**Figure 2, E1,Table E1**). Conversely, the Th1-defining cytokine IFN- γ , CCL26, a Th2 chemokine, and CCL19, a chemokine involved in T-cell trafficking, were uniquely significantly up-regulated in biopsies. Th22 and Th17/Th22-related genes (IL-22, IL-26, S100A7, S100A8, S100A9, DEFB4B, and LCN2) showed higher or unique up-regulation in biopsies compared to tape-strips. Collagens (COL6A5, COL6A6, TNC) implicated in driving AD inflammation were also uniquely up-regulated in biopsies (**Figure E1, Table E1**).⁹

Tape-strips preferentially captured up-regulation of itch-related gene products

Gene products associated with pruritus and nociception⁴⁹⁻⁵⁵ that were dysregulated in either tape-strips or biopsies are shown in **Figure 3**. IL-31 and OSM drive pruritus via interactions with the IL-31RA and OSMR heterodimer on sensory neural cells.⁵⁶⁻⁶¹ IL-31, OSM, and OSMR were either uniquely upregulated or showed higher FCHs in AD versus controls in tape-strips compared to biopsies. STAT3, which regulates IL-31 signaling showed a similar trend.⁵⁶

Transient receptor potential (TRP) channels (TRPV2, TRPV3, TRPM2)⁵⁵ demonstrated greater upregulation in tape-strips compared to biopsies, while TRPV6 showed greater down-regulation in tapestrips (Figure 3) . TRPV3 activation was shown to induce a pruritogenic pathway involving SERPINE1, PLAUR/u-PAR, and TLR2,^{62,63} which were either uniquely (PLAUR/u-PAR, TLR2) or markedly more (SERPINE1) increased in tape-strips than in biopsies (Figure 3, E1, Table E1) . Other genes with higher up-regulation in tape-strips than biopsies include cathepsins (CTSS, CTSL, CTSB), serine protease inhibitor SERPINB1, CGRP receptor component RAMP1,⁵⁴ and phospholipase PLCB3, a neuronal marker required for histamine and serotonin-mediated pruritus (Figure 3, Table E1).⁵¹⁻⁵³Histamine-dependent itch markers (AOC1, HDC) and PTGER2, which is involved in vasodilation and cytokine secretion by endothelial cells,^{49,50} were only significantly up-regulated in tape-strips, while the histamine receptor HRH3 was up-regulated only in biopsies.⁶⁴ The kallikreins KLK6 and KLK9, which are enriched or restricted to the stratum granulosum, were comparably up-regulated in tape-strips and biopsies, while KLK7, which is highest in the basal layer, was only up-regulated in biopsies.⁶⁵

Epidermal barrier alterations in tape-strips compared to biopsies

We next evaluated DEGs between AD and controls in either tape-strips or biopsies among a previously published epidermal barrier gene-subse^{4,11,30,66} (**Figure 4**). While terminal differentiation (FLG2, SCEL, PSORS1C2, LCE5A) and keratin (KRT77, KRT79) products were significantly decreased in both techniques, greater decreases were observed in tape-strips. AKR1C3, which is expressed by differentiated keratinocytes, was the most down-regulated gene unique to tape-strips (**Figure E1**). Significant decreases in gap junction genes (GJB3, GJB5) were only seen in tape-strips (**Figure 4, Table E1**). Tight junction components like claudins and cadherins (CLDN8, CDH12)⁶⁷ were comparably suppressed in tape-strips and biopsies, while CLDN1 was uniquely significantly decreased in biopsies. Markers of epidermal hyperplasia/proliferation (KRT6A, KRT6B, KRT16, KRT17, MKI67, AKR1B10, AKR1B15) and cornification (SPRR1A, SPRR2C, SPRR3) showed higher or unique increases in biopsies.

There was considerable variability in lipid biosynthesis and metabolism genes. PNPLA3, GAL, FA2H, FABP7, DGAT2, ACER1, ORMDL3, and DHCR7 were significantly decreased in both, with slightly greater FCHs in tape-strips, and DEGS2 and SPTLC3 were significantly down-regulated only in tape-strips. However, several other lipid-related genes (ELOVL3, FAR2, FADS2, ACOX2) were uniquely down-regulated in biopsies. A few genes (AGPAT3, FADS1, ELOVL5, SOAT1, LPIN1, PPARG) were decreased in biopsies, but increased in lesional tape-strips.

Enrichment of key immune, pruritus and barrier-related pathways

We used gene set variation analysis (GSVA) on the previously published AD transcriptome (MADAD), and Th1-, Th2- and Th17/Th22- as well as pruritus and barrier-related genes, to evaluate how the two

approaches compare.^{29,42,68} We observed similar significant enrichment in all key analyses in both biopsies and tape-strips analyses (**Figure 5**).

DISCUSSION

We present the most comprehensive intra-patient transcriptomic comparison of tape-strips and biopsies in moderate-to-severe AD to date. We previously developed a framework for using tape-strips to measure mRNA and protein in AD in both pediatric and adult populations, including for monitoring skin activity with topical or systemic treatment.^{29,30,69,70} Here, we provided insights into the common AD phenotype detected by both tape-strips and biopsies as well as potential differences between these approaches in detecting specific disease features. Past reports comparing tape-strips and biopsies in AD are limited either by a small panel of markers,^{16,35,36} separation of epidermal and dermal layers in biopsies,^{18,37,71} or focus on non-lesional skin.^{37,71} An intra-patient, RNA-seq profiling of active AD lesions comparing tape-strips to full-thickness biopsies, thus capturing the full spectrum of immune, barrier, and pruritus-related molecular abnormalities is not available.

