Mealybug insects as models of a previously undescribed overwintering behavior

Rosa Vercher¹, Sandra González¹, Adrián Sánchez-Domingo¹, and Juan Sorribas²

¹Polytechnic University of Valencia ²Cooperatives Agro-alimentàries de la Comunitat Valenciana

September 26, 2022

Abstract

Insects, whose body temperature depends on ambient temperature, often take refuge under the soil surface to survive unfavorable winter conditions. Considering the strategies used to cope with cold winter temperatures insects are classified into several groups. Here we propose a new group of insects with a previously unreported overwintering strategy taking as a model the mealybug insect family. Using specifically designed sampling methods we found that these sap-sucking insects, which spend half of the year feeding and reproducing on the plant canopy, move to the rhizosphere during the winter to feed on tree roots and reproduce. Our results show that the preferred area to overwinter for the main female mealybug species is within 1 meter around a fruit tree trunk, where more than 25000 mealybug males per square meter can emerge every spring. This unique adaptive strategy allows these aboveground herbivores to turn into belowground root feeders during the winter.













Page 1



Mealybug insects as models of a previously undescribed overwintering behavior

Rosa Vercher, Sandra González, Adrián Sánchez-Domingo, Juan Sorribas

INTRODUCTION

Insects, as cold-blooded ectothermic animals, have limited ability to regulate their body temperature which depends largely on ambient temperature. so insects use behavior to adjust their temperature to some degree (Capinera, 2008). In temperate zones temperature drops during the winter months trigger different responses on insects for surviving the coldness. Insects overwintering without migrating often require special habitats to survive unfavorable environmental conditions (Bale, 1993).

The insect winter ecology has traditionally divided into two main groups the several overwintering strategies that can be observed: "freeze tolerant" and "freeze avoiding". Some overwintering insects replace water in their bodies with glycerol or polyhydroxyl alcohols, which act as antifreeze to make them freeze tolerant (Bale & Hayward, 2010) while many other insects partially avoid the cold by hiding in protected, warmer locations such as leaf litter, the bark of certain trees or under the soil surface (e.g. Karg, 2004). The group of insects showing the ability to overwinter in the ground can be further split into two subgroups: 1) insects that have evolved to enter in the diapause phase (usually pupal diapause) as soon as they penetrate into the ground and find a suitable place and 2) insects that can feed within the rhizosphere before or during the overwintering phase.

Many examples can be found for the first group of insects such as the medfly, *Ceratitis capitata,* whose larvae, upon arrival at ground level, transform immediately to pupal stage and remain in diapause until the climate is suitable for adult emergence (Bento et al., 2010). Further examples are the potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Ferro et al., 1999) or the beet armyworm *Spodoptera exigua* (Zheng et al., 2011).

Examples of the aforementioned second group are more scarce (or less known). The lupine ghost moth, *Hepialus californicus*, whose larvae develop and feed on the lupine root, has one generation per year. Pupation occurs in winter and pupal diapause lasts until the adult emergence in the spring (Nielsen et al., 2000). Another species, the grape root borer, *Vitacea polistiformis*, feeds on the roots of grape vines. The life cycle takes two years to complete and almost all of it is spent as larvae. Flying adults emerge in the beginning of the summer after an obligate pupal diapause (Williams & Snow, 1991). A third example is the cabbage root fly, *Delia radicum*, whose larvae feed on the roots and stems of cabbage plants. After overwintering as pupae in the soil adult flies emerge in the spring (Nottingham, 1988).

Species of the last mentioned group are obligated insect root herbivores and their flying adults emerge for mating after a pupal diapause phase. However there is a particular family of insects showing a different pattern of behavior than any other, the mealybugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). They are sap-sucking herbivorous that feed on leaves, stems and twigs of trees, shrubs and herbs from spring to the end of the autumn (Hardy et al., 2008). Females of several mealybug species have been reported to migrate during the winter from the plant canopy toward the basal parts of the trunks, mostly on citrus trees and vineyards (Longo, 1986; Franco, 1992; Geiger & Daane, 2001; Cid et al., 2010). However, until now, the presence of mealybugs at ground level was considered accidental and insignificant being considered the tree canopy or the trunk the main overwintering areas (Rivnay, 1961; Klein, 1994; Franco et al., 2000; Bignell et al., 2018).

