

Existence of nonnegative nontrivial solutions for Kirchhoff type problems with variable exponent

Changmu Chu¹ and Ying Yu¹

¹Guizhou Minzu University

February 22, 2024

Abstract

This paper is devoted to study a class of Kirchhoff type problems with variable exponent. By means of perturbation technique, variational methods and a priori estimation, the existence of nonnegative nontrivial solutions to this problem is obtain.

Existence of nonnegative nontrivial solutions for Kirchhoff type problems with variable exponent

Changmu Chu*, Ying Yu,

School of Data Science and Information Engineering, Guizhou Minzu University
Guizhou 550025, P.R. China

Abstract

This paper is devoted to study a class of Kirchhoff type problems with variable exponent. By means of perturbation technique, variational methods and a priori estimation, the existence of nonnegative nontrivial solutions to this problem is obtain.

Keywords: Kirchhoff type problems, variable exponent, variational methods, priori estimate, nonnegative nontrivial solution.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 35J20, 35J62, 35Q55.

1 Introduction and main result

Let $0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. In this paper, we consider the following Kirchhoff type problems with variable exponent

$$\begin{cases} -(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = |u|^{q(x)-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$ are real numbers. Define $D_0 = \{0\}$, $D_\rho = \{x | x \in \bar{\Omega}, |x| < \rho\}$ and $\Omega_\rho = \Omega \setminus D_\rho$. We assume $q(x)$ satisfies the following conditions.

(Q₁) $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $q(0) = 4$ and $4 < q(x) \leq \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{q(x)\} = q^+ < 6$ for $x \neq 0$;

(Q₂) there exist $\alpha \in (0, \frac{5}{2})$ such that $q(x) \geq 4 + |x|^\alpha$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Kirchhoff type problem on a smooth-bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ takes the form

$$\begin{cases} -(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = f(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

*Corresponding author. Email address: gzmychuchangmu@sina.com.

which has been studied extensively. Indeed, such a class of problems is called a nonlocal because of the presence of the term $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$, which implies that equation in (1.2) is no longer a pointwise equation. Moreover, equation (1.2) is related to the stationary analogue equation, that is,

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - (a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = f(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

which was first proposed by Kirchhoff (see [8]) in 1883 as an extension of the classical D'Alembert wave equation for free vibrations of elastic strings. The problem (1.2) has been studied by many authors, for example [2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22]. Many solvability conditions on the nonlinearity f near zero and infinity for the problem (1.2) have been considered, such as the superlinear case [14] and asymptotical linear case [17]. In addition, the authors in [9] mentioned the following growth condition on f is often assumed:

$$(f) \quad f(x, t)t \geq 4F(x, t) \text{ for } |t| \text{ large, where } F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds,$$

which assures the boundedness of any Palais-Smale or Cerami sequence (see [20]). Indeed the condition (f) may appear in different forms as follows:

$$(f_0) \quad \text{there exists } \theta \geq 1 \text{ such that } \theta G(x, t) \geq G(x, st) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } s \in [0, 1], \\ \text{where } G(x, t) = tf(x, t) - 4F(x, t) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ (see [17]);}$$

$$(f_1) \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} G(x, t) = \infty \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ (see [21]); or}$$

$$(f_2) \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} G(x, t) = \infty \text{ and there exists } \sigma > \max\{1, N/2\} \text{ such that } |f(x, t)|^\sigma \leq \\ CG(x, t)|t|^\sigma \text{ for any } x \in \Omega \text{ and } |t| \text{ large enough (see [14]).}$$

In the papers above, each of the conditions $(f_0) - (f_2)$ implies that the condition (f) holds. Several researchers studied problem (1.2) with conditions weaker than (f). For example, a more weaker super-quadratic condition is that

$$(S) \quad \frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^4} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } |u| \rightarrow +\infty \text{ uniformly in } x \in \Omega.$$