Our study showed that both tape-strips and biopsies captured key molecular immune abnormalities of AD, including T-cell and DC activation, innate immunity, Th2/Th1/Th17/Th22, and attenuated negative regulation to varying degrees. However, tape-strips and biopsies also showed some distinct biomarker profiles. with some notable biomarkers differentially expressed in only one of the two techniques. Overall tape-strips outperformed biopsies for most T-helper axes, with greater differentiation from controls for Th2 (IL-4R, IL-13, IL-31, CCL17, TNFRSF4/OX40), Th17 (CCL20, CXCL1, CXCL2), innate immunity (IL-1B, IL-8, TNF), some Th1-related chemokines (CXCL10, CCL3), and negative regulator markers (IL-34, IL-37). IL-34, in particular, was shown to distinguish AD from healthy skin with almost perfect accuracy in tapestrips,³⁰ a property that is likely unique to tape-strips given the >35x higher fold-change between AD and controls in tape-strips compared to biopsies. These findings suggest that chemokines that promote Th_2/Th_17 differentiation and their defining cytokines are found in high concentration in the upper epidermis, whereas their expression may be diluted in larger biopsy samples. Tape-strips also better captured DC-related products, including myeloid DCs (ITGAM/CD11B, ITGAX/CD11C), LCs, and inflammatory DCs (CD1A, FCER1A, CD207/langerin), mainly localized to the epidermis,^{48,72,73} which are major sources of chemokines. Inflammatory DCs correlate with clinical AD severity and drive Th2 skewing,^{74,75} representing a potential pathogenic cell type in AD with better detection by tape-strips.

In contrast, biopsies demonstrated higher fold-changes than tape-strips for hyperplasia related cytokines and chemokines, including the Th22 cytokine IL-22 and the S100As that are Th17 and Th22 co-regulated,⁷⁶ suggesting that biopsies may be more ideal for evaluating hyperplasia markers. IL-22 is primarily expressed in the dermis during inflammatory states,^{77,78} while S100As are produced by suprabasal keratinocytes that are likely below the depth of tape-stripping.⁹ Both promote keratinocyte proliferation and epidermal hyperplasia.⁷⁷ IFN-γ, the main Th1 cytokine, and CCL26, perhaps the best biomarker of therapeutic response to dupilumab, are additional AD biomarkers primarily localized to the dermis^{78,79} and accordingly showed dysregulation only in biopsies. Markers of an inflammatory dermal fibroblast population that may orchestrate lymphocyte migration to secondary lymphoid organs and Th2 immunity, including COL6A5, COL6A6, TNC, and CCL19,⁹ were also predictably solely up-regulated in biopsies. Of note, many markers that are more prominently detected by tape-strips (IL-4R, IL-13, IL-31, TNFRSF4/OX40) or biopsies (IL-22) are therapeutic targets for AD that are either FDA-approved or under investigation,⁸⁰⁻⁸⁸ reinforcing that although both techniques can detect molecular changes in AD in response to therapy,^{80,82,85,86} the type of therapeutic target may dictate the appropriate sampling technique.

Pruritus, the cardinal clinical feature of AD significantly impacting patients' quality of life,⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ is orchestrated by complex cross-talk between immune cells, neurons, and keratinocytes.^{51,56,63,65,88,92-105} One of the advantages of tape-strips over biopsies was the ability to better detect changes in the neuroimmune pathways mediating pruritus. For example, the Th2 cytokine IL-31, perhaps the key driver of pruritus in AD,^{56,88,92-95} was uniquely up-regulated in tape-strips, with similar trends in OSM and OSMR, which are part of the same itch pathway and directly interact with IL-31.⁵⁶STAT3, which mediates IL-31-induced neuronal overgrowth contributing to skin sensitivity to minimal stimuli,⁹³ was also dysregulated only in tape-strips. Several transient receptor channel components (TRPV2, TRPV3, TRPM3) showed more pronounced dysregulation in tape-strips, likely owing to their expression by sensory neurons, as well as keratinocytes and/or immune cells.^{106,107}Among these, TRPV3 is most abundant on keratinocytes,¹⁰⁶ and has been shown to be increased in lesional AD,⁶² and promote a pro-inflammatory cascade via the NF-xB pathway.⁹⁶ In contrast, TRPV6, which is the only down-regulated TRP gene, with more negative fold-changes in tape-strips than biopsies, is a pre-requisite for keratinocyte entry into differentiation.¹⁰⁰ As an example of the complex intercellular interactions that drive itch, IL-31-induced up-regulation of TRPV3 was proposed to induce keratinocyte release of SERPINE1, which then directly activates PLAUR/u-PAR in sensory neurons to perpetuate a cycle of itch and neuroinflammation via the innate immune system.^{63,108} Accordingly, SERPINE1 and PLAUR/u-PAR were also exclusively dysregulated in tape-strips. Overall, given the large contribution of pruritus to the burden of AD,⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ the ability to measure the itch signature of AD before and after treatment through minimally invasive approaches, such as tape-strips, which in fact outperformed biopsies, is critical.

In addition to immune/neuroimmune dysregulation, tape-strips and biopsies also preferentially captured distinct aspects of epidermal barrier impairment. Although markers of terminal differentiation¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹ (FLG2, SCEL, PSORS1C2) were decreased in both techniques, at least two-fold greater changes between healthy and lesional AD were seen in tape-strips. In contrast, biopsies showed more pronounced up-regulation of markers of epidermal hyperplasia (KRT6A, KRT16, MKI67), which are primarily expressed in the basal and immediately suprabasal layers.¹¹², Tight junction components, which are variably distributed throughout the epidermis,⁷⁸ were largely attenuated in AD in both techniques, with some differences. For example, down-regulation of CLDN1, which is expressed at all suprabasal layers and has been described as an AD susceptibility gene with inverse correlations to Th2,¹¹³ was only detected in biopsies. In contrast, the gap junction components (GJB3, GJB5), which are primarily expressed in the granular layer,¹¹⁴ were only significantly decreased in tape-strips. Lipid biosynthesis/metabolism abnormalities, which are partly driven by Th2 in AD,¹¹⁵ were observed in both tape-strips and biopsies in line with prior reports,^{7,86,115-119} but also showed differences between the two techniques. For example, genes involved in the biosynthesis of ceramides, the main lipid constituent of the stratum corneum (DGS2, SPTLC3),¹²⁰ were only decreased in tape-strips, while products related to phospholipid synthesis (AGPAT3, LPIN1), which are more common in the lower epidermis,¹²⁰ were only down-regulated in biopsies. The inclusion of lipids from the sebocytes of sebaceous glands in biopsies but not tape strips, which also contribute to barrier function,¹²¹ may further contribute to differences in lipid-related genes between the two techniques. FAR2, which regulates synthesis of wax esters exclusively produced in sebaceous glands,¹²² and FADS2, which regulates production of sapienate, the most abundant fatty acid in sebum,¹²³ were down-regulated only in biopsies. Overall, tape-strips and biopsies each preferentially capture gene alterations that complement each other to constitute the defective barrier phenotype characteristic of AD.