In this study, mealybugs were chosen as a model for a new group of insects with a previously undescribed behavior: insects that spend half of their lifecycle feeding on the

aerial parts of the plant and change their main developing environment for that of the subterranean rhizosphere environment to overwinter while continuing to feed and develop. In other words, an adaptive strategy that allows some aboveground insect herbivores to turn into belowground herbivores to survive and reproduce during the winter. We conducted specifically designed field experiments in order to show that the rhizosphere represents an essential environmental medium during the winter months for mealybugs' life cycle in which they continue their reproductive process. Additionally we aimed to determine mealybugs' preferred root areas to overwinter. The large amount of flying mealybugs captured in spring while emerging from the soil show that we are facing a new overwintering strategy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Studied organisms

Mealybugs are a large family of *Coccoidea* insects comprising more than 2000 species worldwide distributed (Ben-Dov, 1994). They are sexually dimorphic: the adult female mealybug is wingless and is covered with a white-cottony wax. However, male mealybugs are smaller and do exhibit a radical change during their life cycle, changing from wingless, ovoid nymphs to wasp-like flying adults (Ben-Dov, 1994; Jahn et al., 2003).

Mealybugs are sap-sucking insects feeding on many species of shrubs, trees and grasses. Some of them, such as sugarcane, pineapple, cotton, pear, mulberry, sunflower, cacti, gardenias and orchids are economically important agricultural and ornamental plants (Ben-Dov, 1994; Jahn et al., 2003; Hardy et al., 2008; Arif et al., 2012). Nevertheless, mealybugs feeding on subtropical fruit trees and vineyards are the most widely studied due to their wide distribution and severe pest effects on these plants. Citrus are probably the most cited, but mealybugs have been also described as a serious pest on mango, papaya

and persimmon trees (i.e. Franco et al., 2000; Jahn et al., 2003; Wakgari & Giliomee, 2004; Karar et al., 2010; Cid et al., 2010; Pacheco da Silva et al., 2016).

The occasional appearance of mealybugs on the soil surface or underground have been associated with the fruit tree dropping (Rivnay, 1961; Panis, 1986). Accordingly, female progeny have been described to migrate during the spring from the bottom part of the plants towards the fruit tree canopy (Longo, 1986; Panis, 1986; Franco, 1992, Cid et al., 2010). However, studies trying to find overwintering mealybugs belowground, on adventitious plant roots and on fruit tree roots, did not obtain any result in this respect (Klein, 1994; Franco et al., 2000; Cid et al., 2010; Bignell et al., 2018). Thus authors assumed that ground presence was accidental and irrelevant.

As a model for this study we used the binome mealybugs-persimmon fruit trees. The oriental persimmon tree, Diospyros kaki, native of China, has become widespread in the Mediterranean basin during last decades (Giunchi et al., 1988; Orobal et al., 2012; FAOSTAT, 2019) and in many countries such as the Republic of Korea, Japan, Brazil, Chile, United States and Australia (Wakgari & Giliomee, 2004; Pacheco da Silva et al., 2016; Bignell et al., 2018; FAOSTAT, 2019; Ames, 2021). In most of these countries mealybugs have been reported as a serious pest, and this is also the case in eastern Spain (Alonso-Muñoz et al., 2004; Tena et al., 2015), the region where this study was performed. In Spain, the citrus mealybug Planococcus citri and the obscure mealybug Pseudococcus viburni have been cited as the most abundant mealybugs in persimmon orchards (Romero-Colomer et al., 2010; García-Martínez et al., 2017), however recent studies have shown that in some areas these species have been outcompeted by the newly arrived Pseudococcus longispinus, the long-tailed mealybug (Plata et al., 2022). P. citri, the most widely studied species, can complete 5 generations per year in spanish citrus/persimmon growing areas and its lower developmental threshold temperature is 8,3 °C (Martínez-Ferrer el al., 2003).

Study area and sampling stages

Field studies were performed in Valencia Region, a traditional Spanish agriculture area located in the western Mediterranean basin. The landscape of the study area is composed mainly of small citrus and persimmon orchards, of <1 ha spread over an extension of about 160,000 ha in an almost continuous belt, 300 km long from north to south and about 60 km wide. The area has a typical Mediterranean coastal climate with temperatures seldom below 0°C during winter due to the influence of the sea.