In [3], the authors obtained the existence of one least energy sign-changing solution for problem (1.2) by condition (S) and some extra assumptions. It is worth mentioning that the above conditions are usually for the non-degenerate case, i.e. the case $a > 0$. In fact, for the degenerate case $a = 0$, some conditions need to be strengthened(see [4]). For example, the condition (f) should be replaced by

$$(f') \quad f(x, t)t > 4F(x, t) \text{ for } |t| \text{ large.}$$

Indeed, if there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $q(x_0) = \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{q(x)\} = 4$, then the conditions (f') and (S) do not hold. This phenomenon does not exist for the constant exponent. Therefore, the problem we intend to study is a new phenomenon. If $a = 0$, it is difficult to verify the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequence of the corresponding functional to equation (1.1). In recent years, some literature has used perturbation method to overcome this difficulty (see [11, 12, 18, 23]). Inspired by the above literature, we first modify the nonlinear term to guarantee the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequence of the corresponding functional and obtain a nonnegative nontrivial solution of perturbation problem by the method of mountain pass lemma. Subsequently, we use the Moser iteration to prove that the nonnegative nontrivial solution of auxiliary problem is indeed a nonnegative nontrivial solution of original problem (1.1).

The main result of this paper reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, the conditions (Q_1) and (Q_2) hold. Then, problem (1.1) has at least a nonnegative nontrivial solution.*

Remark 1.2. *We use the perturbation method and Moser iteration mainly to deal with the degenerate cases. Our results include both degenerate and non-degenerate cases.*

Throughout this paper, We use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the usual norms of $H_0^1(\Omega)$. The letter C stands for positive constant which may take different values at different places.

2 The modified Kirchhoff problem

According to $q(0) = 4$, it seems to be difficult to confirm whether the energy function I corresponding to (1.1) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition or not. To apply variational methods, the first step in proving Theorem 1.1 is modifying the nonlinear term to obtain the perturbation equation. Since $q(x)$ is a continuous function and $q^+ < 6$, we can choose $r > 0$ such that

$$r < \min \left\{ 6 - q^+, \frac{1}{12} \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $\psi(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ be a smooth even function with the following properties: $\psi(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$, $\psi(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 2$ and $\psi(t)$ is monotonically decreasing on the interval $(0, +\infty)$. Define

$$b_\mu(t) = \psi(\mu t), \quad m_\mu(t) = \int_0^t b_\mu(\tau) d\tau,$$

for $\mu \in (0, 1]$. We will deal with the modified problem

$$\begin{cases} -(a + b \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = \left(\frac{u}{m_\mu(u)}\right)^r |u|^{q(x)-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, the conditions (Q_1) and (Q_2) hold. Then, for any $\mu \in (0, 1]$, there exists $L > 0$ independent of μ such that problem (2.2) has at least a nonnegative nontrivial solution u_μ satisfying $0 < I_\mu(u_\mu) < L$.*

The formal energy functional $I_\mu : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (2.2) is defined by

$$I_\mu(u) = \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{4}\|u\|^4 - \int_{\Omega} K_\mu(x, u^+) dx,$$

where $k_\mu(x, t) = \left(\frac{t}{m_\mu(t)}\right)^r |t|^{q(x)-2}t$, $K_\mu(x, t) = \int_0^t k_\mu(x, \tau) d\tau$.

Lemma 2.2. *The function $K_\mu(x, t)$ defined above satisfies the following inequalities:*

$$K_\mu(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{q(x)}tk_\mu(x, t), \quad K_\mu(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{q(x) + r}tk_\mu(x, t) + C_\mu,$$

for $t > 0$, where $C_\mu > 0$ is a positive constant.