Our study has some limitations. Non-lesional biopsies were not available for this cohort, preventing a parallel intra-patient comparison of non-lesional tissues analyzed by both approaches. Nevertheless, prior studies demonstrated that tape-strips capture the non-lesional AD phenotype.^{29,37,69,71,124} Additionally, our analyses were performed on a primarily adult Caucasian population. Future analysis should also include other age groups and ethnic backgrounds.

In summary, this is the first global, intra-patient, full-thickness molecular profiling of lesional skin from moderate-to-severe AD that compares sampling by tape-strip versus biopsies. Although both capture the main immune and barrier abnormalities of AD, tape-strips may be the preferred technique for Th2/Th17, innate immunity, DC, pruritus, and terminal differentiation related markers. Biopsies may be more appropriate for evaluating genes below the granular layer, including markers of epidermal hyperplasia, dermal cytokines (IFN- γ , IL-22), and inflammatory dermal populations like fibroblasts. The choice of appropriate sampling technique should be determined considering which specific immune or barrier features are of greatest importance to the investigation. Still, this study highlights that tape-strips are not only adequate but can even outperform biopsies in detecting certain AD features, including several biomarkers that are therapeutic targets. Thus, tape-stripping provides a minimally invasive alternative or supplement to biopsies in clinical trials and longitudinal studies with the potential to minimize biopsy-related complications and bolster patient participation in adult and pediatric AD studies.

Table 1. Demographics

	AD $(n = 20)$	Controls (n =20)
Age, mean \pm SD	31.0 ± 13.8	40.3 ± 15.7
Sex, no. (%)		
Female	15	11
Male	5	9
Race, no. $(\%)$		
White	18	20
African American	2	0
Asian	0	0
Clinical severity		
IGA, mean \pm SD	2.6 ± 0.6	N/A

FIGURES LEGEND :

Figure 1. Study summary. (A) Study design. (B) Venn diagram of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in tape-strip and biopsy groups by fold-change (|FCH|)>2 and false discovery rate (FDR)<0.05. Up-regulated (*red*) and down-regulated (*blue*) DEGs in AD versus control in each group depict shared versus unique genes across the two techniques.

Figure 2. Heatmap of immune-related genes. Heatmap of the top differentially expressed immune genes in tape-stripped (A), and biopsied (B) AD lesional skin. Fold-change (|FCH|)>2 and false discovery rate (FDR)<0.05. Tables show fold-changes in lesional AD versus normal skin. World Cloud diagram of immune DEGs of tape-strips (C) and biopsy (D) group. The size of the letters represents the magnitude of the FCHs in AD versus healthy skin. LS, Lesional. ***FDR<0.001, **FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.05, +FDR<0.1.

Figure 3. Heatmap of pruritus-relates genes. Heatmap of the differentially expressed pruritus-related genes in tape-stripped (A), and biopsied (B) AD lesional skin. Fold-change (|FCH|)>2 and false discovery rate (FDR)<0.05. Tables show fold-changes in lesional AD versus normal skin. LS, Lesional. ***FDR<0.001, **FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.05, +FDR<0.1.

Figure 4. Heatmap of barrier-related genes. Heatmap of the differentially expressed barrier-related genes in tape-stripped (A), and biopsied (B) AD lesional skin. Fold-change (|FCH|)>2 and false discovery rate (FDR)<0.05. Tables show fold-changes in lesional AD versus normal skin. LS, Lesional. ***FDR<0.001, **FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, *FDR<0.01, **FDR<0.01, ***FDR<0.01, ***

Figure 5. Gene-set variation analysis (GSVA). Gene-set variation analyses (GSVA) of immune-related and barrier-related genes. Red bars represent means. *Black symbols* : significance of comparison to normal; *red symbols*: significance of comparison between lesional and non-lesional skin. MADAD, Meta-Analysis Derived AD transcriptome; LS, Lesional. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, +P < 0.1.

REFERENCES

1. Silverberg JI, Hanifin JM. Adult eczema prevalence and associations with asthma and other health and demographic factors: A US population-based study. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 2013;132(5):1132-1138.

2. Nutten S. Atopic Dermatitis: Global Epidemiology and Risk Factors. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism. 2015;66(suppl 1)(Suppl. 1):8-16.

3. Suárez-Fariñas M, Ungar B, Correa da Rosa J, et al. RNA sequencing atopic dermatitis transcriptome profiling provides insights into novel disease mechanisms with potential therapeutic implications. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*.2015;135(5):1218-1227.

4. Sanyal RD, Pavel AB, Glickman J, et al. Atopic dermatitis in African American patients is T(H)2/T(H)22-skewed with T(H)1/T(H)17 attenuation. Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology : official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology. 2019;122(1):99-110.e116.

5. Nomura I, Gao B, Boguniewicz M, Darst MA, Travers JB, Leung DY. Distinct patterns of gene expression in the skin lesions of atopic dermatitis and psoriasis: a gene microarray analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2003;112(6):1195-1202.

6. Broccardo CJ, Mahaffey S, Schwarz J, et al. Comparative proteomic profiling of patients with atopic dermatitis based on history of eczema herpeticum infection and Staphylococcus aureus colonization. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011;127(1):186-193, 193.e181-111.

7. Brunner PM, Israel A, Zhang N, et al. Early-onset pediatric atopic dermatitis is characterized by TH2/TH17/TH22-centered inflammation and lipid alterations. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2018;141(6):2094-2106.

8. He H, Del Duca E, Diaz A, et al. Mild atopic dermatitis lacks systemic inflammation and shows reduced nonlesional skin abnormalities. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2021;147(4):1369-1380.