The persimmon production area has been increasing since 1998 upto about 18,000 ha in 2019, replacing in many cases the previous citrus orchards (Orobal et al. 2012; MAPA, 2020). In the spanish citrus groves mealybugs have been considered one of the main pests for many years, especially the citrus mealybug *P. citri* (Gómez-Menor, 1937; Ripollés, 1990). In the Valencia Region mealybugs are so common and widely distributed in fruit trees and ornamental plants that they have a local name, namely "cotonet", different from the spanish names, "cochinilla algodonosa" or "chanchito blanco".

From 2018 to 2020 we conducted 3 consecutive field experiments. The survey was scheduled in progressive form and the results of the first experiment during the first year were the basis for the design of the sampling protocol for the second experiment that started in 2019. Similarly, the results of the second experiment were the basis for the sampling protocol for the third experiment. Initially, we sampled 3 persimmon orchards belonging to 3 villages, namely Alginet, Sollana and Algemesí, all about 20 km apart (see Fig 1). This was the prior trial before the start of the so called "belowground experiments" in order to have a picture of the mealybug species composition in the area of study, their relative abundance and population dynamics. In the second year we selected one orchard for the specifically designed ground sampling and in the third year we adapted the methodology and dates for the sampling of insect rhizosphere distribution. Therefore the sampling process was divided

into 3 stages: 1) Sampling for species identification and abundance from 2018 to 2020. 2) Sampling for evaluating belowground mealybugs from 2019 to 2020. 3) Sampling to determine mealybugs distribution in the rhizosphere during 2020. All orchards were cultivated under integrated pest management according to EU rules and no treatments against mealybugs were performed. Orchards had been under identical farming practice for at least the previous 5 years.

Tree canopy mealybugs

Two mealybug sampling methods were used on the canopy of persimmon trees: 1) delta traps for males and 2) direct visual observation of fruits for females. Delta traps consist of a plastic trap, folded into a triangle with a suspension hook hung on a branch and with a sticky insert where female sex pheromones were placed. Traps, baited with P. citri (OpenNatur, Pherobank®) and *P. viburni* female sex pheromones (OpenNatur, Pherobank®) were placed in the canopy of two trees in every targeted orchard. These pheromones were selected on the basis of previous results of other studies in fruit orchards of the Valencia Region (García-Martínez et al., 2017). Fruits visual inspection of calyx and fruit surface was performed from the fruit set (phenology 77, developing fruit according to the BBCH scale) up to the end of the sampling period or until fruit harvest if that was the case. Fruits of citrus and persimmon trees are described to attract and concentrate mealybugs (Franco et al., 2000; Franco et al., 2004; Martínez-Ferrer, 2003). For each sampling day, 100 persimmon fruits were randomly selected from 20 trees (that is 6000 fruits visually examined per day unless fruit harvesting had started). When present, mealybugs were annotated and adult females identified to species level. The taxonomic identification of the mealybug species is based almost exclusively on the morphological characteristics of the adult female (Yang & Sadof, 1995, Beltrà & Soto, 2012).

Both the fruit observation and the traps collection were performed on the same day (if fruits were available), weekly from May to November and once per month during the coldest

period for this region i.e. December to April for the 3 years. Traps were replaced at the same time they were collected. The trap sampling during the second and third year was intended to compare captures and seasonal population dynamics with those obtained from belowground sampling during the same period. In the laboratory traps were examined and mealybug males captured were identified to species level and counted. Adult females on the fruits were also identified to species level and counted.

Taking into account the sharp decline in the abundance of mealybugs on fruits and traps at the beginning of the winter and the low level of mealybugs observed on the leaves, branches or tree trunks we assumed that, at least part of them, were overwintering in the rhizosphere. This fostered the idea of developing sampling methods to evaluate the presence of mealybugs belowground. We use the results of these pre-assays to determine the sampling period and the best pheromone for capturing mealybugs overwintering in the ground.

Belowground mealybugs

For this experiment we selected the orchard with the highest levels of mealybugs during the first year of canopy sampling, located in Sollana. In light of the data obtained from the previous experiment the sampling period started in June 2019 and ended in October 2020.

Initially we dug holes roughly 0,2 x 0,2 meters in size at distances varying from the tree trunk of the examined trees until we found the roots and gently removed the soil around them. We only observed 5 female mealybugs in some of the roots, which confirmed their at ground level presence (as observed by other authors) and 2 more attached to the roots of a *Parietaria* spp spontaneous plant. However, this method is very costly, laborious and difficult to standardize since we don't know previously where the roots are located in the ground. In

addition some mealybugs can be accidentally removed with the soil. Similar problems were described by Bignell et al. 2018 using this mealybug sampling method.