Proof. Since $b_\mu(t)$ is a monotonically decreasing on the interval $(0, +\infty)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{t}{m_\mu(t)} \right) = \frac{m_\mu(t) - tb_\mu(t)}{m_\mu^2(t)} = \frac{t(b_\mu(\xi) - b_\mu(t))}{m_\mu^2(t)} \geq 0,$$

for $t > 0$, where $\xi \in (0, t)$. Therefore, $\frac{t}{m_\mu(t)}$ is monotonically increasing on the interval $(0, +\infty)$. Hence, $\frac{k_\mu(x, t)}{t^{q(x)-1}} = \left(\frac{t}{m_\mu(t)}\right)^r$ is also monotonically increasing on the interval $(0, +\infty)$. It follows that

$$K_\mu(x, t) = \int_0^t k_\mu(x, \tau) d\tau \leq \int_0^t \frac{k_\mu(x, t)}{t^{q(x)-1}} \tau^{q(x)-1} d\tau = \frac{1}{q(x)}tk_\mu(x, t), \quad (2.3)$$

for $t > 0$.

By definition of the function m_μ , we have $m_\mu(t) = \frac{A}{\mu}$ for $t \geq \frac{2}{\mu}$, where $A = 1 + \int_1^2 \psi(\tau) d\tau$. For $t > \frac{2}{\mu}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu(x, t) &= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\mu}} k_\mu(x, \tau) d\tau + \int_{\frac{2}{\mu}}^t \left(\frac{\mu}{A}\right)^r \tau^{q(x)+r-1} d\tau \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2}{\mu}} \left(k_\mu(x, \tau) - \left(\frac{\mu}{A}\right)^r \tau^{q(x)+r-1}\right) d\tau + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\mu}{A}\right)^r \tau^{q(x)+r-1} d\tau \\ &\leq C_\mu + \frac{tk_\mu(x, t)}{q(x) + r}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

It implies from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$K_\mu(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{q(x) + r}tk_\mu(x, t) + C_\mu$$

for $t > 0$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, the conditions (Q_1) and (Q_2) hold. Then, for any $\mu \in (0, 1]$, I_μ satisfies the (PS) condition.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a (PS) sequence of I_μ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This means that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|I_\mu(u_n)| \leq C, \quad I'_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.5) and Lemma 2.2, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & I_\mu(u_n) - \frac{1}{4+r} \langle I'_\mu(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ & \geq \frac{(2+r)a}{2(4+r)} \|u_n\|^2 + \frac{br}{4(4+r)} \|u_n\|^4 + \int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{4+r} - \frac{1}{q(x)+r} \right) k_\mu(x, u_n^+) u_n^+ dx - C_\mu \\ & \geq \frac{br}{4(4+r)} \|u_n\|^4 - C_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\frac{br}{4(4+r)} \|u_n\|^4 \leq C + C_\mu + o(\|u_n\|)$. We obtain $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since the functional $I_\mu(u)$ is of subcritical growth, by a standard argument $I_\mu(u)$ satisfies the (PS) condition. \square

In the following lemma, we will verify that I_μ possesses the mountain pass geometry.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, the conditions (Q_1) and (Q_2) hold. Then, the functional I_μ possesses the mountain pass geometry, namely,*

- (1) *there exist $m, \rho > 0$ such that $I_\mu(u) > m$ for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\|u\| = \rho$;*
- (2) *there exists $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\|w\| > \rho$ and $I_\mu(w) < 0$.*

Proof. By definition of the function k_μ , we have

$$|k_\mu(x, t)| \leq |t|^{q(x)-1} + \left(\frac{\mu}{A} \right)^r |t|^{q(x)+r-1}.$$

It follows that

$$|K_\mu(x, t)| \leq \frac{|t|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} + \left(\frac{\mu}{A} \right)^r \frac{|t|^{q(x)+r}}{q(x)+r}.$$

Therefore, there exists $C_\mu > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_\Omega K_\mu(x, u^+) dx \right| \leq C_\mu \int_\Omega (|u|^{q(x)} + |u|^{q(x)+r}) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

By the Sobolev imbedding theorem, it implies from $4 \leq q(x) < q(x) + r < 6$ that

