Thermal decomposition and fire extinguishing mechanism of CF3I: a combined theoretical and experimental study

Rourou Yu¹, Wenhao Hu¹, Xiao Zhang², Xingyu Wang², and Zhaoyang Tan¹

¹Hebei University of Technology ²Civil Aviation University of China

August 4, 2021

Abstract

The urgent desire for Halon substitution propels the exploration of potential alternatives, because of the severe damage of Halons to the stratospheric ozone layer. In this paper, the thermal decomposition mechanism, as well as fire-extinguishing mechanism and performance of Trifluoroiodomethane (CF3I) were studied by density functional theory (DFT) calculation and experimental measurements, to analyze the practicability of this proposed Halon substitute. The thermal decomposition products of CF3I can react with active $OH \cdot$ and $H \cdot$ radicals to achieve the purpose of rapidly fire-extinguishing. Besides, through DFT calculation and reaction kinetics analysis, the fire-extinguishing radicals CF3 \cdot and I \cdot are more easily generated during the interaction between CF3I and flame, which indicates the chemical- extinguishing mechanism and pronounced fire-extinguishing performance of CF3I. To explore its actual fire-extinguishing effect, the fire-extinguishing concentration (FEC) of CF3I was measured in cup burner. The FEC value of this proposed Halon substitute is 3.42vol% for extinguishment of methane-air flame, which is smaller than those of three HFCs and HFO-1336mzz(Z) and is comparable to that of Halon 1301. These findings suggest the promising applicability of CF3I in practical Halon replacement and the necessity of further evaluation.

Introduction

"Halon" 1301 (CF₃Br) and "Halon" 1211 (CF₂ClBr) are widely used high-performance fire-extinguishers. However, Halons are ozone-depleting substances (ODSs) and synthetic greenhouse gases (GHGs), which caused serious damage to the ozone layer and had a significant impact on the ecological environment. Therefore, the Montreal Protocol (1987) and subsequent amendments have prohibited the production and usage of Halons and Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). Besides, the European Union is requested to accomplish the replacement of Halon 1301 and 1211 in newly constructed aircraft by 2018 and in existing ones by 2040. Therefore, the exploration for low ozone depletion potential (ODP) and pronounced fire-extinguishing performance is of crucial significance¹⁻³.

Among the product candidates for Halon substitutes, Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), 2-bromo-3, 3, 3trifluoropropane (2-BTP) and perfluoro2-methyl-3-pentone (PFMP) products have been well studied and were considered as potential alternative to clean fire-extinguishing agents. For example, HFC-227ea is a colorless, odorless, low-toxic and non-conductive clean gas. It has good thermal and chemical stability⁴ and can reliably extinguish fires with a low concentration (6.3vol%). PFMP⁵ was found to be a Halon substitute owing to its negligible global warming potential (GWP), zero ODP, and promising fire-extinguishing performance. And 2-BTP was considered as the potential replacement⁶, because it has an atmospheric lifetime of days to weeks and can be removed from the atmosphere by OH· radicals in the photolysis reaction⁷. Despite the obvious advantages of the above alternatives, HFCs, 2-BTP, and PFMP also have drawbacks to a certain extent that limit their use in some critical applications. For example, HFCs have long atmospheric life and high GWP, which do not meet the requirements of environmental protection. 2-BTP contains Br, which is too toxic and can cause harm to the human body. And the boiling point of PEMP is too high, hard to vaporize, which has impact on fire efficiency. Therefore, the above fire-extinguishing agents will be phased out in the future⁸⁻¹⁰.

Considering the drawbacks of these Halon substitutes, we have attempted to assess the possibility of using the environmentally friendly Iodinated alkanes as the Halon replacements and found that CF_3I has a FEC of 3.0vol% on n-heptane-air flame in a cup burner, which is similar to that of Halon 1301. Besides, CF_3I is a new type of environmentally friendly (GWP<5, ODP=0.008) alternative refrigerant and insulating gases with low toxicity, and CF_3I also has good compatibility with the material. At present, the reaction kinetics of CF_3I and OH^* have been investigated by using Ab initio calculation in a published paper¹¹ which analyzed the formation enthalpy of the main reaction product HOI. Therefore, a further study on the initial pyrolysis reaction mechanism of CF_3I is essential to evaluate the fire-extinguishing performance of this potential Halon substitute.