We then tested a new, specifically designed, sampling method using hard plastic trays (50 x 30 cm and 10 cm) which were placed in an upside-down position on the soil surface after removing leaf litter or any grass presence. At the top of the inside part of the trays we attached a 10 × 25 cm sticky plastic trap baited with *P. citri* pheromones. This pheromone was selected taking into account the results of the previous experiment showing that the vast majority of the mealybugs in this area are *P. citri*. Trays were then placed 25 cm away from the persimmon tree trunk. To avoid the lateral entrance of any insects the lateral borders of the trays were covered and sealed with loose soil. The experiment was replicated in 2 trees for the period 2019-2020 and in 4 trees in 2020, all of them spaced about 30 meters apart. Since ants can tend and/or atack mealybugs (Pekas et al., 2011; Offenberg, 2015; Mani et al., 2016) and thus interfering in the experiment we also checked that there was no ants present near the trays. Traps were collected and replaced weekly from May to November and at least once per month during the rest of the year. In the laboratory all traps were examined and mealybugs identified and counted.

Mealybug root preference

We used the results of the previous experiment confirming the belowground abundance of mealybugs and the period of male emergence from the ground to design an experiment to evaluate the preferred root area (or root size) by mealybugs to feed and overwinter.

From June to August 2020 we placed on the ground 8 plastic trays side-by-side forming a continuous line that filled the gap between two opposite trees of two contiguous field rows. Trays were equal to that described in the previous experiment. The experiment was replicated twice with 10 meters apart between tray lines (Fig 2). Traps were collected and replaced every week. We also checked there were no ants surrounding the trays. In the laboratory all traps were examined and male mealybugs identified and counted.

Data analysis

To standardize the results the number of insects captured in all traps (at tree canopy and ground level) was divided by the number of days that each particular trap was in the field to obtain the average number of mealybugs captured per day.

The number of insects captured in the trap trays was correlated with the ground surface covered by one tray (0,15 m²) to obtain the estimated average number of mealybugs emerging per square meter.

All data were submitted for ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) at P= 0.05 level, followed by a Multiple Range Test for statistical analysis. Comparisons between the means were made using Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD). Data was log-transformed when necessary before ANOVA to stabilize the variance. Statistical analyses were performed using Statgraphics® software (Statgraphics Centurion XVI).

RESULTS

Tree canopy mealybugs

A total of 1.048 delta traps were collected over 3 years. The total number of males captured were 46965 for *P. citri* compared to 2869 for *P. viburni*, meaning that more than 94% of the total mealybug males captured in the pheromone traps were *P. citri*.

Over the 3 years, the captures of *P. citri* mealybugs in traps started at the end of May and reached their highest peak in July before decreasing from September to almost nil

during autumn and winter. The seasonal population dynamic of *P. viburni* was very different, with captures distributed all along the year, a peak of captures observed in September and no clear overwintering period. In order to compare with results of the second experiment we analyzed the trap captures separately for the period 2019-2020 (Fig 3).

A total of 28.400 fruits were examined. Based on adult females observed, three mealybug species were identified on persimmon fruits: *Planococcus citri*, *Pseudococcus viburni* and *Pseudococcus longispinus*. The relative abundance of mealybugs feeding on persimmon fruits (female stages) was: 98 % *P. citri*, 2% *P. viburni*, and <0.1% *P. longispinus*. There were no statistical differences between the years ($F_{2,1045} = 0,10$; P = 0,90). In our study, *P. citri* and *P. viburni* coexisted in most trees (76%) while *P. longispinus* was only found in one of the studied orchards.

The presence of adult females on the fruits steadily increased from the beginning of July until the end of November. The percentage of fruits in which one or more mealybugs were observed is shown in Fig 4. Young females were assumed to be *P. citri* when direct field identification to species level was not possible. Most years fruit harvest was performed at the end of November or at the beginning of December, therefore data collected in December were excluded from figure 4.

Mealybug belowground survival

During the two years, 2019 and 2020, a total of 22930 mealybug males were captured from the sticky traps placed inside the trays. Considering the size of the trays, this means that per square meter and year an estimated average of 25478 ± 1525 mealybug males can emerge every spring.