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)+r} dx \leq \int_\Omega (|u|^{4+r} + |u|^6) dx \leq C(\|u\|^{4+r} + \|u\|^6). \quad (2.7)$$

Set $V_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega | 4 \leq q(x) < 4 + \varepsilon\}$. By the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev imbedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx &= \int_{V_\varepsilon} |u|^{q(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus V_\varepsilon} |u|^{q(x)} dx \\
&\leq \int_{V_\varepsilon} (|u|^4 + |u|^{4+\varepsilon}) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus V_\varepsilon} (|u|^{4+\varepsilon} + |u|^6) dx \\
&\leq \int_{V_\varepsilon} |u|^4 dx + \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{4+\varepsilon} + |u|^6) dx \\
&\leq C|V_\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|^4 + C(\|u\|^{4+\varepsilon} + \|u\|^6).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since $V_0 = \{0\}$, we obtain $|V_\varepsilon| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Fix $\mu \in (0, 1]$, it implies that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$|V_\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{3}} < \frac{b}{8CC_\mu}, \tag{2.9}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. From (2.6)-(2.9), we obtain

$$I_\mu(u) \geq \frac{b}{8} \|u\|^4 - C_\mu(\|u\|^{4+\varepsilon} + \|u\|^{4+r} + \|u\|^6).$$

Therefore, there exist $m, \rho > 0$ such that $I_\mu(u) > m$ for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\|u\| = \rho$.

By definition of the function k_μ , we obtain $k_\mu(x, t) \geq t^{q(x)-1}$. According to (Q_1) , we know that there exists a positive measurable set $U_0 \subset \Omega$ such that

$$q(x) \geq \frac{4 + q^+}{2} \quad \text{for any } x \in U_0. \tag{2.10}$$

Fix a nonnegative function $v_0 \in H_0^1(U_0) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for $t > 0$ sufficiently large, we obtain

$$I_\mu(tv_0) \leq \frac{at^2}{2} \|v_0\|^2 + \frac{bt^4}{4} \|v_0\|^4 - t^{\frac{4+q^+}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_0|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx < 0.$$

Choosing $w = t_0 v_0$ with $t_0 > 0$ large enough, we have $\|w\| > \rho$ and $I_\mu(w) < 0$. \square

Now we are in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we see that the functional I_μ satisfies the (PS) condition and has the mountain pass geometry. Define

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H_0^1(\Omega)) | \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = w\}, \quad c_\mu = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_\mu(\gamma(t)).$$

By the mountain pass theorem(see [1]), we obtain that problem (2.2) has a solution u_μ . After a direct calculation, we derive that $a\|u_\mu^-\|^2 + b\|u_\mu^-\|^4 = \langle I'_\mu(u_\mu), u_\mu^- \rangle = 0$, which implies that $u_\mu^- = 0$. Hence, $u_\mu \geq 0$. Since $I_\mu(u_\mu) = c_\mu > 0 = I_\mu(0)$, we have $u_\mu \neq 0$.

So we obtain u_μ is a nonnegative nontrivial solution of problem (2.2). It follows from (2.10) that

$$I_\mu(u_\mu) = c_\mu \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\mu(tw) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left(\frac{at^2}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{bt^4}{4} \|w\|^4 - t^{\frac{4+q^+}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx \right) = L,$$

where L independent of μ . The proof is complete. \square

3 A priori estimate and proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will show that solutions of auxiliary problem (2.2) are indeed solutions of original problem (1.1). For this purpose, we need the following uniform L^∞ -estimate for critical points of the functional I_μ .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, the conditions (Q_1) and (Q_2) hold. If $I_\mu(v) \leq L$ and $I'_\mu(v) = 0$, then there exists $C = C(L) > 0$ independent of μ such that $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq C$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $I_\mu(v) \leq L$ and $I'_\mu(v) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &\geq I_\mu(v) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\mu(v), v \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2} \|v\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) k_\mu(x, v) v dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Case 1. If $a > 0$, then according to (Q_1) and (3.1), we obtain $\|v\|^2 \leq \frac{2L}{a}$, then there exist $C = C(L) > 0$ independent of μ such that $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq C$.