9. He H, Suryawanshi H, Morozov P, et al. Single-cell transcriptome analysis of human skin identifies novel fibroblast subpopulation and enrichment of immune subsets in atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2020;145(6):1615-1628.

10. Lang CCV, Renert-Yuval Y, Del Duca E, et al. Immune and barrier characterization of atopic dermatitis skin phenotype in Tanzanian patients. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2021;127(3):334-341.

11. Guttman-Yassky E, Bissonnette R, Ungar B, et al. Dupilumab progressively improves systemic and cutaneous abnormalities in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 2019;143(1):155-172.

12. Zhou L, Leonard A, Pavel AB, et al. Age-specific changes in the molecular phenotype of patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2019;144(1):144-156.

13. Greenwood JD, Merry SP, Boswell CL. Skin Biopsy Techniques. Prim Care. 2022;49(1):1-22.

14. Llamas-Velasco M, Paredes BE. Basic concepts in skin biopsy. Part I. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2012;103(1):12-20.

15. Fahlén A, Engstrand L, Baker BS, Powles A, Fry L. Comparison of bacterial microbiota in skin biopsies from normal and psoriatic skin. Arch Dermatol Res. 2012;304(1):15-22.

16. Kim BE, Goleva E, Kim PS, et al. Side-by-Side Comparison of Skin Biopsies and Skin Tape Stripping Highlights Abnormal Stratum Corneum in Atopic Dermatitis. J Invest Dermatol.2019;139(11):2387-2389.e2381.

17. He H, Bissonnette R, Wu J, et al. Tape strips detect distinct immune and barrier profiles in atopic dermatitis and psoriasis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147(1):199-212.

18. Sølberg J, Jacobsen SB, Andersen JD, et al. The stratum corneum transcriptome in atopic dermatitis can be assessed by tape stripping. *J Dermatol Sci.* 2021;101(1):14-21.

19. Hulshof L, Hack DP, Hasnoe QCJ, et al. A minimally invasive tool to study immune response and skin barrier in children with atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol.* 2019;180(3):621-630.

20. McAleer MA, Jakasa I, Hurault G, et al. Systemic and stratum corneum biomarkers of severity in infant atopic dermatitis include markers of innate and T helper cell-related immunity and angiogenesis. *Brit J Dermatol.* 2019;180(3):586-596.

21. Koppes SA, Brans R, Ljubojevic Hadzavdic S, Frings-Dresen MHW, Rustemeyer T, Kezic S. Stratum Corneum Tape Stripping: Monitoring of Inflammatory Mediators in Atopic Dermatitis Patients Using Topical Therapy. *International Archives of Allergy and Immunology*.2016;170(3):187-193.

22. Clausen ML, Slotved HC, Krogfelt KA, Agner T. Measurements of AMPs in stratum corneum of atopic dermatitis and healthy skin-tape stripping technique. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):1666.

23. Yamaguchi J, Aihara M, Kobayashi Y, Kambara T, Ikezawa Z. Quantitative analysis of nerve growth factor (NGF) in the atopic dermatitis and psoriasis horny layer and effect of treatment on NGF in atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Dermatological Science*.2009;53(1):48-54.

24. Winget JM, Finlay D, Mills KJ, et al. Quantitative Proteomic Analysis of Stratum Corneum Dysfunction in Adult Chronic Atopic Dermatitis. J Invest Dermatol. 2016;136(8):1732-1735.

25. Janssens M, van Smeden J, Gooris GS, et al. Increase in short-chain ceramides correlates with an altered lipid organization and decreased barrier function in atopic eczema patients[S]. *Journal of Lipid Research*. 2012;53(12):2755-2766.

26. Angelova-Fischer I, Mannheimer A-C, Hinder A, et al. Distinct barrier integrity phenotypes in filaggrinrelated atopic eczema following sequential tape stripping and lipid profiling. *Experimental Dermatology*. 2011;20(4):351-356.

27. Broccardo CJ, Mahaffey SB, Strand M, Reisdorph NA, Leung DY. Peeling off the layers: skin taping and a novel proteomics approach to study atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*.2009;124(5):1113-1115.e1111-1111.

28. Amarbayasgalan T, Takahashi H, Dekio I, Morita E. Interleukin-8 content in the stratum corneum as an indicator of the severity of inflammation in the lesions of atopic dermatitis. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* 2013;160(1):63-74.

29. Mikhaylov D, Del Duca E, Olesen CM, et al. Transcriptomic Profiling of Tape-Strips From Moderate to Severe Atopic Dermatitis Patients Treated With Dupilumab. *Dermatitis*. 2021;32(1s):S71-s80.

30. Guttman-Yassky E, Diaz A, Pavel AB, et al. Use of Tape Strips to Detect Immune and Barrier Abnormalities in the Skin of Children With Early-Onset Atopic Dermatitis. *JAMA Dermatol*.2019;155(12):1358-1370.

31. Olesen CM, Holm JG, Norreslet LB, Serup JV, Thomsen SF, Agner T. Treatment of atopic dermatitis with dupilumab: experience from a tertiary referral centre. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*.2019;33(8):1562-1568.

32. Lyubchenko T, Collins HK, Goleva E, Leung DYM. Skin tape sampling technique identifies proinflammatory cytokines in atopic dermatitis skin. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2021;126(1):46-53.e42.

33. Clausen ML, Kezic S, Olesen CM, Agner T. Cytokine concentration across the stratum corneum in atopic dermatitis and healthy controls. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):21895.

34. Olesen CM, Pavel AB, Wu J, et al. Tape-strips provide a minimally invasive approach to track therapeutic response to topical corticosteroids in atopic dermatitis patients. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2021;9(1):576-579.e573.

35. Simonsen S, Brøgger P, Kezic S, Thyssen JP, Skov L. Comparison of Cytokines in Skin Biopsies and Tape Strips from Adults with Atopic Dermatitis. *Dermatology (Basel, Switzerland)*.2021;237(6):940-945.

36. Andersson AM, Sølberg J, Koch A, et al. Assessment of biomarkers in pediatric atopic dermatitis by tape strips and skin biopsies. *Allergy*. 2021.