Captures reached the highest peak in July and decreased from August onwards to almost zero during the autumn and winter (Fig 5). Consequently, we stopped the sampling in

October 2020. As expected, seasonal dynamics were fairly similar to those shown by the captures with Delta pheromone traps placed in the tree canopy. Curiously, for the trap trays in which the experiment took two years the capture of mealybugs during the second year did not decrease i.e. 7156 in 2019 and 8913 in 2020, considering the same time period.

There were no statistical differences between captures in trays in any of the years when we considered the same time period ($F_{3,72} = 0,18$; P = 0,91).

Mealybug root preference

We captured 28801 mealybugs from the 112 trap trays collected (56 traps per line). The number of mealybugs showed a decreasing gradient from the tree vicinity towards the center of the row (Fig 6). The average of captures in the two trap trays closer to each of the tree trunks (within 1,25 m in diameter) was $55,1 \pm 6,1$ insects per day while in those placed at larger distances the average was $18,3 \pm 2,9$. There were statistical differences between both groups (F_{1,110} = 29,64; P < 0.0001). The higher numbers of insects in the traps located next to the tree trunks show that, for overwintering, mealybugs prefer larger tree roots.

DISCUSSION

Considering the small size of the trap trays randomly placed on the ground surface and the surprisingly large amount of flying mealybug males captured every year while emerging from the soil we can infer how big the population of mealybugs overwintering belowground is. Aditionally, we should consider that the sex ratio for *P. citri* is about 1:1 (James, 1937) and that the estimated amount of males that can emerge during spring within 1 meter diameter around a persimmon tree trunk is higher than 25000 insects per square meter.

Previous field works evaluating the mealybug life cycle in temperate climates wrongly assumed that the tree trunk, or some other areas within the tree canopy were considered the main overwintering sites (see Franco, 1992; Geiger & Daane, 2001; Cid et al., 2010; Bignell et al., 2018). However, the results of our novel, specific sampling method give a clear insight into the importance of the role played by the rhizosphere in the life-cycle of these insects. In fact, our results suggest that these insects spend about half of the year belowground. If we compare the captures of pheromone traps placed on the same tree but in the plant canopy during the spring and beginning of the summer, we can observe that the average number of insects captured per day is even higher in the ground traps (more than twice as much most days). Taking into account that the action radius estimated in the field for this pheromone is about 150 meters (Branco et al., 2006) and that part of the insects captured in the tree canopy most likely come from the belowground emerging population, we can conclude that most mealybugs are overwintering in the rhizosphere. Moreover, the results of our third experiment indicate that ground overwintering mealybugs seem to prefer bigger roots near the tree trunk to feed and reproduce rather than the smaller ones further away. A large majority of mealybugs appear to choose the roots closer to the tree trunk nevertheless, even at 2 meters away from the tree trunk, the maximum distance between tree rows, more than 18 mealybugs per day were captured. This means that virtually all the field orchard rhizosphere area contains overwintering mealybugs.

Captures of aerial pheromone traps showed two peaks, the main one in September and a smaller one in October. Other authors found similar peaks of captures in Valencia Region (see Martínez-Ferrer el al., 2003). However, captures of the trap trays were almost zero during these periods which means that insects in the canopy traps during the end of the summer and autumn originate from new generations of females that developed in the aerial plant zone. The highest female mealybug populations on the fruits were observed in October and November. The gap between the peaks of capture for males and females has been previously described by other authors (Balachowsky, 1939). One possible reasons is that female mealybugs begin to release the sex pheromones and are ready to mate the first day after molting but the duration of the pre-oviposition period take several weeks (Nelson-Rees, 1961; Mendel et al., 2012).

Some mealybug species such as *P. citri* were thought to have facultatively parthenogenesis (Myers, 1932). That could partially explain the high numbers of males emerging during the spring of the second year below the trays. However the most recent intensive studies have demonstrated that all female mealybug species studied, *P. citri* included, produced no viable offspring from unmated females (Borges da Silva et al., 2010; Waterworth et al., 2011). Since no adult males have been observed to look for females at the ground level the only possible explanation is that gravid females arrive at the rhizosphere during the autumn either by walking from the trunk, being transported by ants (Pekas et al., 2011), or by falling from the tree canopy (with or without fruits). However, we carefully checked that there were no ants, or ant nests, below or around the sampling trays which suggest that this is not the main way. Besides, it is important to bear in mind that female mealybugs have been reported to survive up to 120 days under laboratory conditions (Nelson-Rees, 1960).