In this paper, the thermal decomposition mechanism of CF_3I has been simulated by DFT with high precision quantum mechanics, and the subsequent reaction channels between CF_3I and hydroxyl and hydrogen radicals have been calculated, which reveals the fire-extinguishing mechanism of this halogenated hydrocarbon containing iodine. Furthermore, the FEC of methane-air flame was measured to compare the fire-extinguishing performance of CF_3I with HFCs. The results show that the fire-extinguishing performance of CF_3I is better, even equal to that of Halon 1301. Therefore, we believe that CF_3I has the potential to be used as a substitute of Halon for clean fire-extinguishing agents.

2. Experimental and computational details

Calculation method

In this paper, the Gaussian¹² software package was used to study the thermal decomposition and fireextinguishing mechanism of $CF_{3}I$ by means of Ab initio quantum chemistry and DFT. The geometrical configuration optimization and vibration analysis of all stationary points involved in the reactions were obtained at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level, and at the same level group, the relationship between reactants, products, intermediates and transition states (TS) was analyzed by using the theory of intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC), and the correctness of each reaction path was verified. For the analysis for transition states, TS, QST2 and QST3 methods are employed when necessary. In order to obtain more accurate energy value, a more accurate method was used to calculate the single point energy of the stationary point optimized in the reaction at the level of CCSD/LanL2DZ basis set, and the accurate energy barrier value was obtained. All bond dissociation energies (BDEs) and energy barriers are corrected by zero point energy (ZPE).

In addition, on the basis of calculating the energy barrier of reaction path, the classical variational transition state theory (CVT) method, which is the most widely used in VTST, is used to calculate the reaction rate constants of each reaction path under the condition of considering Eckart tunneling effect, to further verify the possibility of each reaction path. The above calculation was completed by the Kisthelp¹³ package.

2.2 Experimental method

2.2.1 Thermal decomposition analysis

The thermal decomposition of $CF_{3}I$ (Yuji Tech, 99%) was conducted in a quartz tube reactor under argon (Tianjin sizhi gas Co. Ltd, 99.9%) flow (**Fig.S1**). In the experiment, $CF_{3}I$ was first premixed with the argon carrier gas in a mixing chamber, and their entering flow rates were adjusted separately by standard mass flowmeters. At the outlet of the chamber, samples were extracted for GC-MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Trace

1310) instrument equipped with a DB-VRX column (Agilent, 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d., 1.4um film thickness). Then, $CF_{3}I$ was further carried into the tubular furnace, and its residence time was regulated by the gas flowing rate. The residence time was chosen to be 10 s and the volume fraction of $CF_{3}I$ was set as 20%. The pyrolysis temperature ranged from 200 to 800. After the thermal paralysis in the quartz tube, the decomposition products were analyzed by GC-MS. At different pyrolysis temperatures, the sampling and GC-MS detection were repeated three times at a time interval of 30 min, to ensure the reliable and reproducible of the results. The temperature was increased at a ramping rate of 5/min to the next targeting temperature and maintained stable for 30 min.

2.2.2 Fire extinguishing concentration measurement

The FEC of CF₃I for extinguishing methane-air flame and propane-air flame were measured by the cup burner (**Fig.S2**) method according to ISO14520-1 and NFPA 2001 standards^{14, 15}. Volumetric flow rates of synthetic air (Tianjin sizhi gas Co. Ltd, O₂ 20.9%, N₂ 79.1%) and gas fuel were fixed at 40 L/min, 356 mL/min (methane), and 118 mL/min (propane), respectively, to achieve a visible flame length of 80 mm. After the flame was pre-burned for 60 s, the extinguishing agent of CF₃I was delivered into the flame burner, until flame blow-off occurred. The mean FEC was determined based on five consecutive test trial results. All the flow meters deployed in this paper were calibrated by the soap-film method or the drainage method before the experiments, and the total uncertainty of FEC obtained by the experiment was estimated as 5%. The flame extinguisher was recorded by using a high-speed camera (Phantom Miro LAB110) which operated at 500 frames per second, with an exposure time of 40μ s.