37. Dyjack N, Goleva E, Rios C, et al. Minimally invasive skin tape strip RNA sequencing identifies novel characteristics of the type 2-high atopic dermatitis disease endotype. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*.2018;141(4):1298-1309.

38. Renert-Yuval Y, Del Duca E, Pavel AB, et al. The molecular features of normal and atopic dermatitis skin in infants, children, adolescents, and adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2021;148(1):148-163.

39. Wu J, Del Duca E, Espino M, et al. RNA Sequencing Keloid Transcriptome Associates Keloids With Th2, Th1, Th17/Th22, and JAK3-Skewing. *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:597741.

40. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. 2013;29(1):15-21.

41. Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. voom: Precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol*.2014;15(2):R29.

42. Ewald DA, Malajian D, Krueger JG, et al. Meta-analysis derived atopic dermatitis (MADAD) transcriptome defines a robust AD signature highlighting the involvement of atherosclerosis and lipid metabolism pathways. *BMC Med Genomics.* 2015;8:60.

43. Hamilton JD, Suárez-Fariñas M, Dhingra N, et al. Dupilumab improves the molecular signature in skin of patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;134(6):1293-1300.

44. He H, Olesen CM, Pavel AB, et al. Tape-Strip Proteomic Profiling of Atopic Dermatitis on Dupilumab Identifies Minimally Invasive Biomarkers. *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:1768.

45. Dhingra N, Shemer A, Correa da Rosa J, et al. Molecular profiling of contact dermatitis skin identifies allergen-dependent differences in immune response. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunolo*gy.2014;134(2):362-372.

46. Guttman-Yassky E, Ungar B, Noda S, et al. Extensive alopecia areata is reversed by IL-12/IL-23p40 cytokine antagonism. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2016;137(1):301-304.

47. Guttman-Yassky E, Zhou L, Krueger JG. The skin as an immune organ: Tolerance versus effector responses and applications to food allergy and hypersensitivity reactions. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*.2019;144(2):362-374.

48. Guttman-Yassky E, Lowes MA, Fuentes-Duculan J, et al. Major differences in inflammatory dendritic cells and their products distinguish atopic dermatitis from psoriasis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2007;119(5):1210-1217.

49. Worm M, Fiedler EM, Dölle S, et al. Exogenous histamine aggravates eczema in a subgroup of patients with atopic dermatitis. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 2009;89(1):52-56.

50. Gutowska-Owsiak D, Greenwald L, Watson C, Selvakumar TA, Wang X, Ogg GS. The histaminesynthesizing enzyme histidine decarboxylase is upregulated by keratinocytes in atopic skin. *Brit J Dermatol*.2014;171(4):771-778.

51. Han SK, Mancino V, Simon MI. Phospholipase Cbeta 3 mediates the scratching response activated by the histamine H1 receptor on C-fiber nociceptive neurons. *Neuron.* 2006;52(4):691-703.

52. Miras-Portugal MT, Menéndez-Méndez A, Gómez-Villafuertes R, et al. Physiopathological Role of the Vesicular Nucleotide Transporter (VNUT) in the Central Nervous System: Relevance of the Vesicular Nucleotide Release as a Potential Therapeutic Target. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*. 2019;13.

53. Gao Z-R, Chen W-Z, Liu M-Z, et al. Tac1-Expressing Neurons in the Periaqueductal Gray Facilitate the Itch-Scratching Cycle via Descending Regulation. *Neuron.* 2019;101(1):45-59.e49.

54. Kahremany S, Hofmann L, Gruzman A, Cohen G. Advances in Understanding the Initial Steps of Pruritoceptive Itch: How the Itch Hits the Switch. *International journal of molecular sciences*.2020;21(14):4883. 55. Moore C, Gupta R, Jordt S-E, Chen Y, Liedtke WB. Regulation of Pain and Itch by TRP Channels. *Neurosci Bull.* 2018;34(1):120-142.

56. Datsi A, Steinhoff M, Ahmad F, Alam M, Buddenkotte J. Interleukin-31: The "itchy" cytokine in inflammation and therapy. *Allergy*. 2021;76(10):2982-2997.

57. Akiyama T, Carstens E. Neural processing of itch. Neuroscience. 2013;250:697-714.

58. Cevikbas F, Wang X, Akiyama T, et al. A sensory neuron-expressed IL-31 receptor mediates T helper cell-dependent itch: Involvement of TRPV1 and TRPA1. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;133(2):448-460.

59. Feld M, Garcia R, Buddenkotte J, et al. The pruritus- and TH2-associated cytokine IL-31 promotes growth of sensory nerves. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2016;138(2):500-508 e524.

60. Furue M, Yamamura K, Kido-Nakahara M, Nakahara T, Fukui Y. Emerging role of interleukin-31 and interleukin-31 receptor in pruritus in atopic dermatitis. *Allergy*. 2018;73(1):29-36.

61. Zhang Q, Putheti P, Zhou Q, Liu Q, Gao W. Structures and biological functions of IL-31 and IL-31 receptors. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2008;19(5-6):347-356.

62. Larkin C, Chen W, Szabó IL, et al. Novel insights into the TRPV3-mediated itch in atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol.2021;147(3):1110-1114.e1115.

63. Chen W, Li Y, Steinhoff M, et al. The PLAUR signaling promotes chronic pruritus. *FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology*.2022;36(6):e22368.

64. Krementsov DN, Wall EH, Martin RA, et al. Histamine H3 Receptor Integrates Peripheral Inflammatory Signals in the Neurogenic Control of Immune Responses and Autoimmune Disease Susceptibility. *PLOS ONE*.2013;8(7):e62743.

65. Nauroy P, Nyström A. Kallikreins: Essential epidermal messengers for regulation of the skin microenvironment during homeostasis, repair and disease. *Matrix Biol Plus.* 2020;6-7:100019.

66. Suárez-Fariñas M, Tintle SJ, Shemer A, et al. Nonlesional atopic dermatitis skin is characterized by broad terminal differentiation defects and variable immune abnormalities. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2011;127(4):954-964.e951-954.

67. Yokouchi M, Kubo A. Maintenance of tight junction barrier integrity in cell turnover and skin diseases. *Experimental Dermatology*.2018;27(8):876-883.