Case 2. If $a = 0$, then according to (Q_2) and (3.1), there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) k_\mu(x, v) v dx \\ &\geq C_0 \int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha k_\mu(x, v) v dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, for any $\rho > 0$, we know that there exists $m_\rho > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_\rho} k_\mu(x, v) v dx \leq \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha} \int_{\Omega_\rho} |x|^\alpha k_\mu(x, v) v dx \leq m_\rho, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\int_{D_\rho} |x|^\alpha |v|^{q(x)} dx \leq \int_{D_\rho} |x|^\alpha k_\mu(x, v) v dx \leq L/C_0. \quad (3.4)$$

From (Q_2) and (2.1), we have

$$0 < \frac{\alpha(1+r)}{2-(1+r)} = \frac{\alpha(1+r)}{1-r} < \frac{13\alpha}{11} < 3.$$

Therefore, we can choose $p \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$ satisfying

$$\frac{p(1-r)}{p-1} > 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{p\alpha(1+r)}{2+r-p(1+r)} < \frac{23}{8} < 3. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $q_\rho^+ = \sup\{q(x)|x \in D_\rho\}$. It follows from (Q_1) and (3.5) that

$$q_\rho^+ \leq \frac{p(1-r)}{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{p\alpha(q_\rho^+ - 1 + r)}{q_\rho^+ - p(q_\rho^+ - 1 + r)} < 3 \quad (3.6)$$

Using the Young inequality, we deduce from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\rho} |v|^{p(q(x)+r-1)} dx &= \int_{D_\rho} (|x|^\alpha |v|^{q(x)})^{\frac{p(q(x)+r-1)}{q(x)}} \cdot |x|^{-\frac{p\alpha(q(x)+r-1)}{q(x)}} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{D_\rho} |x|^\alpha |v|^{q(x)} dx + C \int_{D_\rho} |x|^{-\frac{p\alpha(q(x)+r-1)}{q(x)-p(q(x)+r-1)}} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{D_\rho} |x|^\alpha |v|^{q(x)} dx + C \int_{D_\rho} |x|^{-\frac{p\alpha(q_\rho^++r-1)}{q_\rho^+-p(q_\rho^++r-1)}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{CL}{C_0} + C\rho^{3-\frac{p\alpha(q_\rho^++r-1)}{q_\rho^+-p(q_\rho^++r-1)}} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Choose r and ρ such that $6(q(x) + r - 4) \leq p(q(x) + r - 1)$. By the Hölder inequality, we deduce from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\rho} |v|^{q(x)+r} dx &\leq \left(\int_{D_\rho} |v|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{4}{6}} \left(\int_{D_\rho} |v|^{6(q(x)+r-4)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\int_{D_\rho} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\ &\leq C \|v\|_6^4 \left(\int_{D_\rho} (1 + |v|^{p(q(x)+r-1)}) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} |D_\rho|^{\frac{1}{6}} \\ &\leq C\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_6^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a solution of problem (2.2), when $a = 0$, we have

$$-(b \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \Delta v = k_\mu(x, v), \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.9)$$

Multiply problem (3.9) by v and integrate, it implies from (3.3) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
b\|v\|^4 &= \int_{\Omega} k_{\mu}(x, v)v dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\rho}} k_{\mu}(x, v)v dx + \int_{\Omega_{\rho}} k_{\mu}(x, v)v dx \\
&\leq \int_{D_{\rho}} |v|^{q(x)+r} dx + m_{\rho} \\
&\leq C\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|_6^4 + m_{\rho} \\
&\leq C\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(S_3^{-1})^2\|v\|^4 + m_{\rho} \\
&\leq C\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v\|^4 + m_{\rho},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where S_3 is the best embedding constant for $H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^6(\Omega)$. We can choose $\rho_0 > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$b - C\rho_0^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0.$$