Results and discussion

3.1. Experimental measurement of thermal decomposition products

To evaluate thermal stability and possible fire-extinguishing performance, the thermal decomposition products of CF_3I are firstly analyzed. In the high-temperature condition, the CF_3I should be thermally degraded into some products that may react with the OH and H radicals in the flame and thus restrain the chain reaction of combustion to a certain degree.

According to the thermal decomposition study of HFO-1336mzz(E) by Zhang¹⁶, considering the convergence of decomposition rate at 10s and the short time of fire extinguish process, the residence time of 10s is selected for thermal decomposition analysis. Considering the low molecular weight of CF_3I , its decomposition into organic products is difficult, and the decomposition temperature should be relatively high. Therefore, the initial temperature of this experiment was set at 200°C. The upper limit of thermal decomposition was set at 800°C.

Fig.1 shows that the decomposition of CF_3I started at 300°C. When the temperature reaches 400°C, silver particles are produced. Purple gas first appeared at the tube outlet at 500°C, and a large quantity of silver particles accumulated. The decomposition process stopped at around 700°C, producing large amounts of solids. The solid silver particles were characterized by XRD (**Fig.S3**) and proved to be iodine. GC/MS analysis of the exhaust gas (**Fig.S4**) shows that organic products were observed.



Fig.1. The decomposition process of CF_3I .

3.2. Thermal decomposition mechanism of CF_3I

The computational results of geometric optimization, frequency and energy analysis of the CF₃I molecule as shown in Fig.2. which suggest that the sum of electron and thermodynamic energy of the molecule corrected by ZPE is -347.737 Hartree, and there is no imaginary frequency in the molecule, indicating the reliability and stability of the investigated CF₃I molecule. Besides, the bond angles of 2F-1C-5I and 4F-1C-5I in molecule have different bond lengths, suggesting the asymmetric structure of the molecule. And as listed in Table.1. The dissociation energy of 1C-5I (42.581 kcal·mol⁻¹) bond is far lower than those of other chemical bonds in CF₃I molecule, indicating that this chemical bond is relatively weak and easy to break, leading to the formation of CF₃* radicals, which can interrupt the chain reaction of combustion and to achieve the purpose of fire-suppression. Furthermore, a comparison of the calculated bond energy of CF₃I with that reported in the reference¹⁷ is presented in Table.S1 , implying the reliability of DFT computations in this work.



Fig. 2. Geometries of CF_3I optimized at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level of theory.

Table.1. BDEs of CF ₃ I calculated by Gaussian 16 at CCSD/LanL2DZ//B3LYP/LanL2DZ theory level.	Table.1. BDEs
Bonds	Bonds
C-F	R(1,2)
	R(1,3)
	R(1,4)
C-I	R (1,5)

The thermal decomposition of CF_3I consists of three pathways. The detailed decomposition path of CF_3I was shown in **Fig.3.** All energy barriers were calculated by kcal·mol⁻¹. **Fig.S5** shows the geometric structures for the reactants, transition states, intermediate, and products involved in all calculated reactions (Including thermal decomposition and fire0extinguishing reaction channels) as optimized at the B3LYP/6-311++G (d, p) level.



Fig. 3. Decomposition path of CF₃I at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level of theory.