68. Dhingra N, Guttman-Yassky E. A Possible Role for IL-17A in Establishing Th2 Inflammation in Murine Models of Atopic Dermatitis. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. 2014;134(8):2071-2074.

69. He H, Olesen, C.M., Pavel, A.B., Clausen, M., Wu, J., Estrada, Y., Zhang, N., Agner, T., and Guttman-Yassky, E. Tape-strip proteomic profiling of atopic dermatitis on dupilumab identifies minimally invasive biomarkers. *Frontiers in Immunology.* 2020.

70. Pavel AB, Renert-Yuval Y, Wu J, et al. Tape strips from early-onset pediatric atopic dermatitis highlight disease abnormalities in nonlesional skin. *Allergy*. 2021;76(1):314-325.

71. Leung DYM, Calatroni A, Zaramela LS, et al. The nonlesional skin surface distinguishes atopic dermatitis with food allergy as a unique endotype. *Science translational medicine*. 2019;11(480).

72. Ścibior K, Romańska-Gocka K, Czajkowski R, Placek W, Zegarska B. Expression of CD1a, CD207, CD11b, CD11c, CD103, and HLA-DR receptors on the surface of dendritic cells in the skin of patients with atopic dermatitis. *Postepy dermatologii i alergologii*.2019;36(5):544-550.

73. Mias C, Le Digabel J, Filiol J, et al. Visualization of dendritic cells' responses in atopic dermatitis: Preventing effect of emollient. *Experimental dermatology*. 2018;27(4):374-377. 74. Wollenberg A, Kraft S, Hanau D, Bieber T. Immunomorphological and ultrastructural characterization of Langerhans cells and a novel, inflammatory dendritic epidermal cell (IDEC) population in lesional skin of atopic eczema. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*.1996;106(3):446-453.

75. Yoshida K, Kubo A, Fujita H, et al. Distinct behavior of human Langerhans cells and inflammatory dendritic epidermal cells at tight junctions in patients with atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2014;134(4):856-864.

76. Kolls JK, McCray PB, Jr., Chan YR. Cytokine-mediated regulation of antimicrobial proteins. *Nature reviews Immunology*.2008;8(11):829-835.

77. Fujita H. The role of IL-22 and Th22 cells in human skin diseases. *Journal of dermatological science*. 2013;72(1):3-8.

78. Esaki H, Ewald DA, Ungar B, et al. Identification of novel immune and barrier genes in atopic dermatitis by means of laser capture microdissection. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*.2015;135(1):153-163.

79. Günther C, Wozel G, Meurer M, Pfeiffer C. Up-regulation of CCL11 and CCL26 is associated with activated eosinophils in bullous pemphigoid. *Clinical and experimental immunology*. 2011;166(2):145-153.

80. Beck LA, Thaci D, Hamilton JD, et al. Dupilumab treatment in adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2014;371(2):130-139.

81. Simpson EL, Bieber T, Guttman-Yassky E, et al. Two Phase 3 Trials of Dupilumab versus Placebo in Atopic Dermatitis. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2016;375(24):2335-2348.

82. Wollenberg A, Howell MD, Guttman-Yassky E, et al. Treatment of atopic dermatitis with tralokinumab, an anti-IL-13 mAb. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2019;143(1):135-141.

83. Guttman-Yassky E, Blauvelt A, Eichenfield LF, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Lebrikizumab, a High-Affinity Interleukin 13 Inhibitor, in Adults With Moderate to Severe Atopic Dermatitis: A Phase 2b Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA dermatology*. 2020;156(4):411-420.

84. Silverberg JI, Pinter A, Alavi A, et al. Nemolizumab is associated with a rapid improvement in atopic dermatitis signs and symptoms: subpopulation (EASI [?] 16) analysis of randomized phase 2B study. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV.* 2021;35(7):1562-1568.

85. Guttman-Yassky E, Pavel AB, Zhou L, et al. GBR 830, an anti-OX40, improves skin gene signatures and clinical scores in patients with atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2019;144(2):482-493 e487.

86. Brunner PM, Pavel AB, Khattri S, et al. Baseline IL-22 expression in patients with atopic dermatitis stratifies tissue responses to fezakinumab. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*.2019;143(1):142-154.

87. Guttman-Yassky E, Brunner PM, Neumann AU, et al. Efficacy and safety of fezakinumab (an IL-22 monoclonal antibody) in adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis inadequately controlled by conventional treatments: A randomized, double-blind, phase 2a trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*.2018;78(5):872-881 e876.

88. Ruzicka T, Hanifin JM, Furue M, et al. Anti-Interleukin-31 Receptor A Antibody for Atopic Dermatitis. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2017;376(9):826-835.

89. Kabashima K. New concept of the pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis: interplay among the barrier, allergy, and pruritus as a trinity. *Journal of dermatological science*. 2013;70(1):3-11.

90. Eichenfield LF, Tom WL, Chamlin SL, et al. Guidelines of care for the management of atopic dermatitis: section 1. Diagnosis and assessment of atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*.

2014;70(2):338-351.

91. Wei W, Anderson P, Gadkari A, et al. Extent and consequences of inadequate disease control among adults with a history of moderate to severe atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of dermatology*.2018;45(2):150-157.

92. Miake S, Tsuji G, Takemura M, et al. IL-4 Augments IL-31/IL-31 Receptor Alpha Interaction Leading to Enhanced Ccl 17 and Ccl 22 Production in Dendritic Cells: Implications for Atopic Dermatitis. *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2019;20(16).

93. Andoh T, Harada A, Kuraishi Y. Involvement of Leukotriene B4 Released from Keratinocytes in Itchassociated Response to Intradermal Interleukin-31 in Mice. *Acta dermato-venereologica*.2017;97(8):922-927.

94. Miron Y, Miller PE, Hughes C, Indersmitten T, Lerner EA, Cevikbas F. Mechanistic insights into the antipruritic effects of lebrikizumab, an anti-IL-13 mAb. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunol*ogy.2022;150(3):690-700.

95. Oh MH, Oh SY, Lu J, et al. TRPA1-dependent pruritus in IL-13-induced chronic atopic dermatitis. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950).* 2013;191(11):5371-5382.