Much is now known about the ways in which insects cope with, and respond to, low temperatures. Studies concerning insect overwintering responses are mostly based on areas where subzero temperatures are common (e.g. Udaka et al., 2014; Sinclair et al., 2015) however overwintering in temperate climates has been less studied. In the case of the mealybugs, the overwintering strategy of the particular group of insects that can feed, complete their life cycle and reproduce both in the tree canopy area during warmer seasons of the year and in the soil during the winter has not been previously recorded. In fact, one of the first assumptions of researchers focusing on winter ecology i.e. "overwintering insects cannot feed" (see Sinclair, 2015) is not true for these insects. Feeding on the roots during the winter is a strategy for mealybugs to maintain their energy reserves at a time at which they minimize their cold exposure. This drastic change in habitat and feeding source during

half of the year while continuing the reproduction process (i.e. complete at least one generation) under the "new belowground conditions" is, to our knowledge, a pattern that has not previously been reported for any other group of insects. In fact, none of the main groups for which insect winter ecology have traditionally classified the known overwintering strategies fits with the mealybug's winter behavior. Therefore, the discovery of this winter survival strategy converts mealybugs, or at least some mealybug species inhabiting temperate zones, into a model for a new ecological group with a different overwintering behavior than any other described group showing cold behavioral avoidance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Pilar Maño and José Estelles for their help with field sampling experiments. The authors are very grateful to COAGRI Agricultural Cooperative which assisted us with the orchards selection and the orchard owners who granted us access for this study. Special thanks to Edward Fellows, from the European Patent Office, Munich (Germany) for the language corrections.

REFERENCES

Alonso-Muñoz, A., García-Marí, F. & Rodríguez-Reina, J. M. (2004) Las plagas del caqui. Bases para su protección integrada en España. Fruticultura profesional 147: 27-48.

Ames, G. (2021). Persimmons, Asian and American, A National Sustainable Agriculture Assistance Program (ATTRA) - National Center for Appropriate Technology (NCAT).

Arif, M. I., Rafiq, M., Wazir, S., Mehmood, N. & Ghaffar, A. (2012). Studies on cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Pseudococcidae: Homoptera), and its natural enemies in Punjab, Pakistan. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 14(4).

Balachowsky, A. (1939). Les Cochenilles de France, d'Europe, du Nord de l'Afrique et du Bassin Mediterraneen reproduction développement embryonnaire et développement postembryonnaire. Hermann & Cie.

Bale, J. S. (1993). Classes of insect cold hardiness. Func. Ecol. 7, 751-753.

Bale, J. S. & Hayward, S. A. L. (2010). Insect Overwintering in a Changing Climate. Journal of Experimental Biology, 213, 980-994.

Ben-Dov, Y. (1994). A systematic catalogue of the mealybugs of the world (Insecta: Homoptera: Coccoidea: Pseudococcidae, and Putoidae) with data on geographical distribution, host plants, biology, and economic importance. Intercept Ltd., Hampshire.

Bento, F. M., Marques, R. N., Costa, M. L., Walder, J. M., Silva, A. P. & Parra, J. R. (2010). Pupal development of *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) and *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) at different moisture values in four soil types. Environ. Entomol. 39(4): 1315-1322.

Bignell, G., Senior, L. & Oag, D. (2018). Monitoring and control strategies for mealybug of persimmon in Australia. Acta Hortic. 1195, 133-140.

Branco, M., Jactel, H., Franco, J. C. & Mendel, Z. (2006). Modelling response of insect trap captures to pheromone dose. Ecological modelling, 197(1-2), 247-257.

Borges da Silva, E., Mendel, Z. & Franco, J.C. (2010). Can facultative parthenogenesis occur in biparental mealybug species?. Phytoparasitica 38, 19–21.

Capinera, J. L. (2008). Encyclopedia of entomology. Springer Science & Business Media.

Cid, M., Pereira, S., Cabaleiro, C. & Segura, A. (2010). Citrus mealybug (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) movement and population dynamics in an arbor-trained vineyard. Journal of Economic Entomology, 103(3), 619-630.

FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization Statistics Crops). 2017. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC [accessed 12 January 2019].

Ferro, D. N., Alyokhin, A. V. & Tobin, D. B. (1999). Reproductive status and flight activity of the overwintered Colorado potato beetle. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata. 91 (3): 443–448.