During CF_3I dissociation, two possible products can be formed when different bonds break. The most favorable is that CF_3I can break the C-I bond to form $CF_3 + I$, and the reaction barrier is 57.526 kcal·mol⁻¹. In this process, the length of C-I bond gradually increases from 2.172A of CF_3I to 2.559A until the fracture. In addition, two kinds of isomerization of CF_3I can occur to produce the same substance, $CF_2^* + IF$. One of the lower energy barrier is that atom I on atom C is transferred to an atom F of atom C, and the product $CF_2^* + IF$ (P2) is formed through the transition state TSa2, and the reaction energy barrier is 80.904 kcal*mol⁻¹. In this process, atom F on molecule CF_3I is close to atom I. The I atom and F atom gradually combine and separate from the CF_3I molecule to form a triplet of diffuorocarbine CF_2^* and iodine fluoride. The other is that CF_3I generates product P1 through the transition state TSa1 with an energy barrier of $89.763 \text{ kcal}^* \text{mol}^{-1}$. The potential energy curve of the decomposition path of CF_3I is shown in **Fig.4**. It can be seen that the energy barriers of C-I bond fracture are all lower than that of C-F bond fracture, that is, the generation of CF_3^* from C-I bond fracture is more likely to occur kinetically. The reaction rate constants of each decomposition path of CF_3I at 1 atm and at different temperatures (280-2000K) are shown in **Fig.5**. It can be seen that the rate constants of C-I bond homolysis reaction are all higher than that of C-F bond at different temperatures, which further indicates that C-I bond fracture is easier than C-F bond from the perspective of reaction rate.



Fig. 4. Energy diagram of CF₃I decomposition pathways obtained at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level.



Fig. 5. Reaction rate constant of thermal decomposition pathway 1 of CF_3I .

3.3. Fire extinguishing performance of CF_3I

Considering the above physiochemical characteristics and thermal decomposition products, CF_3I may be a candidate for clean fire-extinguishing agent. Therefore, the FEC of CF_3I , HFO-1336mzz(E) and three HFCs were determined by using the cup-burner method involving methane/propane fuel. And the reliability of our experimental measurement was verified¹⁴ by comparing our measured FEC value (9.70vol%) for propane-air flame with that tested by the standard ISO 14520-1-2006 Part 8 (9.7vol%). As listed in **Table.2**, CF_3I exhibits the lowest FEC (3.61vol%) for extinguishing the propane-air flame, even lower than that of Halon 1301 (3.8vol%-4.3vol%) measured by Fumiaki¹⁸. For propane-air flame, the FEC performance of CF_3I is much better than three HFCs and HFO-1336mzz(E). For extinguishment of methane-air flame, the FEC of CF_3I is also the lowest (3.42vol%), which is comparable to that of Halon 1301 (2.9vol%-4.0vol%). Therefore, the fire-extinguishing performance of CF_3I is obviously better than those of HFCs and is comparable to that of Halons.

Table. 2. Flame-extinguishing concentration of CF_3I and three typical HFC extinguishants. Type of agents	Table. 2. Flame-extinguishing concentration of CF_3I and three typical HFC extinguishants. FECs for methane-air flame (vol%)	Table. 2. Flame-extinguishing concentration of CF_3I and three typical HFC extinguishants. FECs for propane-air flame (vol%)
CF ₃ I	3.42	3.61
HFO-1336mzz(E)	5.46	5.89
HFC-125	8.47	9.70
HFC-116	6.92	9.34
HFC-236a	5.19	6.51

A high-speed camera was used to record the change of flame shape during the extinction of methane-air flame. The structures of the flame in different time nodes during the fire-extinguishing process were shown in **Fig.S6**, in which the time nodes were defined as 10s after the last time changing the concentration of the agent as the starting point (to wait the new ratio mixture of extinguishant and air getting into the cup-burner), and the flame being completely extinguished as the end point. As the time went by, it can be found that the flame lost stability and rapidly shocked, then the flame was suspended upward, finally the suspended flame completely detached from the cup, and the flame extinguished quickly. This process is similar to that of HFC-236fa, which also suggests that CF_3I has potential as Halon replacement \circ