96. Szollősi AG, Vasas N, Angyal Á, et al. Activation of TRPV3 Regulates Inflammatory Actions of Human Epidermal Keratinocytes. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*. 2018;138(2):365-374.

97. Um JY, Kang SY, Kim HJ, Chung BY, Park CW, Kim HO. Transient receptor potential vanilloid-3 (TRPV3) channel induces dermal fibrosis via the TRPV3/TSLP/Smad2/3 pathways in dermal fibroblasts. *Journal of dermatological science*. 2020;97(2):117-124.

98. Wang M, Sun Y, Li L, Wu P, Dkw O, Shi H. Calcium Channels: Noteworthy Regulators and Therapeutic Targets in Dermatological Diseases. *Frontiers in pharmacology*. 2021;12:702264.

99. Park CW, Kim HJ, Choi YW, et al. TRPV3 Channel in Keratinocytes in Scars with Post-Burn Pruritus. *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2017;18(11).

100. Lehen'kyi V, Beck B, Polakowska R, et al. TRPV6 is a Ca2+ entry channel essential for Ca2+-induced differentiation of human keratinocytes. *The Journal of biological chemistry*.2007;282(31):22582-22591.

101. Kim N, Bae KB, Kim MO, et al. Overexpression of cathepsin S induces chronic atopic dermatitis in mice. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*. 2012;132(4):1169-1176.

102. Reddy VB, Shimada SG, Sikand P, Lamotte RH, Lerner EA. Cathepsin S elicits itch and signals via protease-activated receptors. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*. 2010;130(5):1468-1470.

103. Billi AC, Ludwig JE, Fritz Y, et al. KLK6 expression in skin induces PAR1-mediated psoriasiform dermatitis and inflammatory joint disease. *The Journal of clinical investigation*.2020;130(6):3151-3157.

104. Imamachi N, Park GH, Lee H, et al. TRPV1-expressing primary afferents generate behavioral responses to pruritogens via multiple mechanisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2009;106(27):11330-11335.

105. Neisius U, Olsson R, Rukwied R, Lischetzki G, Schmelz M. Prostaglandin E2 induces vasodilation and pruritus, but no protein extravasation in atopic dermatitis and controls. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2002;47(1):28-32.

106. Peier AM, Reeve AJ, Andersson DA, et al. A heat-sensitive TRP channel expressed in keratinocytes. *Science (New York, NY)*.2002;296(5575):2046-2049.

107. Khalil M, Alliger K, Weidinger C, et al. Functional Role of Transient Receptor Potential Channels in Immune Cells and Epithelia. *Front Immunol.* 2018;9:174.

108. Larkin C, Chen W, Szabó IL, et al. Novel insights into the TRPV3-mediated itch in atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2021;147(3):1110-1114 e1115.

109. Wu Z, Hansmann B, Meyer-Hoffert U, Gläser R, Schröder JM. Molecular identification and expression analysis of filaggrin-2, a member of the S100 fused-type protein family. *PloS one.* 2009;4(4):e5227.

110. Kvedar JC, Manabe M, Phillips SB, Ross BS, Baden HP. Characterization of sciellin, a precursor to the cornified envelope of human keratinocytes. *Differentiation; research in biological diversity.* 1992;49(3):195-204.

111. Abbas Zadeh S, Mlitz V, Lachner J, et al. Phylogenetic profiling and gene expression studies implicate a primary role of PSORS1C2 in terminal differentiation of keratinocytes. *Experimental dermatology*. 2017;26(4):352-358.

112. Zouboulis CC, Nogueira da Costa A, Makrantonaki E, et al. Alterations in innate immunity and epithelial cell differentiation are the molecular pillars of hidradenitis suppurativa. *Journal of the European Academy* of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV.2020;34(4):846-861.

113. De Benedetto A, Rafaels NM, McGirt LY, et al. Tight junction defects in patients with atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2011;127(3):773-786 e771-777.

114. Au A, Shao Q, White KK, et al. Comparative Analysis of Cx31 and Cx43 in Differentiation-Competent Rodent Keratinocytes. *Biomolecules*. 2020;10(10).

115. Berdyshev E, Goleva E, Bronova I, et al. Lipid abnormalities in atopic skin are driven by type 2 cytokines. *JCI insight*.2018;3(4).

116. Esaki H, Brunner PM, Renert-Yuval Y, et al. Early-onset pediatric atopic dermatitis is TH2 but also TH17 polarized in skin. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2016;138(6):1639-1651.

117. Guttman-Yassky E, Suarez-Farinas M, Chiricozzi A, et al. Broad defects in epidermal cornification in atopic dermatitis identified through genomic analysis. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2009;124(6):1235-1244 e1258.

118. Noda S, Suarez-Farinas M, Ungar B, et al. The Asian atopic dermatitis phenotype combines features of atopic dermatitis and psoriasis with increased TH17 polarization. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology*. 2015;136(5):1254-1264.

119. Schäfer L, Kragballe K. Abnormalities in epidermal lipid metabolism in patients with atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of investigative dermatology.* 1991;96(1):10-15.

120. Vietri Rudan M, Watt FM. Mammalian Epidermis: A Compendium of Lipid Functionality. *Frontiers in physiology*. 2021;12:804824.

121. Picardo M, Ottaviani M, Camera E, Mastrofrancesco A. Sebaceous gland lipids. *Dermato-endocrinology*. 2009;1(2):68-71.

122. Cheng JB, Russell DW. Mammalian wax biosynthesis. I. Identification of two fatty acyl-Coenzyme A reductases with different substrate specificities and tissue distributions. *The Journal of biological chemistry*. 2004;279(36):37789-37797.

123. Ge L, Gordon JS, Hsuan C, Stenn K, Prouty SM. Identification of the delta-6 desaturase of human sebaceous glands: expression and enzyme activity. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*.2003;120(5):707-714.

124. Renert-Yuval Y, Pavel AB, Bose S, et al. Tape strips capture atopic dermatitis-related changes in nonlesional skin throughout maturation. *Allergy*. 2022.