Franco, J. C. (1992). Citrus phenology as a basis to study the population dynamics of the citrus mealybug complex in Portugal, vol. 3, pp. 929-930. In Proceedings of the 7th International Citrus Congress, 8-13 March 1992 Acireale, Italy. International Society of Citriculture, Acireale, Italy.

Franco, J. C., Borges da Silva, E. & Carvalho, P. J. (2000). Cochonilhas-algodão (Hemiptera, Pseudococcidae) associadas aos citrinos em Portugal. ISA Press Lisbon 142 pp.

Franco, J. C., Suma, P., Da Silva, E. B., Blumberg & D., Mendel, Z. (2004). Management strategies of mealybug pests of citrus in Mediterranean countries. Phytoparasitica, 32(5), 507-522.

García-Martínez, O., Urbaneja, A., Beitia, F. J. & Perez-Hedo, M. (2017). Especies de cotonet y su dinámica poblacional en cultivo de caqui en la Comunidad Valenciana. Phytoma-España, 286 (Febrero), 52-55.

Geiger, C. A., & K. M. Daane. (2001). Seasonal movement and distribution of the grape mealybug (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae): developing a sampling program for San Joaquin Valley vineyards. J. Econ. Entomol. 94: 291-301.

Germain, J. F., Malausa, T. & Botton, M. (2016). Three new species of mealybug (Hemiptera, Coccomorpha, Pseudococcidae) on persimmon fruit trees (*Diospyros kaki*) in southern Brazil. ZooKeys 584: 61-82.

Giunchi, P., Lugaresi, C. & Pollini, A. (1988). Stato fitosanitario della coltura del kaki e difesa dalle avversità. Il kaki, aggiornamenti nella coltura. Ismea Agricoltura Ricerca. Roma Ministerio dell Agricoltura e Foreste. Società orticola italiana. Region Emilia-Romagna. Consorcio loto di Romagna. Ente settimana faentina. ERSO-Cesena: 89-94.

Gómez-Menor, J. (1937). Cóccidos de España. Instituto de investigaciones agronómicas. Madrid. 432 pp.

Hardy, N.B., P.J. Gullan & C.J. Hodgson. (2008). A subfamily-level classification of mealybugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) based on integrated molecular and morphological data. Syst. Entomol. 33:51-71.

Jahn, G. C., Beardsley, J. W., González-Hernández, H. (2003). A review of the association of ants with mealybug wilt disease of pineapple. Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society. 36:9–28.

James, H. C. (1937). Sex ratios and the status of the male in Pseudococcinae (Hem. Coccidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research, 28(3), 429-461.

Karar, H., Sayyed, A. H., Arif, M. J., Ashfaq, M. & Aslam, M. (2010). Integration of cultural and mechanical practices for management of the mango mealybug *Drosicha mangiferae*. Phytoparasitica. 38 (3): 223–229.

Karg, J. (2004). Importance of midfield shelterbelts for over-wintering entomofauna (Turew area, West Poland). Polish Journal of Ecology, 52(4), 421-431.

Klein, M. (1994). Studies of the citrus mealybug,Planococcus citri (Risso): Dynamics of fruit infestation and biological control. M.Sc. thesis, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Faculty of Agriculture, Rehovot, Israel.

Longo, S. (1986). Risultati de! controllo biologico di Planococcus citri (Risso) in agrumeti della Sicilia orientale, In: Carlo Delfino (Ed.). Agrumicoltura, il recente contributo della ricerca allo sviluppo dell'agrumicoltura Italiana, Cagliari (Italy) pp:585-595.

MAPA (Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación) 2020.

https://www.mapa.gob.es/es/estadistica/temas/estadisticas-agrarias/agricultura/superficies-p roducciones-anuales-cultivos/ [accessed 2 March 2021].

Martínez-Ferrer, M. T., García-Marí. F. & Ripolles. J. L. (2003). Population dynamics of *Planococcus citri* Risso (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) in citrus groves in Spain. IOBC wprs Bull. 26: 149 -161.

Mendel, Z., Protasov, A., Jasrotia, P., Silva, E.B., Zada, A. & Franco, J.C. (2012). Sexual maturation and aging of adult male mealybug (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research, 102(4), 385–394.

Meyers, L. E. (1932). Two economic greenhouse mealybugs of Mississippi. Journal of Economic Entomology 25: 891-896.