3.4. Theoretical analysis of fire extinguishing mechanism

Extinguishing mechanism of fire extinguishing agent actually includes physical and chemical extinguishing mechanism is realized by reducing temperature and isolating oxygen, etc., while chemical extinguishing mechanism refers to the capture and depletion of active radicals of hydroxyl and hydrogen by reactants required for combustion reaction. Highly active free radicals and molecular fragments generated by the pyrolysis of fire extinguishing agents can capture a large number of essential free radicals OH· and H· in the combustion reaction to block the combustion reaction and achieve the purpose of fire extinguishing¹⁹. Based on the low boiling point of CF₃I at 1 atmosphere, it can be inferred that CF₃I has the possibility of physical fire extinguishing. In addition, all of the above decomposition reactions are endothermic reactions, which can also reduce the temperature of the fire site. Based on the geometry of CF₃I, the most likely fire-extinguishing free radical produced by CF₃I is CF₃·. At present, a large number of literatures have reported its reaction mechanism of capturing flame radicals such as OH· and H·²⁰⁻²³, which confirmed its fire extinguishing effect. Therefore, in this section, the research on the mechanism of fire suppression in CF₃I is mainly devoted to exploring the formation path of CF₃· in CF₃I. The research method is the same as the study of thermal decomposition mechanism. The calculated fire-extinguishing mechanism is shown in **Fig.6**.



Fig. 6. Pathways CF_3I reacts with the free radicals in fire flame.

When OH radical and CF₃I approach each other, OH radical interacts with different atoms of the CF₃I molecule in the reaction to form various possible products. As the first reaction step, the O atom of the OH can directly absorb the F atoms of CF₃I molecule through the transition state TSb1 to form CF₂I· + FOH (P4), with the barrier height of 64.806 kcal·mol⁻¹. When the O atom of the OH attacks the C atom of CF₃I, CF₃OH + I (P5) may be formed through the transition state TSb2. In the process of reaction, O atom of OH attacks C atom of CF₃I, causing it to get rid of the I atom, and the energy barrier is 41.497 kcal*mol⁻¹. The last reaction step between CF₃I and OH radical is the most likely to occur, with no intermediate transition state. CF₃I directly deatoms I to generate CF₃* + HIO (P7) with a small energy barrier of 8.267 kcal*mol⁻¹. According to the above calculation results, the potential energy curve of the reaction path between CF₃I and OH radical is shown in Fig.7 . The results show that in the CF₃I + OH reaction, the I atom extraction channel may be dominant from the perspective of kinetics, and CF₃I is more likely to generate CF₃ fire-extinguishing radical.



Fig.7. Energy profiles for the reaction of CF₃I+OH obtained at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level.

Similar to the reaction of the OH radical with CF₃I discussed above, the reaction of the H radical with CF₃I can also produce three different products when they are close to each other. However, part of the reaction of CF₃I + H is exothermic, which may affect the fire-extinguishing effect in physical aspects. But in the process of the reaction can produce effective fire-extinguishing free radicals CF₃. For example, CF₃I generates CF₃. + HI through the transition state TSc2, and the energy barrier height is 22.350 kcal·mol⁻¹. The specific transformation process is that CF₃I first dissolves one of the F atoms to form the transition state TSc2, then the hydrogen atom attacks the I atom of CF₃I, and finally the separated F atom returns to its original position. In the CF₃I + H reaction, there is another reaction path that H radical directly extracts fluorine from CF₃I through TSc1 to form CF₂I^{*} + HF, and the energy barrier height is 29.074 kcal*mol⁻¹. In the final reaction path, hydrogen atoms directly attack C atoms, and one of the fluorine atoms falls off to form CF₂IH + F* with an energy barrier of 8.856 kcal*mol⁻¹.

According to the above calculation results, the potential energy curve of the reaction path between $CF_{3}I$ and hydrogen is shown in **Fig.8**. The results show that hydrogen can extract I atom from $CF_{3}I$ with a small energy (less than 30 kcal*mol⁻¹), which leads to generate effective fire-extinguishing free radical CF_{3}^{*} . In addition, the reaction rate constants of each reaction path between $CF_{3}I$ and active radicals at 1 atm and different temperatures (280-2000K) are shown in **Fig.9**. It can be seen that the rate constants of the C-I bond homolysis reaction are all higher than that of the C-F bond homolysis reaction at various temperatures, which is consistent with the thermal decomposition analysis of $CF_{3}I$. Thus, it is further verified that C-I bond breaks more easily than C-F bond. From the perspective of reaction kinetics, it is shown that $CF_{3}I$ is easy to form I and CF_{3} extinguishes free radicals, which explains the good extinguishing performance of $CF_{3}I$.



