

## RC5: Results

Anthony Salvagno<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Affiliation not available

April 17, 2023



## RC5: Results

ANTHONY SALVAGNO

READ REVIEWS

WRITE A REVIEW

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

[asalvagn@unm.edu](mailto:asalvagn@unm.edu)

**DATE RECEIVED:**

June 10, 2015

**DOI:**

10.15200/winn.142801.10386


**ARCHIVED:**

April 02, 2015

**CITATION:**

Anthony Salvagno, RC5:  
Results, *The Winnower*  
2:e142801.10386, 2015, DOI:  
[10.15200/winn.142801.10386](https://doi.org/10.15200/winn.142801.10386)

© Salvagno This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and redistribution in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.



DI WATER (FIRST BLUE); 33% D2O IN DI (RED); 66% D2O IN DI (YELLOW); 99% D2O (GREEN); DDW (PURPLE); 1% D2O IN DDW (SECOND/LIGHT BLUE); 33% D2O IN DDW (PINK)

I don't know what to make of these results. As usual the 99% d2o seeds didn't sprout, a good sign. The DDW seeds sprouted first, but then kinda stalled. The seeds in 1% d2o/ddw did the best and quickly reached the max germination percentage. The good news is that there is a clear gap between {di, ddw, 1% d2o in ddw} vs {33% d2o/di, 66% d2o/di, 33% d2o/ddw} (a little math language for y'all where brackets designate sets). Opinions?