It follows from (3.10) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq C.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, the conditions (Q_1) and (Q_2) hold. If v is a critical point of I_{μ} with $I_{\mu}(v) \leq L$, then there exists a positive constant $M = M(L)$ independent of μ such that $\|V\|_{\infty} \leq M$.*

Proof. Using the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^6 dx \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^3 \leq C. \tag{3.11}$$

Let $s > 0$ and $t = q^+ + r$. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi \nabla \varphi^{2s+1} dx = (2s+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \varphi^{2s} dx > 0,$$

for any $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since v is a solution of problem (2.2), multiply problem (2.2) by v^{2s+1} and integrate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
b\|v\|^2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla v^{2s+1} dx &\leq (a + b\|v\|^2) \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla v^{2s+1} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} k_{\mu}(x, v)v^{2s+1} dx \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2s+t} dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} b\|v\|^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 v^{2s} dx &= \frac{b\|v\|^2}{2s+1} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla v^{2s+1} dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2s+t} dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

On the one hand, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b\|v\|^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 v^{2s} dx &= \frac{b\|v\|^2}{(1+s)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^{1+s}|^2 dx \\ &\geq \frac{b\|v\|^2}{(1+s)^2} C \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{6(1+s)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2s+t} dx &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{t-2}{6}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{2(1+s)\frac{6}{8-t}} dx \right)^{\frac{8-t}{6}} \\ &\leq C\|v\|^{t-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{(1+s)\frac{6}{d}} dx \right)^{\frac{d}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $d = \frac{8-t}{2} > 1$. And by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \leq C\|v\|^2. \quad (3.15)$$

According to (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b\|v\|^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{6(1+s)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} &\leq \frac{(1+s)^2}{C} b\|v\|^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 v^{2s} dx \\ &\leq \frac{(1+s)^2}{C} \cdot C \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2s+t} dx \right) \\ &\leq \frac{(1+s)^2}{C} \cdot C \left(C\|v\|^2 + C\|v\|^{t-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{(1+s)\frac{6}{d}} dx \right)^{\frac{d}{3}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

then, by Lemma 3.1, when $b > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{6(1+s)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} &\leq (C(1+s))^2 \left(1 + \|v\|^{t-4} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{(1+s)\frac{6}{d}} dx \right)^{\frac{d}{3}} \right) \\ &\leq (C(1+s))^2 \left(1 + \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{(1+s)\frac{6}{d}} dx \right)^{\frac{d}{3}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\frac{1}{2(1+s)} < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{6(1+s)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6(1+s)}} \\
& \leq (C(1+s))^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \left(1 + \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{(1+s)\frac{6}{d}} dx \right)^{\frac{d}{6(1+s)}} \right) \\
& \leq (2C(1+s))^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \max \left\{ 1, \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{(1+s)\frac{6}{d}} dx \right)^{\frac{d}{6(1+s)}} \right\}. \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we carry out an iteration process. Set $s_k = d^k - 1$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{6d^k} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6d^k}} & \leq (2Cd^k)^{\frac{1}{d^k}} \max \left\{ 1, \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{6d^{k-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6d^{k-1}}} \right\} \\
& \leq \prod_{j=1}^k (2Cd^j)^{\frac{1}{d^j}} \max \left\{ 1, \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \right\} \\
& \leq (2C)^{\sum_{j=1}^k d^{-j}} \cdot d^{\sum_{j=1}^k jd^{-j}} \max \left\{ 1, \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \right\}. \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $d > 1$, the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d^{-j}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jd^{-j}$ are convergent. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude from (3.11) and (3.17) that $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq M$. The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 2.1, we know that problem (2.2) has at least a nonnegative nontrivial solution u_{μ} satisfying $0 < I_{\mu}(u_{\mu}) < L$. By definition of the function m_{μ} , we have $m_{\mu}(t) = t$ for $t \leq \frac{1}{\mu}$. Hence, problem (2.2) reduce to problem (1.1) for $|u| \leq \frac{1}{\mu}$. Let $\mu < \frac{1}{2M}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$|u_{\mu}| \leq \frac{1}{\mu}, \tag{3.18}$$

then u_{μ} is indeed a nonnegative nontrivial solution of problem (1.1). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete. \square

Availability of data and material

The authors declare that our manuscript has no associated date.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11861021).