Fig.8. Energy profiles for the different pathways in CF_3I+H reaction obtained at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level.



Fig.9. Reaction rate constants of each reaction path between CF_3I and active radicals at 1 atm and different temperatures (280-2000K).

Conclusion

In view of the environmental friendliness, low boiling point and low toxicity of CF_3I , it could be a potential Halon substitute for fire-extinguishing agent in aircraft. In this paper, DFT calculations were performed to theoretically investigate the thermal decomposition and fire-extinguishing mechanism of CF_3I , which suggest that CF_3I and its decomposition products can further react with active OH· and H· radicals existed in flame through various pathways. Remarkably, through DFT calculation and reaction kinetics analysis, the fireextinguishing radicals CF_3 · and I· are more easily generated during the interaction between CF_3I and flame, which can react with the active OH· and H· radicals to achieve the purpose of rapidly extinguishing fire.

To explore its actual fire-extinguishing effect, we also measured the FEC of CF_3I on methane-air flame. The experimentally measured FEC of CF_3I on methane-air flame is 3.42vol%, which is lower than those of three HFCs and HFO-1336mzz(E), and is comparable to that of Halon 1301. Due to the pronounced fire-extinguishing performance, environmental friendliness, and promising thermal stability and storability, the CF_3I agent is a recommendable candidate for Halon, which is worthy of further evaluation and confirmation of its practical applications in fire suppression process.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by Science and Technology Research Project of Hebei Higher Education Institution (ZD2020138) and Open Fund of Key Laboratory of Civil Aviation Thermal Hazards Prevention and Emergency Response (RZH2020-KF-04). Tianjin Education Commission (2020KJ027), Scientific Research Foundation of Civil Aviation University of China (2020KYQD03).

Appendix. Supplementary materials

Supporting Information: Table.S1, Figs: S1–S6.

References

1. Li, K.; Kennedy, E. M.; Dlugogorski, B. Z., Gas-phase reaction of halon 1301 (CBrF3) with methane. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *38* (9), 3345-3352.

2. Yu, H.; Kennedy, E. M.; Uddin, M. A.; Sullivan, S. P.; Dlugogorski, B. Z., Experimental and computational studies of the thermal decomposition of halon 1211. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2005**, *37* (3), 134-146.

3. Howe, R. F.; Thomson, S.; Yang, Y.; Lee, K.; Kennedy, E. M.; Dlugogorski, B. Z., Zeolite catalysts for Halon conversion. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2002, 181 (1-2), 63-72.

4. Chow, W. K.; Lee, E.; Chau, F.; Dyke, J., The necessity of studying chemical reactions of the clean agent heptafluoropropane in fire extinguishment. *Archit. Sci. Rev.* **2004**, 47 (3), 223-227.

5. Xu, W.; Jiang, Y.; Ren, X., Combustion promotion and extinction of premixed counterflow methane/air flames by C6F12O fire suppressant. J. Fire Sci. 2016, 34 (4), 289-304.

6. Babushok, V. I.; Linteris, G. T.; Burgess Jr, D. R.; Baker, P. T., Hydrocarbon flame inhibition by C3H2F3Br (2-BTP). *Combust. Flame*.2015, 162 (4), 1104-1112.

7. Orkin, V. L.; Louis, F.; Huie, R. E.; Kurylo, M. J., Photochemistry of bromine-containing fluorinated alkenes: Reactivity toward OH and UV spectra. J. Phys. Chem. A. 2002, 106 (43), 10195-10199.