(10.22541/au.167945946.65926819/v1 -

Figure 3

A	Tape strips		Markar	S Tape strips B		Biopsies		Markor	LS Biopsies
	Control	LS	Marker	Control		Control	LS	Walker	Control
			PTGER2	7.97***				PTGER2	-1.12
			TAC1	1.62				TAC1	-2.07*
			HRH3	1.18				HRH3	10.20***
			TRPV3	2.33**				TRPV3	1.47***
			OSMR	2.90*				OSMR	1.75***
			IL31	3.30*				IL31	1.23
			CTSS	14.60***				CTSS	1.44*
			TRPM2	8.16***				TRPM2	-1.22
			PIK3CG	17.90***				PIK3CG	1.35
			TRPV2	23.80***				TRPV2	1.46***
			IL17RA	2.07*				IL17RA	1.03
			SLCI/A9	3.14*				SLC17A9	1.39**
			STX3	2.11+				STX3	1.13*
			CD28	7.62**				CD28	3.78***
			TREA	22.40				CTLAS	4.89
			VIV6	33.70				IRF4	2.524
			NDC	4 15*				KLK0	2.52*
			AOC1	7.03***				ADC	1.04
			CTSL	25.70***				CTSL	1.15
			CTSB	2.85***				CTSB	1.61***
			IL23A	9.18**				TI.233	1 41*
			IL13	26.90***				IL13	4.68***
			TLR8	18.00***				TLR8	1.68*
			S100P	3.06*				S100P	1.21
			S100A9	23.60***				S100A9	43.20***
			S100A7	7.25***				S100A7	42.50***
			CCL17	60.20***				CCL17	6.84***
			PLCB3	2.35*				PLCB3	1.36***
			OSM	45.20***				OSM	3.17***
			CCL2	6.43**				CCL2	1.79**
			DEFB4B	2.32				DEFB4B	5.24*
			SERPINB1	8.03***				SERPINB1 -	1.69***
			CXCL10	9.89**				CXCL10	2.80*
			RAMP1	16.80***				RAMP1	1.32*
			STAT3	2.61**				STAT3	1.30*
			HLA-DRA	16.00***				HLA-DRA	1.56***
			SERPINEI	21.80***				SERPINE1 -	1.69*
			001.20	21.80***				CCL4	1.11
			TL12PB1 ===	2 40				TT 12001	3.0/**
			CCL22	14 20***				1L12KB1	2.02***
			CLEC7A	25.40***				CLEC72	2 36***
			ALOX5	11.80***				ALOX5	1.11
			TGFB1	9.21***				TOPB1	1.52***
			IL4R	6.52***				IL4R	2.15***
			CXCL1	16.40***				CXCL1	5.24***
			KLK9	3.79*				KLK9	4.11***
			TNFRSF1B	24.70***				TNFRSF1B -	1.56***
			NLRP3	55.70***				NLRP3	1.50*
			CCL3	28.70***				CCL3	1.30
			TRPV6	-11.10*** ·				TRPV6	-2.38***
			KLK7	-1.41				KLK7	1.41***
			IL18	-7.93***				IL18	-1.13
			IL33	-4.81*				IL33	-1.98***

Figure 4

A	Tape s	strips	Maulau	LS Tape strips	В	Biopsies		Markor	LS Biopsies
	Control	LS	Marker	vs Control		Control	LS	warker	vs Control
			SPRR1A	1.40				SPRR1A	6.96***
			PPARG	11.70***				PPARG	-1.62*
			FADS2	1.09				FADS2	-4.21*
			MKI67	2.34				MKI67	3.52***
			SOAT1	7.25***				SOAT1	-1.80
			ANXA6	15.70***				ANXA6	1.12
			ANXA5	7.10***				ANXA5	1.08
			KRT6A	7.06***				KRT6A	9.54***
			S100A6	5.91***				S100A6	1.15
			KRT16	5.56***				KRT16	22.00***
			ELOVL5	5.02***				ELOVL5	-1.60+
			CLDN7	4.45**				CLDN7	-1.35
			FADS1	3.56*				FADS1	-2.27*
			AGPAT3	2.65*				AGPAT3	-1.29*
			CIDEC	1.27				CIDEC	-3.22+
			FAR2	1.02				FAR2	-2.41*
			LPL	8.36**				LPL	-2.30**
			LPIN1	2.81**				LPIN1	-1.66***
			CDH20	-1.80				CDH20	-2.62***
			ELOVL3	-3.26+				ELOVL3	-5.41**
			PSORS1C2 -	-4.01*				PSORS1C2	-1.92***
			FA2H	-7.01**				FA2H	-3.22***
			KRT34	-3.96				KRT34	-1.07
			LCE5A	-17.90***				LCE5A	-7.37***
			KRT77	-34.00***				KRT77	-6.97***
			FABP7	-13.30***				FABP7	-11.80***
			GAL	-7.37***				GAL	-5.54*
			FLG2	-7.35***				FLG2	-2.22**
			PNPLA3	-5.26***				PNPLA3	-2.43***
			SPTLC3	-4.82***				SPTLC3	-1.20
			NR2F2	-4.17**		-		NR2F2	-1.82***
			GJB5	-3.97**				GJB5	-1.06
			CDH12	-3.91*				CDH12	-4.11***
			HMGCS2	-3.22+				HMGCS2	-4.09***
			ORMDL3	-2.97***				ORMDL3	-1.36***
			RRT2	-2.89				RRT2	1.19
			DHCR/	-2.75**				DHCR/	-1.3/*
			FLG	-2.69+				FLG	-1.24
			DGAT2	-2.65**				CODD2	-1.91
			SPRR3	-1.07				SPRRS	-5.07*
			AWATI	-1.79				CDW10	-3.49***
			20013	-1.01				ACOV2	-2.40
			ACOA2	-2.27				ACEP1	=1.20*
			DEGS2	-3.55"				DEGS2	-1.00
			ANYAQ	-4.93**				ANXA9	-1.19
			VPT79	-9.27**				KRT79	-6.59**
			G183	=11 60***				GJB3	-1.08
			CLDN8	-6.59**				CLDN8	-6.27***
			SCEL	-7.17***				SCEL	-2.49***
			5022						