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **14** (1973), 347–381.
- [2] C.Y. Chen, Y.C. Kuo, T.F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a Kirchhoff type problem involving sign-changing weight functions, *J. Differential Equations*, **250** (2011), 1876–1908.
- [3] B.T. Cheng, Least energy sign-changing solutions for a class of nonlocal Kirchhoff-type problems, *SpringerPlus*, **5** (2016), 1–9.
- [4] N.T. Chung, Multiplicity results for a class of $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equations with combined nonlinearities, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **2012** (2012), 1–13.
- [5] X.M. He, W.M. Zou, Infinitely many positive solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009), 1407–1414.
- [6] X.M. He, W.M. Zou, Multiplicity of solutions for a class of Kirchhoff type problems, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, **26** (2010), 387–394.
- [7] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer-type problem set on R^N , *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.*, **129** (1999), 787–809.
- [8] G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [9] Y. Li, F. Li, J. Shi, Existence of positive solutions to Kirchhoff type problems with zero mass, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **410** (2014), 361–374.
- [10] Z.P. Liang, F.Y. Li, J.P. Shi, Positive solutions to Kirchhoff type equations with nonlinearity having prescribed asymptotic behavior, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, **31** (2014), 155–167.
- [11] Z. Liu, Y. Lou, J. Zhang, A perturbation approach to studying sign-changing solutions of Kirchhoff equations with a general nonlinearity, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **4** (2022), no. 3, 1229–1255.
- [12] Z. Liu, H. Luo, J. Zhang, Existence and multiplicity of bound state solutions to a Kirchhoff type equation with a general nonlinearity, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **32** (2022), no. 4, 25 pp.
- [13] G.G. Liu, S.Y. Shi, Y.C. Wei, Multiplicity of solutions for Kirchhoff-type problem with two-superlinear potentials, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **42** (2019), 1657–1673.
- [14] A.M. Mao, Z.T. Zhang, Sign-changing and multiple solutions of Kirchhoff type problems without the P.S. condition, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009), 1275–1287.

- [15] Z.Q. Ou, C. Li, Existence of nontrivial solutions for a class of nonlocal Kirchhoff type problems, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2018** (2018), 1–7.
- [16] R. Pei, C. Ma, Multiple solutions for a Kirchhoff-type equation, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **17** (2020), 1–16.
- [17] J.J. Sun, C.L. Tang, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for Kirchhoff type equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **74** (2011), 1212–1222.
- [18] Z. Wang, G. Jia, Existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions to the modified Kirchhoff equation without the growth and Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz conditions, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **2** (2021), No. 83, 18 pp.
- [19] Z. Wang, M. Sun, Y. Chen, Multiplicity results for the Kirchhoff type equation via critical groups, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2018** (2018), 1–12.
- [20] X. Wu, Existence of nontrivial solutions and high energy solutions for Schrödinger-Kirchhoff-type equations in R^N , *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, **12** (2011), 1278–1287.
- [21] Y. Yang, J.H. Zhang, Nontrivial solutions of a class of nonlocal problems via local linking theory, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23** (2010), 377–380.
- [22] Z. Zhang, P. Kanishka, Sign changing solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via invariant sets of descent flow, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **317** (2006), 1456–463.
- [23] J.F. Zhao, X.Q. Liu, p -Laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N with finite potential via truncation method, the critical case, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **455** (2017), 58–88.