8. Hodnebrog, A.; Etminan, M.; Fuglestvedt, J. S.; Marston, G.; Myhre, G.; Nielsen, C. J.; Shine, K. P.; Wallington, T. J., Global warming potentials and radiative efficiencies of halocarbons and related compounds: A comprehensive review. *Rev. Geophys.* **2013**,*51* (2).

9. Zhou, X.; Chen, W.; Chao, M.; Liao, G., The study of thermal decomposition of 2-bromo-3, 3, 3-trifluoropropene and its fire-extinguishing mechanism. J. Fluorine Chem. **2013**, 153, 101-106.

10. DiNenno, P. J.; Forssell, E. W., Clean agent total flooding fire extinguishing systems. In *SFPE Handbook* of *Fire Protection Engineering*, Springer: 2016; pp 1483-1530.

11. Berry, R.; Yuan, J.; Misra, A.; Marshall, P., Experimental and computational investigations of the reaction of OH with CF3I and the enthalpy of formation of HOI. J. Phys. Chem. A. **1998**, 102 (27), 5182-5188.

12. Frisch, M.; Trucks, G.; Schlegel, H.; Scuseria, G.; Robb, M.; Cheeseman, J.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G.; Nakatsuji, H., Gaussian 16, Revision C. 01. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT.

2016.

13. Canneaux, S.; Bohr, F.; Henon, E., KiSThelP: A program to predict thermodynamic properties and rate constants from quantum chemistry results. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2014**, *35* (1), 82-93.

14. ISO 14520-Part 1, Gaseous Fire-extinguishing Systems–Physical Properties and

System Design, International Organization for Standardization (ISO),

2015.

15. National Fire Protection Association. 2018. NFPA 2001 Standard on Clean Agent Fire

Extinguishing Systems. National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, Massachusetts.

16. Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Wang, X.; Zhou, S.; Yu, R.; Liao, Y.; Li, J.; Tan, Z., Thermal Decomposition Mechanism and Fire-Extinguishing Performance of trans-1, 1, 1, 4, 4, 4-Hexafluoro-2-butene: A Potential Candidate for Halon Substitutes. J. Phys. Chem. A.2020, 124 (28), 5944-5953.

17. Luo, Y., The Data Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies. Science Press, Beijing: 2005.

18. Takahashi, F.; Katta, V. R.; Linteris, G. T.; Babushok, V. I., A computational study of extinguishment and enhancement of propane cup-burner flames by halon and alternative agents. *Fire Saf. J.***2017**, *91*, 688-694.

19. Xie, D. E., HFC-227ea Fire-Extinguishing System. Fire ence and Technology 1999.

20. Takahashi, K.; Yamamori, Y.; Inomata, T., A Kinetic Study on the Reaction of CHF3 with H at High Temperatures. J. Phys. Chem. A.1997, 101 (48), 9105-9110.

21. Srinivasan, N. K.; Su, M.-C.; Sutherland, J. W.; Michael, J. V.; Ruscic, B., Reflected shock tube studies of high-temperature rate constants for OH+ NO₂- HO₂+ NO and OH+ HO₂- H₂O+ O₂. J. Phys. Chem. A. **2006**, 110 (21), 6602-6607.

22. Fukaya, H.; Ono, T.; Abe, T., New fire suppression mechanism of perfluoroalkylamines. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chem. Commun.* **1995**, (12), 1207-1208.

23. Murphy, A.; Farmer, A.; Horrigan, E.; McAllister, T., Plasma destruction of ozone depleting substances. *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **2002**, *22* (3), 371-385.







$$CF_{3}I + OH \xrightarrow{41.497} CF_{3}OH + I \cdot (P4)$$

$$(P4)$$

$$CF_{3}I + OH \xrightarrow{41.497} CF_{3}OH + I \cdot (P5)$$

$$8.267 \quad CF_{3} \cdot + HIO (P6)$$

$$CF_{3}I + H \xrightarrow{22.350} CF_{2}I \cdot + HF (P8)$$

$$CF_{3}I + H \xrightarrow{22.350} CF_{3} \cdot + HI (P9)$$

$$-8.856 \quad CF_{2}IH + F \cdot (P7)$$







Reaction process