Nelson-Rees, W.A. (1960). A study of sex predetermination in the mealybug Planococcus citri (Risso) J Exp Zool. 144:111–137.

Nelson-Rees, W.A. (1961). Modification of the ovary of the mealybug Planococcus citri (Risso), due to aging. Journal of Experimental Zoology 146, 85–111.

Nielsen, E. S., Robinson, G. S. & Wagner, D. L. (2000). Ghost-moths of the world: a global inventory and bibliography of the Exoporia (Mnesarchaeoidea and Hepialoidea) (Lepidoptera). Journal of Natural History, 34(6), 823-878.

Nottingham, S. F. (1988). Host-plant finding for oviposition by adult cabbage root fly, *Delia radicum*. Journal of insect physiology, 34(3), 227-234.

Orobal, D., Civera, A. V. & Insa, V. (2012). El cultivo del caqui en la Ribera del Xúquer. Phytoma España 241: 38-41.

Panis, A. (1986). Biological features of Pseudococcus affinis (Mask.) (Homoptera,
Pseudococcidae) as guidelines of its control in water-sprinkled citrus orchards. In: Cavalloro
R & Martino E. D (eds) Integrated Pest Control in Citrus-Groves. Proc Expert Meet. Acireale,
1985. Rotterdam, pp 59-65.

Pacheco da Silva, V.C., Kaydan M.B., Germain, J-F., Malausa, T. & Botton, M. (2016). Three new species of mealybug (Hemiptera, Coccomorpha, Pseudococcidae) on persimmon fruit trees (Diospyros kaki) in southern Brazil. ZooKeys 584: 61-82.

Pekas, A., Tena, A., Aguilar, A. & García-Marí, F. (2011). Spatio-temporal patterns and interactions with honeydew-producing Hemiptera of ants in a Mediterranean citrus orchard. Agric. For. Entomol., 13: 89-97.

Plata, A., Beitia, F. J. & Tena, A. (2022). *Pseudococcus longispinus* como principal especie de pseudocóccido en el cultivo del caqui. Phytoma España, 336, 24-28.

Ripollés, J. L. (1990). Las cochinillas de los agrios.: 4º Symposium Nacional de Agroquímicos. Sevilla 1990. Levante Agrícola: Revista internacional de cítricos, (297), 37-45.

Rivnay, E. (1961). The phenology and status of *Planococcus citri* in the citrus groves in Israel. Israel J. agric. Res. 11:119-124.

Rodríguez-Reina, J. M. (2004). Las plagas del caqui. Bases para su protección integrada en España. Fruticultura profesional 147: 27-48.

Romero-Colomer, F., Chomet-Boix, J. M. & Monzó, C. (2010). Descripción de las plagas principales. P. 71-79. En: J.L. Porcuna (ed.), Guía de agricultura ecológica el caqui. 2010. FECOAV, Valencia.

Sinclair, B. J. (2015). Linking energetics and overwintering in temperate insects. *Journal of thermal biology*, *54*, 5–11.

Tena, A., Pérez, M., Catalán, J., Juan-Blasco, M. & Urbaneja, A. (2015). Fitófagos plaga asociados al cultivo del caqui. In: El cultivo del caqui. IVIA. Generalitat Valenciana. Valencia, pp. 209-239.

Udaka, H. & Sinclair, B. J. (2014). The overwintering biology of the acorn weevil, Curculio glandium in southwestern Ontario, Journal of Thermal Biology, 44, 103-109.

Wakgari, W. M. & Giliomee, J. H. (2004). Insect pests of astringent persimmon in the Western and Eastern Cape Provinces of South Africa. African Plant Protection 10(1): 1–5.

Waterworth, R. A., Wright, I. M. & Millar, J. G. 2011. Reproductive biology of three cosmopolitan mealybug (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) species, *Pseudococcus longispinus, Pseudococcus viburni*, and *Planococcus ficus*. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 104, Vol. 2: 249–260.

Williams, R. N. & Snow, J. W. (1991). Annotated Bibliography of the Grape Root Borer (Lepidoptera: Sesiidae). The Florida Entomologist, 74(2), 320–334.

Zheng, X. L., Cong, X. P., Wang, X. P. & Lei, C. L. (2011). A review of geographic distribution, overwintering and migration in *Spodoptera exigua* Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J Entomol Res Soc 13:39–48.